

# BjO

British Journal of Ophthalmology

---

## Editorials

---

### Leprosy—a new look at an old disease

Leprosy is one of the oldest scourges of humankind. Accurate portrayals of the disease in Chinese medical treatises date from 400 BC, and classic descriptions in ancient Indian literature occur even earlier.<sup>1</sup> In our western tradition the fear and loathing directed towards the disease come directly from the bible. Leprosy was the “disease of the soul”, the “punishment for sin”. By the dawn of the Middle Ages the leper had become the universal symbol of persecution, the diseased and disenfranchised outcast of Western society.<sup>2</sup> Even though the prevalence of leprosy steadily declined in Western Europe after a peak in the 14th century, it became epidemic in other parts of the world, especially in Asia, Africa, and South America. Until the introduction of dapsone in the 1940s there was no effective treatment for leprosy, and infected individuals were routinely isolated and segregated from all contact with society. In some areas of the world this approach continued until well into the 1980s, even after a number of highly effective antileprosy drugs had been developed.

Today the prevalence of this ancient disease is rapidly declining in most countries around the world. This decline is a direct result of the widespread administration by public health workers of multidrug therapy (MDT), a combination of rifampin, dapsone, and clofazimine. The introduction of MDT by the World Health Organization in 1982 for the treatment of multibacillary leprosy has led to a shortened treatment time of 2 years and a high degree of bacterial eradication (99.9%). It is felt that MDT for 2 years in almost all cases is adequate for producing a complete bacteriological cure of the disease and for preventing the emergence of drug resistant strains of *Mycobacterium leprae*.<sup>3</sup> In spite of this optimistic assessment leprosy still affects 10–12 million people worldwide, the majority of whom are found in Africa and in the southern portion of the Indian subcontinent.<sup>4</sup> The disease invariably causes many visually disabling sequelae, and it is estimated that 3.2% of all leprosy patients are ultimately blinded by long term ocular complications.<sup>5</sup> Several recent studies have documented the main causes of blindness in leprosy.<sup>4 5–12</sup> These causes include iritis, posterior synechia, cataract, lagophthalmos, corneal ulceration, and all of the complications associated with corneal hypaesthesia and exposure. However, none of the studies has addressed the question of whether or not the sight threatening complications of leprosy continue to develop after the infectious component of the disease has been adequately treated.

We have had a highly effective treatment programme for leprosy for almost 20 years, and the treatment regimen, MDT, has been proved to be almost 100% effective in eliminating *M leprae* in infected patients. But the ocular complications of the disease remain a major cause of blindness worldwide, and we still do not understand how, why, or to what extent eye disease in “cured” leprosy patients continues to progress. Until we understand this phenomenon we will not be able to adequately address the eyecare needs of leprosy patients.

Lewallen *et al* (see p 817, this issue) have examined this question in an exhaustive study that spans an 11 year period from 1988 to 1999 and documents ocular changes in leprosy patients in eight resettlement villages in South Korea. Of 501 patients examined in 1988 the authors were able to find 270 for re-examination in 1999, a remarkable follow up effort. Eighty four of the patients had died. It is known that patients who are blind from leprosy have a 4.8-fold excess risk of death compared with their non-blind peers of the same age.<sup>13</sup> Lewallen and colleagues found that, over the 11 year period, of the patients with no sight threatening leprosy related ocular diseases (lagophthalmos, posterior synechia, keratitis, etc) in 1988, 14.7% had developed one or more of these conditions in 1999. Similarly, of those with no signs of cataract in 1988, 26.4% had developed a vision reducing cataract in at least one eye at the follow up examination. Overall, 14.3% of the patients developed visual impairment and 5.7% became blind in the intervening 11 years.

These findings are a sobering reminder that even though patients may be cured bacteriologically, leprosy related ocular lesions can slowly cause visual impairment that ultimately leads to blindness. Lewallen *et al* did not find any ocular lesions caused by active infection by *M leprae*. Instead, they found that progressive visual loss occurred as a result of chronic nerve damage that led to lagophthalmos, entropion, ectropion, corneal exposure, and keratitis. Small pupils, posterior synechia, and cataracts were frequently associated with a smouldering “chronic iritis”. This phenomenon has been commonly described in “cured” leprosy patients and is felt to be caused by damage to the sympathetic nerves. Therefore, Lewallen *et al* concluded that all of the progressive long term blinding complications in these bacteriologically cured leprosy patients, with the exception of trichiasis, were the direct result of chronic nerve damage.

