Effects on employment of defects in colour vision
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The more information which can be fed into a computer the greater its power; similarly we are more efficient as individuals the finer our sense of touch, the greater the range of sounds we can hear, or the closer that two points can be detected separately by the eyes. The finer the discrimination the wider is the information available for action by the intellect. This then is the measure of the value of a fully developed colour sense.

So far as colour is concerned, a majority of people (the trichromats) are able to judge its hue by reference to a three-point standard which allows accurate discrimination of a large variety of hues. A small number*, however, just over 2 per cent. of boys and about 0·1 per cent. of girls (the dichromats) have only a two-point standard, and as a result the number of hues that can be discriminated is greatly reduced.

There is also another group, perhaps 4·5 per cent. of boys and 0·3 per cent. of girls (simple anomalous trichromats) who, although possessing three-point standards, have these in unusual combination; so that, while perceiving a wide range of hues, they see them differently from the generality. Figs 1, 2, and 3 (after Farnsworth, 1947) express these differences diagrammatically. Such persons also find themselves unable to agree with colour matches accepted by most people, and in their turn make matches unacceptable to the majority.

*The figures are given only as an approximation to indicate relative frequencies. But see Kalmus (1965), Chaps 4 and 5.
Yet another group (the extreme anomalous trichomats), say 1.5 per cent. of boys and 0.1 per cent. of girls, appears to be intermediate between these two, in that they see a diminished range of hues, and can match over a wide range (Fig. 4) which usually includes the matches made by 'normal' people.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matching ranges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dichromats (whether green or red blind) of course match over the entire range from zero to 72.

These different abnormal groups comprise almost one in twelve of the male population.

It is clear that anything which reduces our power to tell different objects apart must be a handicap. What matters, however, is whether the handicap is a significant one, and in particular, whether it influences the ability to follow any particular occupation.

The handles of scissors are shaped to suit the right-handed persons who comprise the majority of the population (Figs 5 and 6) and are really quite awkward for the left-handed. Had the proportions of the left and right-handed in the population been the other way round, the scissors would have been shaped accordingly.

Similarly, had 92 per cent. of the population used only a 2-colour system for detection of hue and the remaining 8 per cent. been a somewhat freakish group seeing differences where the 'normal' population saw none, then our use of colour in industry and commerce

---

**FIG. 4** Matching ranges of various colour defects on a Pickford Anomaloscope

A person with normal colour vision makes matches centred on the vertical line through 35.5 units (on this particular instrument), and the modal matching range is three units. The section marked mmp is the normal range of middle matching point (±2 S.D.)

- CW = Colour weak
- CD = Colour deviants (these may be only the extreme limits of normal variation, which follows a Gaussian distribution)
- PA = Simple protanomaly
- DA = Simple deuteranomaly
- EDA = Extreme deuteranomaly
- EPA = Extreme protanomaly

**FIGS 5 and 6** Scissors are for the right-handed.
would have developed very differently. Probably a great deal more reliance would have been placed on the other variables, especially luminosity ('brightness').

Unfortunately for the 2-colour and the distorted 3-colour people, the world is not so constructed and they may thus be faced by material disadvantages. The commonest disability is the confusion of red and green, and these are precisely the colours most frequently used to indicate danger on the one hand and safety on the other. Instances of railway accidents due to such defects were found soon after the introduction of a signalling system (Wilson 1855); and Nettleship (1913), in a rather amusing little book, reviews the evidence. He quotes the case of a Swiss engine-driver in the 1870s who appears to have been colour blind. He had no great trouble while he had a normal fireman, but when he was joined by a colour-blind fireman, he was in trouble. This driver was able to distinguish green from white only by the greater brightness of the latter, while the red lamp was so difficult that its 'colour was almost undistinguishable until he was too near it to stop his engine' in time. He claimed to be able to imitate the three signal colours by turning the wick of his (oil) lamp more or less up or down, thus varying its brightness, while he compared it with the approaching signal. This would certainly not be possible at today's speeds. There is no record that he had any serious accident, despite his disability, although he was frequently fined for breaches of the regulations. It is strange that the authorities in most countries seemed reluctant to introduce legislation against this danger. Even after an accident had occurred and a question of misreading signals arose, no effort seems to have been made by the judiciary to check the colour vision of those testifying to the colour of the signal lamps displayed (and witnesses as well as those accused should of course be tested). Apart from reluctance by employers to lose, or to exclude otherwise suitable men, a major reason may well have been the lack of an easily applied and reliable test until comparatively recently.

Even in 1897, a man involved in an accident was examined by the Board of Trade with the inefficient Holmgren Wool test. It was not until the 20th century that simple tests of reasonable accuracy such as the Ishihara test became generally available.

