Ocular signs in chronic renal failure
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Past reports in the literature have stressed the occurrence of retinal detachment in pregnancy (Bosco 1961), chronic glomerulonephritis (Mettier, 1961; Buchanan and Ellis, 1964; Lapco, Weller and Greene, 1965; Ellis and Fonken, 1966; Sharpstone and Lee, 1966; Steiness, 1968; Paris and Macoul, 1969) diabetic nephropathy (Lapco and others, 1965), and chronic pyelonephritis (Paris and Macoul, 1969). A leading article in the British Medical Journal (1966) stated that in uraemia retinal detachment should be regarded as an immediate indication for peritoneal or haemodialysis. We have therefore examined patients incapacitated by chronic renal failure with the slit lamp, fundus photography, and fluorescein angiography to identify the ocular manifestations of the condition and assess their value as indicators for dialysis. A new conjunctival finding is also described in the following paper.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case no.</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Blood pressure</th>
<th>Blood urea nitrogen (mg./100 ml.)</th>
<th>Serum creatinine (mg./100 ml.)</th>
<th>Calcium (mg./100 ml.)</th>
<th>Potassium (mg./100 ml.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>GN</td>
<td>220/110</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>GN</td>
<td>175/113</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>GN + PN</td>
<td>170/90</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>GN</td>
<td>190/96</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>PN</td>
<td>110/90</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>GN</td>
<td>180/110</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>170/110</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>150/100</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>GN</td>
<td>240/120</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>AN</td>
<td>160/80</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>180/110</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>135/70</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>150/80</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GN = Glomerulonephritis
AN = Analgesic nephropathy
PN = Pyelonephritis
PC = Polycystitis
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Ocular signs in chronic renal failure

Method

From July, 1969, to February, 1970, we examined thirteen patients in terminal renal failure at the Toronto General and Sunnybrook Hospitals, Toronto. All were examined for visual acuity and applanation pressure. Slit-lamp examination of the cornea and conjunctiva and retinal examination with the direct and indirect ophthalmoscopes and 3-mirror Goldmann lens were carried out. Fundus pictures were taken of all patients, and fluorescein angiography was performed in those who were well enough to tolerate the procedure. We measured blood pressures in the recumbent position, serum sodium, potassium, calcium, phosphorus, and creatinine, and blood urea nitrogen within 5 days of the ophthalmic examination. If a patient failed to respond to conservative treatment, had seizures, peripheral neuropathy, pericarditis, intractable nausea, vomiting, or pruritus, or was unable to work because of lethargy and fatigue, he was dialysed.

To assess the significance of any abnormal corneal and conjunctival findings, 200 eyes from 100 control subjects were examined. The control series consisted of doctors, nurses, and nurse’s aides who had no history of iritis, kidney disease, or recurrent conjunctivitis.

Results

The diagnosis, laboratory findings, certain ocular signs, and treatment for all patients are listed in the Table.

A. VISUAL ACUITY AND INTRAOCULAR PRESSURE

The vision in the majority of patients was excellent; corrected visual acuity in 21 of 26 eyes was 20/20. Case 10 with 20/50 vision in the right eye had some exudates and pigment deposits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P</th>
<th>Conjunctival deposit</th>
<th>Limbal girdle</th>
<th>Retinopathy grade</th>
<th>Fluorescein angiography</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
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H = Haemodialysis
P = Peritoneal
C = Conservative
at the macula. Cases 10 and 12 with 20/30 vision in one eye demonstrated macular exudates, and the two eyes (left eye of Case 2 and right eye of Case 9) with 20/200 vision were amblyopic from strabismus.

All applanation pressures were within normal limits; 25 of 26 eyes demonstrated a pressure of 17 mm. Hg or less; the pressure in one eye was 20 mm. Hg.

B. CORNEAL EXAMINATION

Of 26 eyes, 21 were normal to slit-lamp examination. There was gross coarse material in the inferior cornea from 4 to 8 o'clock with a clear zone between this chalk-like material and the limbus in the right eye of Case 4 (Fig. 1). Two of the three females in this series, Cases 5 and 11, showed the bilateral Vogt limbal girdle. Fig. 2 illustrates the increasing incidence of Vogt limbal girdle with age in the control series. Fig. 3 demonstrates the limbal girdle in the right eye of a 60-year-old female control.

