An epidemic of picornavirus and adenovirus conjunctivitis

From the Ugraded Department of Pathology and Bacteriology, K.G. Medical College, Lucknow, India

A pandemic of acute haemorrhagic conjunctivitis occurred all over south-east Asia and Japan during 1970 and 1971 (Kono, Sasagawa, Ishii, Sugiuira, Ochi, Matsumiya, Uchida, Kameyama, Kaneko, and Sakurai, 1972). A similar epidemic occurred in 1969 in Ghana (Chatterjee, Quarcoopome, and Apenteng, 1970a, b) and Nigeria (Parrott, 1971). In the Indian subcontinent the epidemic of conjunctivitis was first reported in Bombay during April 1971, and later spread almost all over India. Cases of conjunctivitis started increasing at Lucknow during the last week of May 1971, reaching a peak in June, and declining by July. Picorna-like viruses have been isolated from cases in Singapore (Lim and Yin-Murphy, 1971; Yin-Murphy, 1972) and in Japan (Kono and others, 1972). The epidemic at Lucknow was peculiar in that adenoviruses as well as picornaviruses appear to have been present in these cases.

Material and methods

The study was carried out by the ophthalmologist (RCS) on 253 typical cases of conjunctivitis which presented at Gandhi Memorial and associated hospitals for treatment. Out of 253 patients 84.5 per cent were aged between 11 and 40 years. The youngest was 3 years old and the oldest 65 years. The illness started unilaterally, and most of the population at Lucknow was affected. There were multiple cases in a family and the infection could be transmitted even by casual conversation. The incubation period was less than 24 hours in most cases. The chief complaints were a gritty sensation in the eyes, watering, and photophobia. A few patients had upper respiratory symptoms. Ocular examination revealed redness, oedema of the conjunctiva and lids, subconjunctival haemorrhage in a number of cases, and enlarged preauricular lymph nodes. The cornea remained unaffected. The detailed clinical findings have been reported by Saxena, Bhatia, and Chaturvedi (1972).

Bacteria were identified by their morphology, cultural characters, and biochemical reactions. Smears were prepared from conjunctival scrapings of 61 patients and stained with Giemsa stain to study the pattern of cellular infiltration and to determine whether inclusion bodies suggestive of viral infection would be found.

Virological investigations

 Conjunctival swabs and scrapings were collected for virological study within 48 hours of the onset of illness. Paired sera were collected from 15 patients for study of antibody titres.

Virus isolation

This was done on primary rhesus monkey kidney tissue culture. Each sample was inoculated into a group of four tissue culture tubes. These were incubated stationary at 37°C and were examined daily for 15 days. Tubes showing cytopathic effects were subcultured.

Adenovirus serology

Haemagglutination test

The cytopathic agents were tested for haemagglutinin using albino rat and rhesus monkey erythrocytes. It was found that only rat erythrocytes were agglutinated by the isolates; therefore for further experiments only erythrocytes from albino rats were used, taking the precautions described by Rosen (1960). Hyperimmune antisera were raised against two of the isolates in rabbits by the technique of Rosen (1960). After treating the hyperimmune sera with 25 per cent kaolin and absorption with rat erythrocytes, a haemagglutination inhibition (HI) test was performed on all the isolates. The HI tests were repeated in the presence of heterologous antisera (Rosen, 1960). With eight of the selected isolates chessboard titrations were done using the hyperimmune sera described above. Paired sera collected during the acute phase and in convalescence were tested for HI antibodies against one of the isolates.

Electron microscopy

One of the agents was studied under the electron microscope in the monkey kidney tissue culture fluid and after passage in HeLa cells at Birmingham.

Identification

This agent was finally identified at the Virus Reference Laboratory, London, by a neutralization test.
PICORNAVIRUS SEROLOGY

In nine pairs of sera, antibodies were studied by neutralization tests against the 15477/71 strain isolated by Dr Chang from an epidemic of conjunctivitis in Hong Kong in 1971 and also against the EC2/71 strain isolated from a case of acute haemorrhagic conjunctivitis in Singapore in 1971, containing 30 to 100 TCD\textsubscript{50} infective doses. Equal volumes of suspensions of these viruses and each dilution of a doubling dilution series of sera were held at 37\textdegree C for 1 hr before each of two HeLa tissue cultures was inoculated with 0.2 ml of mixture containing 30 to 100 TCD\textsubscript{50} of virus. The inoculated cultures were rolled at 37\textdegree C and read on the third and fourth day.

