Isolation of *Chlamydia trachomatis* from eye secretion (tears)
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**SUMMARY** Shedding of *Chlamydia trachomatis* in the eye secretion (tears) of patients with either hyperendemic trachoma or paratrachoma was studied. The method of collection of eye secretion with cellulose sponges is proved to be simple, faster, and more practicable and yielded a higher rate of chlamydial isolation than aspiration. The chlamydial isolation rates in eye secretion in chlamydia-positive paratrachoma patients in London or trachoma patients in Iran was 84 and 49% respectively. It was found that the chlamydial isolation rate from eye secretion is directly related to the number of inclusions present in the conjunctival swablings. The results of this study indicated that patients with moderate to severe hyperendemic trachoma or paratrachoma are the main reservoir of infection. In the developing countries of the Middle East and Africa the shedding of chlamydia in the eye secretion of persons with these diseases is a major factor in the transmission of them by means of flies, fingers, towels, or bed clothes.

Hyperendemic trachoma is commonly transmitted from eye to eye in circumstances which involve frequent contacts between eyes or the discharge therefrom (Jones et al., 1976). Flies, fingers, towels, bed-clothes, and other clothes are considered to have an important role in the transmission of hyperendemic trachoma. Recently Jones et al. (1976) demonstrated that flies can transmit fluorescein-labelled eye discharges from the eyes of one child to the eyes of adjacent children with remarkable speed and precision.

Paratrachoma of sexually transmitted origin (inclusion conjunctivitis, TRIC punctate keratoconjunctivitis, and endemic trachoma), which is prevalent in the urban communities of developed countries, is commonly transmitted from the genital tract to the eye and only rarely by eye to eye transmission (Jones, 1964).

The present investigation was undertaken to provide quantitative data on the shedding of *Chlamydia trachomatis* in the eye secretion (tears) of patients with either hyperendemic trachoma or paratrachoma and to examine the correlation with the presence of viable chlamydia in the conjunctiva.

**Patients and methods**

**SELECTION OF PATIENTS**

Patients included in this study were those attending the External Eye Disease Clinic, Moorfields Eye Hospital, London, with acute follicular conjunctivitis suggestive of paratrachoma, and the inhabitants of 3 villages in southern Iran with various grades of active trachomatous inflammatory changes or inactive hyperendemic trachoma.

**COLLECTION OF EYE SECRETIONS AND CONJUNCTIVAL SWABBINGS**

In all cases eye secretion was collected before conjunctival swabblings. In the first 28 consecutive London cases eye secretion from both eyes was collected by aspiration with glass capillary tubes, then were suspended in a plastic capsule containing 2SP transport medium (Gordon et al., 1969) with additional 3% fetal calf serum, and stored in a liquid nitrogen refrigerator (−180°C). The amount of eye secretion collected from both eyes in this way was approximately 0.1 ml in each case.

In a further 20 London cases and in all patients in 3 villages in Iran eye secretion was collected by cellulose sponges (Spontex Ltd., Croydon, Surrey, England) measuring 5 × 3 × 1 mm. One sponge was placed in the lower fornix of the conjunctiva of...
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Each eye and allowed to become saturated with secretion. Sponges collected from the left and right eyes were placed together in one plastic capsule containing transport medium and stored in a liquid nitrogen refrigerator. The amount of eye secretion collected by sponges from the left and right eye together was approximately 0.1 ml.

After collection of eye secretion conjunctival swabblings were collected from the whole conjunctiva (upper tarsus, upper fornix, and lower lid) of the right and left eyes as described previously (Darougar and Jones, 1971). The paired swabblings from the right and left eyes were pooled in a plastic capsule containing transport medium and stored at -180°C.

Isolation Test

The simplified one-passage technique of culture in irradiated McCoy cells (Darougar et al., 1971) was used for isolation of chlamydia from eye secretion and conjunctival swabblings. Each specimen was inoculated into 2 tubes. Of these, 1 tube was fixed after approximately 60 hours’ incubation, stained with Giemsa stain, and examined by dark-field illumination. The number of inclusions identified in this tube was recorded. The second tube was harvested and repassaged for serotyping of the isolates.