![Case 4, right eye, showing coarse chalky material, probably calcium. ×10](image)

![60-year-old female control, showing fine reticular white Vogt limbal girdle. ×15](image)

C. CONJUNCTIVAL EXAMINATION

Among the 26 eyes in this series, only two were without conjunctival deposits; 24 had tiny, discrete, amorphous dull white deposits, usually in the interpalpebral area, most commonly in the lower temporal quadrants. These were often missed with focal illumination, but were easily seen with direct lateral illumination. Of the sixty eyes in males
under 50 years of age in the control group (Fig. 4), only five showed any conjunctival abnormality, whereas fifteen of the sixteen eyes of uraemic male patients under 50 years old had conjunctival deposits. As there was only one female patient less than 50 years old in this series, the significance of such deposits in women is not known.

A biopsy of an asymptomatic white mass (Fig. 5) in the conjunctiva of Case 3 contained elastotic degeneration (Fig. 6). A von Kossa stain for calcium was negative on serial sections of the specimen.

**Fig. 4** Age and sex distribution of conjunctival deposits in 200 eyes in 100 control patients.

**Fig. 5** Case 3, right eye, showing asymptomatic pingueculae.

**Fig. 6** Case 3, right eye, conjunctival biopsy, showing moderate elastotic degeneration. Elastic stain. ×75

D. RETINAL EXAMINATION

1. Retinal detachments

None was found in this study.
(2) **Retinopathy**
The degree of retinopathy was estimated by the Keith-Wagener classification (Table). The majority of our patients had exudative and haemorrhagic changes in the retina on admission to hospital. There was only a general correlation between diastolic blood pressure and the degree of the retinopathy.

(3) **Fluorescein angiography**
Eight patients underwent bilateral and two patients unilateral angiography. Three patients were too nauseated or weak or both to undergo fluorescein studies. Most of the angiograms were normal (Table).

Case 9 had a perimacular leak in both eyes before haemodialysis, and 2 months later these were unchanged. Case 10 had leakage around the right disc. In Case 8, a cotton-wool spot obscured the fluorescein in the underlying artery in the arterial phase, but fluorescein leaked from dilated capillaries around this spot in the venous phase (Fig. 7). Case 5, a non-diabetic, had fluorescein leakage from an abnormal vessel inferior to the macula as well as an apparent microaneurysm in one eye.

![FIG. 7 Case 8, late venous phase; three microaneurysms, showing leakage](image)

**Discussion**
In reviewing the results of this study we were particularly interested in the slit-lamp and fluorescein findings.

A. **CORNEA**
We were not surprised that two female patients, aged 34 and 60 years, had the Vogt limbal girdle, as one out of three of our female controls in their thirties and three out of four of our female controls in their sixties demonstrated this anomaly. Unlike Sugar and Kobernick (1960), we found the incidence of limbal girdle in women greater than that in men in all age groups.

We feel that Case 4 probably had a variant of band keratopathy because of the gross appearance of the cornea. The limbal opacity in this patient was neither granular nor
limited in extent. The limbal area was sharp with the axial area trailing off in spots, as described by Duke-Elder and Leigh (1965a). Band keratopathy need not always be interpalpebral in position and may be patchy in distribution (Duke-Elder and Leigh, 1965a).

To distinguish a Vogt limbal girdle from early band keratopathy is difficult but most important. Although Cogan, Albright, and Bartter (1948) stated that they were easy to distinguish, we agree with Abrams (1966) that this is not so. A lucid interval between the deposits and the limbus may occur in both early band keratopathy and the limbal girdle (Abrams, 1966). Indeed, reference has been made to the close histological resemblance between the two conditions (Duke-Elder and Leigh, 1965b), although Sugar and Kobernick (1960) thought that the marked fragmentation, elastotic degeneration, and absence of calcium suggested the histological picture seen in pingoeculae.

