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Aim: To assess retinal function by multifocal electroretinogram (mErG) in children on atropine eye drops for the treatment of myopia.
Methods: mERGs were recorded in children receiving atropine eye drops (n = 48) once daily for 2 years and in those receiving placebo eye drops (n = 57) for a similar time. All recordings were performed between the second and third month of cessation of atropine/placebo treatment by a masked investigator. The amplitude and implicit time of the first order kernel (k1) and first slice of the second order kernel (k21) of mERG responses were used to study the outer and inner retinal function, respectively.

Results: There was no significant reduction in k1 response amplitudes of the atropine group compared to that of the placebo group (N1, p = 0.181; P1, p = 0.150). No significant difference in the k1 response implicit times between the groups was found (N1, p = 0.767; P1, p = 0.849). The differences in the k21 amplitudes and implicit times between the groups were not statistically significant (k21 amplitude, p = 0.058; k21 implicit time, p = 0.156).

Conclusions: Daily atropine usage over 2 years for the treatment of myopia has no significant effect on retinal function as demonstrated by recordings of mERG.

The multifocal electroretinogram (mERG), first described by Sutter and Tran,4 has proved to be a sensitive technique in the detection of retinal dysfunction.9 In this study, we used the mERG to assess the effect of atropine usage on retinal function.

METHODS
Subjects
The ATOM (Atropine in the Treatment of Myopia) study that is currently being conducted at the Singapore Eye Research Institute aims to quantify the efficacy of atropine in controlling myopia progression in children as well as to assess the safety of atropine treatment. Subjects enrolled in the ATOM study were randomly allocated into two groups where they received either atropine (atropine sulphate 1%) or placebo (Isotoptears) eye drops. The eye to receive the eye drops was also randomly selected for each child. Only the randomised eye received the eye drops once daily for 2 years, while the contralateral eye served as an untreated control. Subjects who had completed the treatment with good compliance were recruited for the present study. Parental informed consent was obtained for all subjects before their participation. The current study was approved by the human ethics committee of Singapore Eye Research Institute.

mERG recording
The recordings were performed between the second and third month (mean (SD) 2.6 (0.43) months) of cessation of atropine/placebo treatment. All mERG recordings were performed by one investigator who was masked to the treatment status. The mERG was recorded using DTL fibre electrodes (Diagnosys LLC, Littleton, MA, USA) and followed the guidelines recommended by the International Society for Clinical Electrophysiology of Vision (ISCEV) standards.10 Pupils were dilated using tropicamide 1% and mERG recording was commenced when both pupils were equally dilated to at least 7 mm. The testing stimulus comprised 37 retinally scaled hexagons, which were randomly alternated between white and black frames on a monochrome monitor at a rate of 75 Hz. The intensities for the white and black frames were 2.66 cd.s.m⁻² and 0.02 cd.s.m⁻², respectively. Background luminance was 100 cd.m⁻². Recorded signals were band pass filtered between 3–100 Hz and amplified 100 000 times. Only the central 28 degrees retina was tested and both eyes were recorded concurrently. The duration of the recording was approximately 4 minutes, which was divided evenly into slightly overlapping 16 segments of about 14 seconds each for patients’ comfort.

Abbreviations: ATOM study, Atropine in the Treatment of Myopia study; ISCEV, International Society for Clinical Electrophysiology of Vision; mERG, multifocal electroretinogram
significant difference in the visual acuity among the four categories of eyes. The differences in N1 and P1 amplitudes among the four categories of eyes, however, were not statistically significant (table 2). There were no significant differences in the mean implicit times of N1 and P1 responses of the eyes in the atropine and placebo groups (table 2).

The mean k21 response amplitude in the atropine group was also slightly reduced compared to that in the placebo group but the apparent reduction did not reach significance (p = 0.058). The difference in k21 amplitude between the atropine treated and untreated fellow eye was not statistically significant (t(94) = 0.351, p = 0.726). There were no significant differences in the mean k21 implicit times among the four categories of eyes.