From a public health point of view this study documents for the first time the progressive nature of the blinding complications of leprosy long after a “cure” has been effected. This conclusive evidence should have a profound influence on the planning of future strategies for blindness prevention in leprosy treatment programmes. Improved methods for managing the ocular complications of leprosy are currently being proposed.<sup>14</sup> Any future recommendations for the worldwide eradication of leprosy and the treatment of the ocular complications of the disease should take into consideration the findings of this important study.

JOHN P WHITCHER

Francis I Proctor Foundation for Research in Ophthalmology,  
University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA  
94143-0944, USA  
[nepal@itsa.ucsf.edu](mailto:nepal@itsa.ucsf.edu)

M SRINIVASAN

Aravind Eye Hospital and Postgraduate Institute of Ophthalmology,  
1 Anna Nagar, Madurai - 625020, Tamil Nadu, India

- 1 Ma Haide, Ye Gan-Yun. Leprosy in China. *Leprosy Rev* 1982;53:81.
- 2 Richards P. *The medieval leper and his northern heirs*. Cambridge: DS Brewer, Ltd, 1977.
- 3 Vijay Kumaran P, Jesudasan K, Manimozhi N. Fixed-duration therapy (FDT) in multibacillary leprosy; efficacy and complications. *Int J Leprosy* 1996;64:123-7.
- 4 John D, Daniel E. Infectious keratitis in leprosy. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1999;83:173-6.
- 5 fytche TJ. The prevalence of disabling ocular complications in leprosy: a global study. *Indian J Leprosy* 1998;70:49-59.
- 6 Malu KN, Malu AO. Blindness in leprosy patients of Kaduna State, Northern Nigeria. *Tropical Doctor* 1995;25:181-3.
- 7 Courtright P, Lewallen S, Huan-Ying Li, et al. Lagophthalmos in a multibacillary population under multidrug therapy in the People's Republic of China. *Leprosy Rev* 1995;66:214-19.
- 8 Daniel E, Alexander R, Chacko S. Ocular leprosy: do steroids complicate matters? (Letter) *Int J Leprosy* 1995;63:115-17.
- 9 Waddle KM, Saunderson PR. Is leprosy blindness avoidable? *Br J Ophthalmol* 1995;79:250-6.
- 10 Srinivasan H. Ocular morbidity in leprosy-affected persons [Editorial]. *Indian J Leprosy* 1998;70:1-4.
- 11 Courtright P. The epidemiology of ocular complications of leprosy. *Indian J Leprosy* 1998;70:33-7.
- 12 Knuttila JP, van Brakel WH, Anderson AM. Ocular impairments in an impairment survey of leprosy-affected persons in Nepal. *Leprosy* 1998;70:93-6.
- 13 Courtright P, Kim SH, Lee HS, et al. Excess mortality associated with blindness in leprosy patients in Korea. *Leprosy Rev* 1997;68:326-30.
- 14 Hogeweg M. Strategies for improvement of ocular complications in leprosy. *Indian J Leprosy* 1998;70:61-70.

## Entry site neovascularisation after diabetic vitrectomy

When scleral entry sites over the pars plana were first used for vitrectomy access, concerns were raised that anterior vitreous complications might compromise surgical outcomes.<sup>1,2</sup> After all, it had long been recognised that, in chronic uveitis or in inflammation complicating a penetrating injury, the non-pigmented ciliary epithelium might undergo proliferation and fibrous metaplasia, accompanied by a stroma derived vascular component, to form a “cyclitic” membrane using the anterior surface of the vitreous as a scaffold; this could lead to traction on the ciliary processes and peripheral retina, hypotony, and phthisis.<sup>3</sup> The retrolental membrane might also include glial and retinal pigment epithelial cells in cases of concomitant rhegmatogenous retinal detachment or an “ingrowth” of episclera derived fibrovascular tissue at sites of penetration. Happily, such foreboding over pars plana vitrectomy has proved largely unfounded, presumably reflecting in part the dispersion of any inflammatory mediators involved. But vitrectomy for the ischaemic retinopathies, especially for proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR), has been a notable exception.