B. CONJUNCTIVA

From the beginning of this study, we were impressed with the high incidence of dull, multiple, white conjunctival deposits, particularly in males under 50 years of age (15 of 16 eyes).

 Conjunctival injection was described in nine patients with chronic renal failure associated with an elevated mean Ca × P ratio (116); on the basis of one biopsy, the authors of this report concluded that their patients were subject to metastatic calcification (Berlyne and Shaw, 1967). They did not perform slit-lamp examinations. The conjunctival lesions in hyperalcalcaemia described by Walsh and Howard (1947) as “probable calcium” were crystal-clear glass-like particles, unlike the deposits seen in our uraemic patients.

White irregular opacities similar to those we describe were noted in one of the uraemic patients reported by Cogan and others (1948). The pathogenesis of the conjunctival deposits we found is unknown and deserves further study. However, we feel that incidence in patients with chronic renal failure is significant, particularly in males under 50 years of age. We intend to follow these patients to ascertain whether dialysis has any effect on these interesting opacities.

C. FLUORESCIN ANGIOGRAPHY

The results of our fluorescein studies were equivocal. They provided no better additional prognostic information than fundus photography. In Case 8, we observed the microaneurysms and leaking capillaries described by Gass (1968) as frequently seen around areas of poor capillary perfusion (Fig. 7). Gass (1968) stated that many patients with hypertension and decreased visual acuity showed no paramacular leakage. He suggested, therefore, that the macular star and exudates were due to retinal ischaemia, and were unrelated to leakage from retinal vessels. Although we can confirm this finding in Case 10, who had a visual acuity of 20/50 because of exudate and pigment, Case 9 with bilateral exudates showed bilateral paramacular leakage which did not respond to haemodialysis.

Conclusion

In this study we have examined patients in chronic renal failure in order to identify the ocular findings and determine whether the ocular status of uraemic patients is an indication for dialysis.
We found no retinal detachments in our patients. In recent years facilities for dialysis have become available in many centres, and the criteria for admission to a dialysis programme are changing, so that patients are now dialysed at a much earlier stage of their renal disease. Consequently, we are seeing fewer patients with severe retinopathy. Only two of the 26 eyes examined in this study were classified as cases of Grade IV retinopathy.

This study revealed conjunctival deposits in all uraemic male patients under 50 years of age. Although the pathogenesis of these discrete deposits is unknown, we feel their incidence is significant and warrants further study. We intend to examine patients with less severe renal disease to assess the prognostic implications of this observation.

Summary

Thirteen patients with chronic renal failure were examined with the slit lamp, indirect ophthalmoscope, 3-mirror contact lens, and retinal photography. Fluorescein angiography was performed on ten patients. Visual acuity was excellent in all eyes not amblyopic. A very high incidence of conjunctival deposits was observed, particularly in males less than 50 years old. There were no retinal detachments. The fluorescein angiograms had little practical prognostic value but demonstrated the microaneurysms, poor capillary perfusion, and paramacular leakage occasionally seen in hypertension. The ocular status of these patients did not influence the decision to commence dialysis.

We should like to thank Dr. D. Wilson of the Toronto General Hospital, Dr. D. Charron and Miss Ann Sloan of Sunnybrook Hospital, and Dr. H. Reid and her Department of Medical Publications of the Hospital for Sick Children for their help in the preparation of this article.

References

BERLYNE, G. M., and SHAW, A. B. (1967) Lancet, 1, 4
BUCHANAN, W. S., and ELLIS, P. P. (1964) Arch. Ophthal. (Chicago), 71, 182
COGAN, D. G., ALBRIGHT, F., and Bartter, F. C. (1948) Ibid., 40, 624
        Kimpton, London
        ———— (1965b) Idem, p. 869
EDITORIAL (1966) "Retinal detachment in renal failure", Brit. med. J., 2, 541
GASS, J. D. M. (1968) Ibid., 80, 569
STEINESS, L. (1968) Acta med. scand., 183, 225
Ocular signs in chronic renal failure.

M Easterbrook and C B Mortimer

doi: 10.1136/bjo.54.11.724

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://bjo.bmj.com/content/54/11/724.citation

These include:

Email alerting service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/