**DISCUSSION**

High myopia is associated with sight threatening retinal complications and interventions to slow myopia progression in children would seem to be beneficial. To date, only atropine eye drops have been shown to have a consistent effect on the retardation of myopia progression. Safety data on the effect of atropine on visual function have been reported in previous clinical trial studies but only in the form of best corrected visual acuity. We are unaware of previous reports on the possible effect of atropine on retinal function using electrophysiological technique. The electrophysiological findings from the current study showed that atropine use over 2 years has no significant effect on retinal function. Since the retinal function is not significantly altered soon after cessation of atropine treatment when the effect or cumulative effect of atropine on retinal function, if there is any, is expected to be highest, it is very unlikely that atropine induced retinal dysfunction will occur in later years because the concentration of atropine in the retina will decrease over time.

The difference in k21 amplitude between groups was almost reaching statistical significance (p = 0.058), suggesting that there may be a trend towards reduced k21 amplitude in atropine treated eyes. However, the mean k21 amplitude of the atropine treated eye was greater than that of the atropine fellow eye. If the trend exists, we would expect that the k21 response of the atropine treated eye to have smaller amplitude than that of the fellow eye.

In conclusion, the findings from this study show that atropine usage over 2 years for the treatment of myopia causes no significant retinal dysfunction as demonstrated by the use of the multifocal ERG technique.

**RESULTS**

There was no significant difference in the demographic data between the atropine and placebo groups at the time of mfERG testing. The mean age of atropine and placebo groups were 11.6 and 11.4 years, respectively. There was no significant difference in the visual acuity among the four categories of eyes (table 1). The atropine treated eye was significantly less myopic than the placebo treated eye (p<0.001). A summary of demographic data, duration of treatment, visual acuity, and refraction of the study subjects is shown in table 1.

A summary of the mfERG parameters for all four categories is shown in table 2. The mean N1 and P1 amplitudes of the atropine treated and untreated fellow eyes were slightly reduced compared to those in the placebo treated and untreated fellow eyes. The differences in N1 and P1 amplitudes among the four categories of eyes, however, were not statistically significant (table 2). There were no significant differences in the mean implicit times of N1 and P1 responses of the eyes in the atropine and placebo groups (table 2).

The mean k21 response amplitude in the atropine group was also slightly reduced compared to that in the placebo group but the apparent reduction did not reach significance (p = 0.058). The difference in k21 amplitude between the atropine treated and untreated fellow eye was not statistically significant (t(94) = 0.351, p = 0.726). There were no significant differences in the mean k21 implicit times among the four categories of eyes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Demographic data, visual acuity (VA), and refraction of the study children at the time of mfERG testing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parameter</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of treatment (years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LogMAR VA of treated eye (mean (SD))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refraction of treated eye (mean (SD))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (females/males)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refraction of fellow eye (mean (SD))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Indicates Mann-Whitney U test, **x² statistic.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Professor Wallace Foulds for his constructive comments. The study was supported by a pilot grant from the Singapore National Medical Research Council (R285/29/2002).

Authors’ affiliations

C D Luu, A M I Lau, D Tan, Singapore Eye Research Institute, Singapore

D Tan, Singapore National Eye Centre, Singapore

Grant support: A pilot grant from the Singapore National Medical Research Council.

Correspondence to: Dr Chi D Luu, Singapore Eye Research Institute, 11 Third Hospital Avenue, Singapore 168751; seri_chiluu@snec.com.sg

Accepted for publication 13 June 2004

REFERENCES


---

Table 2  The mfERG parameters of the atropine and placebo groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mfERG parameters</th>
<th>Atropine group (n = 48)</th>
<th>Placebo group (n = 57)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Treated eye</td>
<td>Fellow eye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1 amplitude</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>5.99 (1.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1 amplitude</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>16.61 (3.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K21 amplitude</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>4.09 (0.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1 implicit time</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>15.65 (0.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1 implicit time</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>29.00 (0.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K21 implicit time</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>30.50 (1.99)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The p value was obtained using an analysis of variance (ANOVA) test. N1 and P1 are responses from the first order kernel. K21 represents response from the first slice of the second order kernel.
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