Following vitrectomy for PDR, any residual new vessels located posterior to the vitreous base usually undergo regression,<sup>4</sup> but some eyes develop florid basal neovascularisation as a supplement to the normal wound healing process at the sclerotomies.<sup>2,5,6</sup> Such entry site neovascularisation (ESNV) may arise as an isolated event after otherwise successful surgery when it represents one of a number of possible sources of “delayed” diabetic vitreous cavity haemorrhage (DVCH)—that is, bleeding after an initial haemorrhage-free period of 3 or more weeks post-vitrectomy. Other possible culprits for delayed DVCH include “secondary haemorrhage” from shedding of thrombus (for example, from a sclerotomy or from a retinal vein from which a neovascular outgrowth had been avulsed during vitrectomy) or detachment of residual attached post-basal cortex and avulsion of fibrovascular tissue contained therein. The mechanism of bleeding from ESNV (that is, whether through some mechanical event or

simply as a manifestation of friability) is uncertain as is the nature of its spontaneous regression.<sup>6</sup> In other circumstances, however, ESNV forms part of a more widespread uveal proliferation involving both the iris and ciliary body and frequently associated with retinal detachment as a cause or consequence of the vascularised cyclitic membrane.<sup>1,2,7,8</sup>

The term “fibrovascular ingrowth” has been used inadvisedly<sup>2,6</sup> to denote the intraocular vascularised proliferation at sclerotomies for it implies an episcleral contribution to, or source of, ESNV<sup>9</sup> (which is seldom the case). There has also been a dearth of information regarding the incidence of ESNV post-vitrectomy. Michels<sup>4</sup> discovered only one example of ESNV within 18 months of successful vitrectomy in an early series of 107 eyes with PDR, most of which must be presumed to have been aphakic; many such aphakic vitrectomised eyes nevertheless developed rubeosis iridis, ostensibly though removal of the hyalolenticular barrier to anterior diffusion of cytokines and growth factors.<sup>4,7</sup> The source of DVCH in eyes kept phakic in later years was seldom identified,<sup>10,11</sup> albeit, many surgeons believed that ESNV was a major contributor to DVCH with consequential fears that any additional entry sites would increase the potential for rebleeding. However, two recent studies have provided new insights into the contribution of ESNV to DVCH. Firstly, Hotta and colleagues<sup>12</sup> found ESNV at one or more sclerotomies in six out of 13 eyes (46%) undergoing vitreous cavity washout (VCWO) for DVCH. Of the 12 affected sclerotomies, 11 had shown a “low reflective trapezoid image” on ultrasound biomicroscopy (though the overall predictive value of this ultrasonic feature for ESNV was only 50%). Secondly, West and Gregor, reporting in this issue of the *BJO* (p 822), found ESNV in 11 out of 19 eyes (58%) undergoing VCWO for DVCH. The presence or absence of an episcleral vessel at a sclerotomy (putatively a sentinel of ingrowth) was an unreliable predictor of ESNV and no instance of more widespread fibrovascular proliferation in the anterior vitreous, whether of cyclitic or retinal

origin, was discovered during VCWO. Vessels derived from the anterior retina are the distinctive and distinguishing feature of so called anterior hyaloid fibrovascular proliferation (AHFP) which complicated vitrectomy for PDR in a high proportion (13%) of a particular series of cases.<sup>13 14</sup> However, such rampant "retrolental neovascularisation" (RLNV),<sup>15</sup> apparently arising independently of ESNV,<sup>14 15</sup> was probably a consequence of concomitant scleral buckling (causing superimposed ischaemia).

