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Interocular amplitude differences of the full field electroretinogram in normal subjects
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Aims: To determine the interocular amplitude response difference of the electroretinogram (ERG) in normal subjects.

Methods: 79 subjects, without retinal changes of clinical significance, underwent ERG testing. They included 63 men and 16 women, with a mean age of 44 (SD 12) years and range of 18–65 years. Isolated rod, scotopic maximal, dark adapted 30 Hz flicker, photopic single flash, and light adapted 30 Hz flicker responses were recorded in both eyes following the International Society for Clinical Electrophysiology of Vision (ISCEV) standard protocol. The interocular percentage differences of the ERG b-wave amplitudes were calculated and presented as percentiles (25th, 50th, 75th, 95th), means (SD), and medians.

Results: The median interocular percentage differences in the b-wave amplitudes for the above ERG stimulus responses were 10%, 8%, 10%, 11%, and 10%, respectively. The mean interocular percentage differences were 11%, 11%, 12%, 13%, and 14%. The 95th percentiles for the interocular percentage differences were 28%, 27%, 36%, 33%, and 35%, respectively.

Conclusions: The interocular percentage differences in the ERG b-wave amplitudes for five different stimulus responses were similar in our cohort of individuals without clinically significant retinal changes and ranged from a median of 8–11% and a 95th percentile of 27–36%. Our findings should be useful for determining sample sizes in future therapeutic trials on retinal diseases with monocular therapeutic strategies and may also have application for the more accurate detection of asymmetric retinal disease.

METHODS

ERG data were collected prospectively from 79 subjects, 63 females and 16 males, who were participants in a pharmaceutical study. The subjects included those being treated with either a proton pump inhibitor or a non-proton pump inhibitor for, predominantly, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. They also included a group of normal control subjects. The medications used had no untoward effect on ERG amplitudes when compared to the control subjects. The study was carried out with approval from the institutional review board at the University of Texas, UT Southwestern Medical Center. Seventy-two subjects were white, five black, and two Asian. The mean age was 44 (SD 12) years (range 18–65 years). Each subject underwent a full ophthalmological examination, visual field evaluation (Humphrey, 30-2 with standard full threshold technique), and ERG testing in both eyes. All participants had a normal visual field, normal ERG amplitude results, and none showed an asymmetric refractive error or strabismus. Of the 79 subjects, six had peripheral lattice degeneration, one showed mild bilaterally symmetric lens opacities, one was diagnosed with presumed bilateral ocular histoplasmosis, and one with limited, bilaterally symmetric macular drusen. None of the subjects had either a systemic disease or were on any medications known to affect ERG function.

Pupils were dilated to at least a 7 mm diameter with 2.5% phenylephrine hydrochloride and 1% cyclopentolate hydrochloride. ERG amplitudes on both eyes of each subject were obtained. Dark adapted ERG responses after a minimum of 45 minutes for dark adaptation included an isolated rod, maximal response, and 30 Hz flicker. Light adapted responses included a single white flash and 30 Hz flicker. These recording procedures adhered to a recommended international standard for clinical electrophysiological measurements.1

For each of the five stimuli, the percentage difference between right and left eyes for the ERG b-wave amplitudes in

Table 1 The interocular percentage difference in b-wave amplitude for each ERG stimulus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stimulus</th>
<th>Photopic</th>
<th>Scotopic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single flash (%)</td>
<td>30 Hz flicker (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>13 (10)</td>
<td>14 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0–54</td>
<td>0–57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentile*</td>
<td>25th</td>
<td>50th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* A percentile represents the maximal interocular percentage difference in the percentile of the population.
† The 50th percentile represents the median.
each subject was calculated. This difference was computed by dividing the ERG b-wave amplitude difference between the eyes by the higher amplitude. The effect of the b-wave amplitude on the interocular percentage difference was evaluated by analysing the relation between the average amplitude of both eyes to the interocular percentage difference in each subject.

RESULTS

The median percentage interocular differences of the b-wave amplitudes were similar for the photopic single flash, light adapted 30 Hz flicker, isolated rod, maximal scotopic, and dark adapted 30 Hz flicker responses (11, 10, 10, 8, and 10 respectively). The maximal interocular percentage differences observed were 54, 57, 34, 33, and 44, respectively. The 95th percentiles for the percentage difference were 31, 34, 28, 27, and 34, respectively (Table 1). The number of individuals and percentage with ERG b-wave interocular amplitude differences at ≤10%, ≤20%, or ≤30% as well as the median differences and 95th percentile interocular ERG b-wave amplitude differences for the five stimulus conditions are shown in Tables 1 and 2. Of all the participants, only one subject had a 21% difference or greater for each one of the stimuli.