Results

LABORATORY FINDINGS

Total and differential leucocyte counts were done on 183 patients. Normal counts were found in 148 patients (80.8 per cent). Leucocytosis was observed in 34 patients (18.7 per cent) and leucopenia in one. The differential leucocyte counts showed neutrophilia in three cases, lymphocytosis in 40, and eosinophilia in thirty.

BACTERIOLOGICAL FINDINGS

Staphylococcus aureus was isolated from seven patients (2.7 per cent).

EXAMINATION OF CONJUNCTIVAL SCRAPINGS

The findings from conjunctival scrapings are shown in Table I. Intranuclear masses suggestive of inclusion bodies due to adenovirus infection (Fig. 1) were present in conjunctival cells of 26 out of 61 patients (42.6 per cent). In 49 cases both cytological examination and isolation of virus were attempted. In 24 cases adenovirus isolation was positive, and nine of these had intranuclear masses in conjunctival scrapings. Similar intranuclear inclusions were seen in nine of the 25 isolation negative cases. Conversely, nine of the 18 cases with intranuclear masses yielded adenovirus, and of the 31 cases without intranuclear masses, 15 were positive for adenovirus. There was thus no correlation between the dense intranuclear masses seen and positive cultures for adenovirus. Infiltration by mononuclear cells (Figs 2 and 3) was seen in 40 patients (95.6 per cent).

![Fig. 1 Smear of conjunctival scraping. Epithelial cell containing irregular intranuclear inclusion body (arrow). Giesma. \( \times 500 \)](http://bjo.bmj.com/Downloaded_from)
FIG. 2 Smear of conjunctival scraping. Collection of conjunctival epithelial cells with infiltration by mononuclear cells. Giesma. × 200

FIG. 3 Smear of conjunctival scraping from another patient. Conjunctival epithelial cells and infiltrating mononuclear cells. Giesma. × 200
VIROLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

Viruses causing a cytopathic effect (CPE) of the kind caused by adenoviruses were isolated from conjunctival swabs from 74 patients. The CPE was observed after 7–10 days’ incubation of inoculated cultures. All these isolates haemagglutinated rat, but not rhesus monkey, erythrocytes. Altogether 62 of the isolates (84.5 per cent) gave a positive haemagglutination inhibition test with hyperimmune antiserum raised in rabbits against two of the isolates. Table II shows the findings of the chessboard HI tests done on eight isolates.

Table II  Results of chessboard HI test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen no.</th>
<th>k no.</th>
<th>HI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>71346</td>
<td>2779</td>
<td>128*/32†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71379</td>
<td>2788</td>
<td>32/64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71359</td>
<td>2790</td>
<td>64/128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71390</td>
<td>2866</td>
<td>32/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71259</td>
<td>2876</td>
<td>64/32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71445</td>
<td>2654</td>
<td>256/128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71456</td>
<td>2658</td>
<td>256/128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71354</td>
<td>2940</td>
<td>64/128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Numerator = highest antigen dilution
† Denominator = highest antibody dilution

Electron microscopy revealed particles of typical adenovirus morphology in one of the isolates. Similar virus particles were observed after passing this agent in HeLa cells. It was identified as adenovirus type 2 by serum neutralization.

Paired sera collected from 15 patients were tested for HI antibodies against one of the isolated adenovirus-like agents. A significant rise in antibody titre was seen in seven patients. Paired sera from nine of these patients were tested for antibodies against the two strains of picorna viruses and against adenovirus. Six pairs of sera showed more than a fourfold rise against EC2/71 strain, while in two pairs a small rise of less than 8 to a titre of 12 was seen. None of the sera had antibodies against the 15477/71 strain (Table III). Furthermore, adenovirus-like viruses were isolated from conjunctival swabs of the two patients (71327 and 71370) in whose sera rising antibodies against the EC2/71 strain were found, as well as rising levels of antibody to adenovirus (<10 to 80 and <10 to 40 respectively).

