Case II

A. Dated 16/1/1926, original tubes.

B. Sub-culture made by Lt.-Col. J. Cunningham, I.M.S., from one of the original tubes.

The *Aspergillus* was a species of a type of *Flavus*. The growth was characteristic, widely spreading, greenish in colour, some columnar, some fimbriate and hemispherical. Conidia smooth and greenish in colour. The aerial hyphae pitted and the sterigmata in a single series."

It is of interest to record the further history of case No. 1, that of the man who showed the massive granuloma, illustrated on page 546 in my article referred to in the heading. He insisted on leaving the hospital, very much improved as the result of X-ray treatment. The improvement was such that we hoped to get rid of the infection altogether if we could but persuade him to stay on. He returned to the hospital on June 11, 1929, with a granulosomatous mass even larger than it had been originally. On this occasion we tried the effect of radium and he received a dosage of 2,675.5 milligramme hours by means of buried needles. This did not appear to have any influence whatever on the size of the mass and we decided that it would be wiser to resort to X-ray treatment. Again, however, he left hospital without agreeing to our proposal in the same condition as when he came.

KRUKENBERG'S SPINDLE

BY

E. V. SRINIVASAN

MADRAS

A FEMALE, aged 27 years, married, consulted me in the middle of January, 1930, for occasional headache in the right eye. Her general condition was good. She had had three abortions and has no children. Teeth irregular and pyorrhoea alveolaris present. Wassermann reaction negative. Von Pirquet negative.

The eyes revealed nothing on naked eye examination either in broad daylight or with the ordinary corneal loupe, except that the pupils were situated a little more eccentrically than usual.

R.E.V.—6/60 $\bar{c}$ — 1.0 D.sph. $\bar{c}$ + 3.0 D.cyl $\uparrow$ 90° 6/9

L.E.V.—6/18 $\bar{c}$ + 0.5 D.cyl. $\rightarrow$ 180° 6/6 partly.

Red reflex on retinoscopic examination was intercepted by a thin vertical black mass in either eye. On examination with strong focal illumination in the dark room with a hammer lamp, each

cornea presented in front of the centre of the pupil a vertically placed chocolate coloured patch 3.5 mm. long and 1 mm. broad at the broadest central part. The fine pigment in the lozenge-shaped patch seemed to be distributed on Descemet's membrane, the actual depth and appearance of which will be noted by me on arrival of my slit-lamp. The fundi were normal except that the right fundus revealed a right angled bright white fibrous scar starting from a point half a disc diameter away from the nasal margin of the disc. The two limbs of the right angle ran tangentially to the disc, the upper one running upwards and outwards and the lower downwards and outwards. The free ends of the fibrous white scar got lost in a fan-shaped radiating manner in the slate-coloured retina.

This suggests the whole condition is a congenital anomaly. The peculiarity of my case is that the left eye is not myopic at all, and the right eye only about a diopetre myopic and that in one meridian alone.

ANNOTATION

Avertin in Ophthalmology

The paper by Gayer Morgan and J. M. Lees in this issue calls attention to a comparatively new anaesthetic for use in ophthalmic cases. So far as we know, Wessely, in the *Arch. f. Augenheilk.*, July, 1929, was the first ophthalmic surgeon to publish a record of cases anaesthetised with this drug. We believe that it has been given an extensive trial in general surgery and we understand that, with attention to the details specified hereunder, it is a perfectly safe anaesthetic.

The precautions to be observed comprise those connected with the drug and those connected with the patient. As to the first of these, 'the solution must be freshly prepared; it must not be heated above 38°C., and it must be tested for the presence of free acid by a solution of congo red, 1 in 1,000.

With regard to the patient, rectal troubles, such as fistula, fissure, etc., contra-indicate its use. There must be no evidence of impaired renal function; no disturbance of hepatic or pancreatic function and no marked fall in the basal metabolic rate.

The dose should never exceed 0.1 gramme per kilo. of body weight, and with regard to the aged and in feeble subjects, even this is a high dosage.

On general principles it is undesirable to try and obtain full anaesthesia with avertin alone. The drug is to be considered rather as a deep narcotic than an essential anaesthetic.