Three factors appear to be important in the pathogenesis of ESNV (and indeed RLNV/AHFP). Firstly, continuing post-vitrectomy secretion of ischaemic retina derived angiogenic growth factors is essential since ESNV is not seen after vitrectomy for non-ischaemic pathologies. Secondly, the presence of an intact anterior hyaloid barrier to egress of these growth factors via the aqueous humour is postulated,<sup>15</sup> at least for RLNV/AHFP. Thirdly, a requirement for a vitreous scaffold for de novo growth of vessels is suggested by past experience of extension of iris neovascularisation onto the anterior hyaloid face in rubeotic, intracapsular aphakic eyes and by analogy with preretinal neovascularisation where the absence of a cortical vitreous substrate either precludes<sup>16</sup> or restricts<sup>17</sup> new vessel proliferation. Various preventative measures thus arise whereby the incidence of ESNV (and DVCH) might be reduced. The most obvious and currently applicable approach is to undertake scatter endophotocoagulation of all previously untreated ischaemic retina at the time of vitrectomy for PDR; this might logically be supplemented by post-oral cryotherapy behind the sclerotomies and wherever basal haemorrhagic residues or the danger of lens damage prevents fill-in laser up to the ora serrata through 360 degrees.<sup>9 18 19</sup> However, the evidence base for the effectiveness of such treatment is not substantial. Using surrogate measures for ESNV such as the incidence of DVCH, rubeosis, and VCWO historical comparisons of vitrectomy outcomes have been made before and after xenon arc<sup>19</sup> and later argon laser endophotocoagulation<sup>18 20</sup> became available. Liggett and colleagues<sup>20</sup> obtained a significant reduction in delayed DVCH and VCWO using an average of only 338 endolaser burns at the time of vitrectomy but, unless there is evidence of "burn out" or complete scatter laser of the retinopathy, much more extensive treatment is generally recommended as prophylaxis.<sup>18</sup>

A second preventative approach to the phakic or pseudophakic diabetic eye is to deliberately remove a small portion of the anterior hyaloid during vitrectomy. This creates the state of "pseudo-aphakia" whereby free exchange of cells and solutes takes place between the anterior chamber and vitreous cavity.<sup>18</sup> Just as aphakia accelerates the spontaneous clearance (via the trabecular meshwork) of both immediate<sup>7 21</sup> and delayed<sup>7</sup> DVCH, so does pseudo-aphakia, whether induced intentionally or arising incidentally (by surgical interference with the anterior hyaloid face at one or more entry sites). A full scatter photocoagulation (to prevent rubeosis) and thorough clearance of inferior basal gel haemorrhage (to prevent immediate DVCH and erythroclastic glaucoma<sup>22</sup>) are essential to this strategy. Chemical modulation or disruption of the anterior vitreous scaffold for vessel proliferation is a potential future extension of this approach.<sup>23</sup>

So far as management of established ESNV (and RLNV/AHFP) is concerned, further "indirect" treatment using additional scatter endolaser during VCWO<sup>8 13 15 18</sup> is the current mainstay is also promulgated by West and Gregor; an attempt to establish pseudo-aphakia should also be considered. Direct dissection of fibrovascular membranes (necessitating lensectomy) has previously been advocated for RLNV/AHFP complicated by peripheral traction retinal detachment,<sup>9 13</sup> and a similar method of managing extensive or persistent ESNV was employed by West and Gregor even in eyes without such detachment. Others have recommended "lens sparing surgery" for ESNV using silicone oil to obstruct access of growth factors to the sclerotomy sites,<sup>8</sup> but reparative epiretinal fibrosis and retro-silicone oil neovascularisation<sup>15 24</sup> are a danger. Such measures remain to be justified by longer term follow up of individual cases and formal comparison with more modest approaches to DVCH uncomplicated by cyclitic traction.