Table III  Picornavirus antibodies in paired sera

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen no.</th>
<th>Date of onset in 1971</th>
<th>Date of collection of sera</th>
<th>Picornavirus antibodies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>71179</td>
<td>10 June</td>
<td>11 June</td>
<td>&lt;16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>28 June</td>
<td>&lt;16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71198</td>
<td>14 June</td>
<td>17 June</td>
<td>&lt;16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>3 July</td>
<td>&lt;16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71290</td>
<td>26 June</td>
<td>28 June</td>
<td>&lt;16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>18 July</td>
<td>&lt;16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71315</td>
<td>29 June</td>
<td>1 July</td>
<td>&lt;16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>18 July</td>
<td>&lt;16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*71327</td>
<td>1 July</td>
<td>2 July</td>
<td>&lt;16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>25 July</td>
<td>&lt;16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71360</td>
<td>4 July</td>
<td>5 July</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>25 July</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*71370</td>
<td>4 July</td>
<td>6 July</td>
<td>&lt;16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>27 July</td>
<td>&lt;16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71433</td>
<td>8 July</td>
<td>10 July</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>30 July</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71653</td>
<td>19 Aug.</td>
<td>20 Aug.</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>6 Sept.</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Rising levels of antibody to adenovirus
NT = Not tested

Discussion

The findings of the present study demonstrate that 62 antigenically identical cytopathic agents were isolated from conjunctival swabs in monkey kidney tissue culture. One of them was found to be an adenovirus by electron microscopy and was finally identified as adenovirus type 2. Further evidence of adenovirus infection was the rising antibody titres in seven pairs of sera. The findings thus point to adenovirus infection in a number of patients.

The presence of dense intranuclear masses suggestive of adenovirus inclusions did not correlate with the isolation of adenovirus.

From similar cases of epidemic conjunctivitis in south-east Asia and Japan (Kono and others, 1972; Lim and Yin-Murphy, 1971), two different strains of picorna-like viruses have been isolated. We could not isolate any such agent from our specimens. Therefore, the paired sera collected during acute and convalescent phases of illness were tested for the presence of antibodies against picornaviruses. Rising titres against EC2/71 strain (isolated at Singapore in 1971) were observed in six out of nine pairs of sera.
tested. This indicates that the picorna-like virus was also implicated in the aetiology of the cases at Lucknow. It may be mentioned that the EC2/71 strain is antigenically similar (Yin-Murphy and Lim, 1972) to that isolated by Kono and others (1972) in Japan. The findings thus show that the epidemic at Lucknow was similar to that in Singapore and Japan. Our failure to isolate the picornavirus may be because we used only primary monkey kidney tissue cultures, as was also found by Lim and Yin-Murphy (1971).

The interesting aspect of the epidemic at Lucknow has been the presence of adenoviruses as well as picornaviruses in many patients. In two of the patients from whose conjunctival swabs adenovirus was isolated, rising antibody titres against EC2/71 were also present. A similar double infection has been observed by Dr M. S. Pereira (personal communication) in an epidemic of conjunctivitis in London. Another peculiar feature of this epidemic in India has been the observation of neurological complications. In three patients we observed paresis of the soft palate which developed after the conjunctivitis (Saxena and others, 1972) had subsided. Similar findings of lumbar radiculomyelopathy have been observed in Bombay by Wadia (personal communication). It is not certain whether these complications were aetiologically related to the conjunctivitis or if they are merely coincidental.

Summary

A pandemic of acute haemorrhagic conjunctivitis occurred in south-east Asia during 1970 and 1971, and became epidemic in Lucknow in May 1971. From the conjunctival swabs adenovirus-like agents were isolated in monkey kidney tissue culture; one was typed as adenovirus 2. In paired sera rising antibody titres were found against an adeno-like agent isolated and the picornavirus (EC2/71) isolated in Singapore. The epidemics in south-east Asia were caused by a picornavirus while in Lucknow both adenovirus and picornavirus appeared to be implicated.
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