However slow the acceptance of the dangers of colour defect may have been, it is not unlikely that modern techniques have increased the difficulties for the colour-blind. The use of colour-coding makes processes simpler or safer for the colour-normal but, unless they are specially thought-out with colour deficiency in mind, they make the former's task more difficult or impossible. The first necessity is to identify those at hazard.

**Present investigations**

Since 1962 this identification has been attempted in Scotland during the school years. The techniques employed (see Appendix) and the standards adopted have been given in previous papers (Taylor, 1966, 1970a) and will not be repeated here. Roughly 20,000 children have been tested by school medical officers in Ayrshire since 1963, most of them at routine school examinations at age 12 or 13, but some at other ages. Those failing an Ishihara test were then referred to my advisory clinic for full analysis.

Any child, whose colour vision was doubtful to either teacher or parent, was also seen; no accurate estimation of the frequency can be made since the underlying population is not precisely known, and some 2 to 5 per cent. each year failed to attend in spite of being given repeated opportunities.

**Material**

Between 1965, when this advisory clinic was started, and late 1970, 904 children were examined. Of these seventy had no significant colour defect whatever, and three had
apparently a blue-yellow defect (not fully tested), leaving 831 (776 boys and 58 girls) with red/green deficiency. In all cases enquiry was made as to the career proposed, and advice was proffered as to what action should be taken after any defects had been diagnosed.

The distribution of defects is set out in Table I.

**Table I  Type of colour defect, by sex**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Defect</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour blind</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDA</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour weak</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low colour</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>discrimination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue/yellow</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>defect only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[P = \text{Protanopia} \quad (\text{complete red blindness})\]
\[\text{EPA} = \text{Extreme protanomaly} \quad (\text{severe red blindness})\]
\[\text{PA} = \text{Simple protanomaly} \quad (\text{moderate red blindness})\]
\[D = \text{Deuteranopia} \quad (\text{complete green blindness})\]
\[\text{EDA} = \text{Extreme deuteranomaly} \quad (\text{severe green blindness})\]
\[\text{DA} = \text{Simple deuteranomaly} \quad (\text{moderate green blindness})\]

Colour weak—Middle matching point normal but range wide ("insensitive")

Low colour discrimination—Poor judgement of colours near each other in hue

The first group, ‘colour-blind’, contains those usually considered in this context, but the ‘colour weak’ and those with ‘low colour discrimination’ have also to be considered in the light of their future occupation.

The children's ages at the time of the examination ranged from 10 to 18 years, with about two-thirds being aged 13 or 14 years.

As would be expected the percentage who had decided on a future career increased fairly steadily with age (Fig. 7). About half were at the end of their primary schooling and the rest in their last year or so at secondary school.

**Classification of careers**

From those who had chosen, a division was made into "suitable" and "unsuitable", based on the recommendations of the Careers Bulletin of the Youth Employment Service, after due consideration of the degree of disability found in relation to the particular career suggested (Table II, opposite).
Table II  Choice of career, by age and sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th></th>
<th>Female</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suitable</td>
<td>Unsuitable</td>
<td>Suitable</td>
<td>Unsuitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The kinds of career considered unsuitable were then divided into those in which colour defect can be considered a minor handicap, a major handicap, or a definite bar (Table III).

Table III  Adverse effects of colour defects on choice of occupation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handicap</th>
<th>Minor (81)</th>
<th>Major (145)</th>
<th>Definite bar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agriculture (e.g. Gardener)</td>
<td>Agriculture (e.g. Fruit trials officer)</td>
<td>Navigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching</td>
<td>Agriculture (e.g. Chemistry)</td>
<td>Textile trade (e.g. Carpet designer)</td>
<td>Traffic control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textile</td>
<td>Agriculture (e.g. Butcher)</td>
<td>Textile trade (e.g. Carpenter)</td>
<td>Radio-telegraphy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail trade</td>
<td>Service (e.g. Electrician)</td>
<td>Service (e.g. Draper)</td>
<td>Electrical work (post-office and Railway Officer in Forces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Armed Forces (e.g. Medical officer)</td>
<td>Armed Forces (e.g. Artillery)</td>
<td>Police</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some careers, such as teacher, are too vaguely described and must be more exactly specified (Table IV), while others, although possibly containing elements where a colour defect would be a handicap—such as 'market gardener', should be more closely defined (Table IV), when it may be possible by eliminating the risk to follow the career after all.

Table IV  Careers requiring further definition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Career not adequately specified (43)</th>
<th>Exact task not specified (56)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With animals</td>
<td>Farming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching</td>
<td>Marine Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A trade</td>
<td>Retail trade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A profession</td>
<td>Physicist</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Tables refer primarily to the degree of difficulty likely to be encountered in a particular occupation, which has then to be weighed against the subjects’ degree of defect. The occupations quoted are by no means exhaustive, including only those suggested to me by the children. Of course, the career suggested by any boy is obviously strongly conditioned by what he believes to be available (quite apart from the cases in which he proposes to follow his father’s occupation). For example, a boy living in Kilmarnock may choose to be a cooper because of the existence of a large firm of whisky distillers in his town.