DAVID McLEOD

Manchester Royal Eye Hospital  
jopara@man.ac.uk

- Buettner H, Macherer R. Histopathologic findings in human eyes after pars plana vitrectomy and lensectomy. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1977;95:2029-33.
- Koch FH, Kreiger AE, Spitznas M, et al. Pars plana incisions of four patients: histopathology and electron microscopy. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1995;79:486-93.
- Lee WR. In: *Ophthalmic histopathology*. Berlin: Springer Verlag, 1993.
- Michels RG. In: *Vitreous surgery*. St Louis: CV Mosby, 1981.
- Tardiff YM, Schepens CL. Closed vitreous surgery. XV. Fibrovascular ingrowth from the pars plana sclerotomy. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1977;95:235-9.
- Kreiger AE. Wound complications in pars plana vitrectomy. *Retina* 1993;13:335-44.
- Schachat AP, Oyakawa RT, Michels RG, et al. Complications of vitreous surgery for diabetic retinopathy II: postoperative complications. *Ophthalmology* 1983;90:522-30.
- Blumenkranz MS. Lens-sparing treatment of recurrent diabetic vitreous hemorrhage associated with anterior neovascularization by silicone oil injection, cryopexy, and laser photocoagulation. In: Stürpe M, ed. *Anterior and posterior segment surgery: mutual problems and common interests*. New York: Ophthalmic Communications Society Inc, 1998.
- Lewis H. In: Ed Lewis H, Ryan SJ, eds. *Medical and surgical retina*. Chapter 28. St Louis: Mosby, 1994.
- Tolentino FI, Cajita VN, Gancayco T, et al. Vitreous haemorrhage after closed vitrectomy for proliferative diabetic retinopathy. *Ophthalmology* 1989;96:1495-500.
- Brown GC, Tasman WS, Benson WE, et al. Re-operation following diabetic vitrectomy. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1992;110:506-10.
- Hotta K, Hirakata A, Ohi Y, et al. Ultrasound biomicroscopy for examination of the sclerotomy site in eyes with proliferative diabetic retinopathy after vitrectomy. *Retina* 2000;20:52-8.
- Lewis H, Abrams GW, Williams GA. Anterior hyaloidal fibrovascular proliferation after diabetic vitrectomy. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1987;104:607-13.
- Lewis H, Abrams GW, Foos R. Clinicopathologic findings in anterior hyaloidal fibrovascular proliferation after diabetic vitrectomy. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1987;104:614-18.
- Charles S. In: *Vitreous microsurgery*. 2nd ed. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins, 1987.
- Akiba J, Arzabe CW, Trempe CL. Posterior vitreous detachment and neovascularisation in diabetic retinopathy. *Ophthalmology* 1990;97:889-91.
- Wong HC, Sehmi KS, McLeod D. Abortive neovascular outgrowths discovered during vitrectomy for diabetic vitreous haemorrhage. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 1989;27:237-40.
- McLeod D. Microsurgical management of neovascularisation secondary to posterior segment ischaemia. *Eye* 1991;5:252-9.
- Ficker LA, Passani F, Leaver PK, et al. Xenon-arc endophotocoagulation during vitrectomy for diabetic vitreous haemorrhage. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 1986;24:423-7.
- Liggett PE, Lean JS, Barlow WE, et al. Intraoperative argon endophotocoagulation for recurrent vitreous hemorrhage after vitrectomy for diabetic retinopathy. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1987;103:146-9.
- Novak MA, Rice TA, Michels RG, et al. Vitreous haemorrhage after vitrectomy for diabetic retinopathy. *Ophthalmology* 1984;91:1485-9.
- Campbell DG, Simmons RJ, Tolentino FI, et al. Glaucoma occurring after closed vitrectomy. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1977;83:63-9.
- Bishop PN. Structural macromolecules and supramolecular organisation of the vitreous gel. *Progr Retinal Eye Res* 2000;19:323-44.
- McLeod D, James CR. Viscodelamination at the vitreoretinal juncture in severe diabetic eye disease. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1988;72:413-19.

## New online features at the *eBjO*

The *BjO* has now been online for over a year (which amounts to practically eons in internet time), and perhaps an opportunity is at hand to take stock of its internet presence. Inherent in the *eBjO* are capacities that differentiate it from the print journal; such features as reference linking, electronic archiving, customised alerts, and site searching, to name a few, exploit the web to expand the functionality of the *BjO*. With the recent addition of several new features and sections, the *BjO*'s electronic incarnation continues to develop.

### eLetters

The inauguration of eLetters brings rapid response capabilities to the correspondence section of the *BjO*. Expanding upon the Mailbox section already familiar in the print journal, eLetters will provide an accelerated forum for readers' responses to articles and editorials. For each article, a link allows readers to respond with eLetters of varying lengths, to offer comments, critiques, and questions. Submissions of eLetters are edited and selected for posting. In turn, authors of the original article are notified when each eLetter has been posted and encouraged to post a reply. Any number of eLetters will be posted for a given article, and the series of responses preserved for *BjO* readers. By compressing the response cycle of readers' correspondence and authors' reply, the eLetters feature intends to enable a multilateral commentary not otherwise possible in traditional formats.