The distribution and the cumulative curves of the interocular differences for the b-wave amplitudes obtained with the five different stimuli are presented in Figures 1–5. The cumulative curves represent the percentage of the study group above a certain interocular percentage difference.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Photopic</th>
<th>Scotopic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10% diff.</td>
<td>20% diff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single flash</td>
<td>35 (44)</td>
<td>40 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Hz flicker</td>
<td>67 (85)</td>
<td>61 (77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolated</td>
<td>69 (87)</td>
<td>69 (87)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The interocular percentage difference for the above five stimuli had no statistically significant relation with age (Spearman rank order p values from 0.471 to 0.806), or with the averaged b-wave amplitude for the two eyes (Spearman rank order p values from 0.070 to 0.441).

---

![Figure 1](http://example.com/figure1.png)

**Figure 1**: The columns represent the interocular percentage difference distribution in 5% intervals of the ERG b-wave amplitude responses for the single flash photopic stimulus. The line demonstrates the cumulative curve for this stimulus in 5% difference increment steps.

![Figure 2](http://example.com/figure2.png)

**Figure 2**: The columns represent the interocular percentage difference distribution in 5% intervals of the ERG b-wave amplitude responses for the light adapted 30 Hz flicker stimulus. The line demonstrates the cumulative curve for this stimulus in 5% difference increment steps.
population at various levels of interocular percentage difference.

DISCUSSION

The determination of interocular difference in ERG b-wave amplitude responses in visually normal subjects has provided useful information for comparison with the retinal function of patients with different asymmetric retinal diseases. The aim of this study was to establish the degree of the interocular percentage difference in subjects with, at most, mild retinal changes using the ISCEV recommendations for various stimulus conditions. In our study, interocular percentage differences are presented as percentiles (25th, 50th, 75th, 95th), medians, and means (SD). Among our 79 subjects, the median interocular percentage differences of the ERG b-wave amplitude responses in the five different stimuli were similar. Fifty per cent of our cohort subjects had an interocular percentage difference of from 8% to 11% for different stimuli, which is consistent with an interocular amplitude difference of within 10%, previously cited by Fishman et al. Ninety five per cent of our cohort had interocular percentage differences in the five stimuli that ranged from 27–36% or less. The average interocular percentage difference ranged from 11–14% for the five different stimuli (Table 1).

For comparison, we calculated the interocular percentage differences in ERG b-wave amplitude from the 22 normal individuals in studies by Jacobson et al. (Table 3). We found that the interocular percentage differences in the same normal population reported in these studies were, overall, comparatively smaller than in our subjects (compare Tables 1 and 3). Fifty per cent of their cohort had interocular percentage differences that ranged from 4–8% or less while 95% had a range of 12–16% or less for different stimuli. The mean interocular percentage difference ranged from 5% to 8%.

In our study, we also chose arbitrary levels of percentage interocular b-wave amplitude differences (10%, 20%, and 30%) to compare among different stimuli (Table 2). For the different stimulus responses, generally over 90% of our
population had a 30% or less interocular difference. Our findings are consistent with a previous citation indicating that a normal interocular b-wave amplitude difference should be no greater than 20–24%.

Figures 1–5 show the quantification of our population at various continuous levels of interocular percentage differences.

In a study of 95 healthy individuals, Ayres et al. showed that the difference between the two eyes of the same subject was smaller than the difference between the eyes of different subjects. They demonstrated that the maximal interocular difference in the ERG b-wave amplitude for the maximal scotopic response was 144.58 μV, which was 29% of their maximal intersubject b-wave amplitude range. For comparison, in our study these values were 163.38 μV and 31%, respectively.

Various technical factors, such as contact lens placement, the structural integrity of the corneal surface, pupil size, among others, may impact on the interocular difference in ERG amplitudes. Regardless of the explanation, our findings can be applied in the design of future therapeutic trials, which implement monocular therapeutic strategies for various retinal diseases, and define more accurate criteria for the detection of asymmetric retinal disease.
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**Table 3** Data from Jacobson et al. analysed for interocular percentage difference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Photopic</th>
<th>Scotopic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single flash</td>
<td>30 Hz flicker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>7 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>1–25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentile*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25th</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50th†</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75th</td>
<td>&lt;8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95th</td>
<td>&lt;16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* A percentile represents the maximal interocular percentage difference in the percentile of the population.
† The 50th percentile represents the median.
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