Further examples may be obtained from the ‘Report on Defective Colour Vision in Industry’ (Physical Society, 1946) and the ‘Careers Bulletin’ of the Youth Employment Service (1966, 1968). Although 355 children had chosen an unsuitable career, 389 careers were involved, since 34 had given alternative (and also unsuitable) careers. A further ten children had given an alternative which was suitable.

Difficulties in the careers shown in the first two categories in Table III may be encountered even with fairly minor degrees of colour defect, although the particular occupation may still be possible, at a cost in extra effort. Awareness of the exact nature and extent of the defect is of material assistance to this end. It is also to be remembered that what is a major handicap during the training period may only be of minor significance once the individual is trained—it may be possible to avoid branches of an industry in which the defect is vital—such as to avoid specializing in skin disease if one is a doctor of medicine; to use instrumental methods to detect colour end-points if one is a chemist; or to become boss of the firm and get others to do the colour-matching if one is a painter and decorator.

The undefined careers shown in Table IV are ‘blanket’ descriptions, where the occupation suggested has many branches, some of which are unsuitable but some perfectly safe for the colour-blind.

The occupations in which the exact task involved needs to be specified are also shown in Table IV. An example is a storeman in a carpet factory who had to hand out wools as required. It was ascertained that these were all numbered, but of course the man who dyed this wool to specification, the man who approved the matching between successive batches, the man who chose them from the colours in the designer’s cartoon, and the man who put on the numbers all required accuracy of colour perception. This is the kind of problem which could be answered by a ‘Trade Test’—in which the appointee is aslled to perform operations similar to those which he would have to carry out in practice (Wright, 1964).

Two provisos must be made if ‘Trade Tests’ are adopted (Taylor 1970b):

(i) The conditions must be those encountered in the works—if cables can sometimes be dirty or lighting poor, then must they be so in the test.

(ii) The results are not to be regarded as of general application, but only to the trade tested.

An example of this was given by Sloan and Habel (1955), in discussing the requirements for air-crew, which also shows the difference between wide-field and point-source stimulation (stimulating a wide area of the retina or only the fovea).

The last group includes the occupations which are completely ruled out by colour defect (Table III).

**Advice to patients**

After diagnosing the presence, nature, and extent of the defect, and having ascertained
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the type of career proposed, what advice should be given? As suggested earlier, this may vary with the stage in that career, so the advice should take cognisance of:

1. The effect of the defect on schooling;
2. The effect during the period of training;
3. The effect on performance in the actual task;
4. The safety factor—car driving or sailing a boat after dark.

Throughout this consideration, a due sense of humility must be preserved. Except in the careers where any significant error is a bar or the lives of others may be endangered, the frequency of the triumph of determination over difficulties must be remembered.

The fact of the defect should be made clear to the boy and his parents, the problems that it is liable to lead to in his suggested career should be mentioned, and possible alternatives should be suggested or reference made to the careers officer.

Having given all this advice, is any notice whatever taken of it? I began to wonder when I reviewed one of my former patients. This boy, now 17, was found in March, 1968, to have a simple deuteranomaly. At that time his stated career was “teacher of history”—perfectly suitable—however he left school early and was employed as a trainee electrician! This sounded quite unsuitable but on closer questioning it was found that in fact his work was assembling components of switch-gear in a factory, all shining colours of pristine freshness under fully adequate lighting and all parts presented in separate trays to facilitate the operation.

It will have been noted there were only 224 suitable choices of career out of 613 choices made. That is, two out of three colour defective boys choose badly. In 1966 I had already noted that more than half of the choices were unsuitable. I wondered then whether there was something about colour blindness which made the subject gravitate towards the wrong career.

I still wonder.

Summary

In the course of examining 831 Ayrshire school children with deficiency in red-green vision, a decision had to be made as to the suitability of suggested future careers. Recommendations were made concerning future difficulties or dangers, and the basis upon which these suggestions may be made are discussed.

I am indebted to Mr. G. Donald, D.A. Senior Lecturer, Department of Medical Illustration, Glasgow University, for the figures.

I have also to acknowledge the assistance of my colleague Dr. K. Ahmad in 1969 and 1970 with the 100-Hue and pseudo-isochromatic tests.

Appendix

Tests used

1. PSEUDO-ISOCROMATIC PLATES
   Ishihara
   Tokyo Medical College
   American Optical (H.R.R.)
   Farnsworth F2
II. ISOCHROMATIC DISCRIMINATION TEST
   Farnsworth's 100-hue Test.

III. ANOMALOSCOPES
   Nagel, Model II
   Pickford (1966) Model
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