### Video Reports

Multimedia is another internet avenue that the paper journal simply can't follow. Video Reports, a new online section with ophthalmological video images as its centre-piece, is making its debut on the *eBjO*. Clinical and diagnostic images have long been indispensable to journal articles in ophthalmology. Perhaps more than any other specialty, we're positioned to utilise advances in online video capabilities; microsurgery, for example, has awaited a medium for full realisation in the ophthalmic literature.

Video Reports redefines what authors can communicate in the clinical and laboratory sciences. As the first such feature in any journal in ophthalmology, Video Reports is a venue for all that can best be communicated by moving images—surgical techniques, laboratory videos, diagnostic technology, clinical findings. Video Reports will feature novel material representing advances in all areas of ophthalmology, but also will include "classic" findings from the operating room and clinic. And some images will

stand on aesthetic merits alone, with the Video Reports section as a sort of video gallery of beautiful or extraordinary images in ophthalmology. Each video is presently limited to a few minutes, and is accompanied by a brief text article providing background, methods, and commentary. Video Reports accumulated from each issue will be gathered on an ongoing basis and made available in an online archive on the *eBjO*.

### Data Supplements

Information capacity is another obvious advantage of the web, one exploited by Data Supplements, a new function of the *eBjO*. Data Supplements are aimed at overcoming some traditional limitations of article size and content, artefacts in many ways imposed by hard copy journal space and page publication costs. To alter these, the Data Supplements function allows authors to present electronic material expanding upon their hard copy article. This material can encompass extra or even complete data sets and spreadsheets, multiple additional figures and illustrations, extended elucidation on the background and discussion sections, detailed methodology, and statistics. Authors can include data in non-traditional media such as video and audio, animated graphics and illustrations, even interactive content. Accessible online for every original article in the *BjO*, Data Supplements offer expanded dimensions for authors in presenting their research, and gives readers greater access to that research.

It has become a truism that the internet revolution is bringing radical transformation to scientific and medical publications, and the demise of the traditional medical journal in the face of the web onslaught has been pronounced for some time now. However, the facts of readership patterns and preferences belie this, in the biomedical fields at least. The medical journal in its basic format fails to succumb, perhaps because the roles and standards of medical publication have, after all, remained the same whatever the modality employed for their transmission. The dissemination of research, the authority of peer review, the facilitation of literature review, and also the simple enjoyment of reading—these interests will drive the *eBjO* as it incorporates new features afforded by advances in internet technology. Or, to adopt "Silicon Valley" parlance, the *eBjO* will continue to evolve killer apps to capture eyeballs in our space.

ROBERT B BHISITKUL

Website editor, *BjO*

### Contributors please note:

Communications from **all countries except the UK and Republic of Ireland** should be sent to Professor C Hoyt, Editor, *British Journal of Ophthalmology*, University of California, Department of Ophthalmology, 10 Kirkham Street, K 301, San Francisco, CA 94143-0730, USA (tel: 001 415 502-6871; fax: 001 415 514-1512).

Manuscripts from the **UK and the Republic of Ireland** should be sent to Professor Andrew Dick, UK Editor, *British Journal of Ophthalmology*, Division of Ophthalmology, University of Bristol, Lower Maudlin Street, Bristol BS1 2LX (tel: +44 (0)117 929-4496; fax: +44 (0)117 929-4607).



## Leprosy—a new look at an old disease

JOHN P WHITCHER and M SRINIVASAN

*Br J Ophthalmol* 2000 84: 809-810  
doi: 10.1136/bjo.84.8.809

---

Updated information and services can be found at:  
<http://bjo.bmj.com/content/84/8/809.full.html>

---

*These include:*

### References

This article cites 12 articles, 1 of which can be accessed free at:  
<http://bjo.bmj.com/content/84/8/809.full.html#ref-list-1>

### Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

---

### Topic Collections

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

- [Neurology](#) (1042 articles)
- [Vision](#) (499 articles)
- [Lens and zonules](#) (642 articles)
- [Choroid](#) (435 articles)
- [Cornea](#) (383 articles)
- [Eye \(globe\)](#) (543 articles)
- [Iris](#) (49 articles)
- [Ocular surface](#) (455 articles)
- [Public health](#) (379 articles)

---

### Notes

---

To request permissions go to:  
<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:  
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:  
<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>