Results

In London the eye secretions from 28 consecutive patients were collected by aspiration and from a further 20 patients by cellulose sponges. In those patients with positive conjunctival swabblings the Chlamydia trachomatis isolation rate in eye secretions collected by aspiration or sponges was 75 and 100% respectively (Table 1).

Conjunctival swabblings and eye secretions were collected in parallel from a total of 752 patients in Iran. In these patients C. trachomatis was isolated in conjunctival swabblings in 57 (8%) and in eye secretion in 28 (4%) (Table 2).

In cases of paratrachoma the average numbers of inclusions obtained in conjunctival swabblings and in eye secretion were 436 and 75 respectively (Table 2). In 32 paratrachoma patients with positive conjunctival swabblings the eye secretion was negative in 5 (16%).

In 57 trachoma patients with positive conjunctival swabblings the eye secretion was negative in 32 (56%). In the trachoma group the eye secretion was positive in 3 patients whereas the conjunctival swabblings were negative. The average number of inclusions obtained in conjunctival swabblings and in eye secretions in these patients are shown in Table 2.

The isolation rate of C. trachomatis in eye secretion correlated well with the number of infectious chlamydial particles obtained from the conjunctiva by swabblings. In patients with over 1000 inclusions from culture of their conjunctival swabblings the eye secretion was positive in 75%, whereas in those with fewer than 10 inclusions from culture of their conjunctival swabblings this rate was only 31% (Table 3).

Discussion

In the present study the eye secretion was collected by aspiration in capillary tubes in the first 28 cases of paratrachoma. We found this method to be tedious, time-consuming, and hardly practicable. Alternatively, we used cellulose sponges for collection of eye secretion. This method is being used successfully in our laboratory and field work for detection of antichlamydial antibody in eye secretion (Treharne et al., 1977; Darougar et al.,

Table 1 Isolation of C. trachomatis from eye secretion collected by aspiration or sponges and compared with isolation from conjunctival swabblings in patients with paratrachoma in London

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method of collection</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>No. of positive swabbing</th>
<th>No. of positive eye secretion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspiration</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponges</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Isolation of C. trachomatis from eye secretion and conjunctival swabblings from patients with paratrachoma in London and hyperendemic trachoma in Iran

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>No. of positives</th>
<th>Average No. of inclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eye secretion</td>
<td>Conjunc. swab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>27 (56%)</td>
<td>32 (67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>28 (4%)</td>
<td>57 (8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Chlamydial isolation rate in eye secretion in relation to the number of inclusions obtained from conjunctiva

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>No. of inclusions by swabbing (per patient)</th>
<th>No. of positive eye secretion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Over 1000</td>
<td>9 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>101-1000</td>
<td>19 (61%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>11-100</td>
<td>20 (61%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1-10</td>
<td>4 (31%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1978). This method proved to be simple, faster, and more practicable for collection of eye secretion and yielded a higher rate of chlamydial isolation than did aspiration.

The chlamydial isolation rate in eye secretion in patients with positive culture (by swabbing) for *C. trachomatis* in London and in Iran was 27 out of 32 (84%) and 28 out of 57 (49%) respectively. This difference may be related to the degree of intensity of inflammatory changes in the conjunctiva and the number of inclusions present in the conjunctiva or the amount of eye discharge.

Darougar *et al.* (1977) have shown that the chlamydial isolation rate from conjunctiva is generally related to the intensity of inflammatory changes in the eye. In London and in Tunisia in patients with clinically diagnosed moderate to severe paratrachoma or trachoma the isolation rate for *C. trachomatis* was 90 and 73% respectively, whereas in patients with mild trachoma this rate was as low as 23% (Darougar *et al.*, 1977).

In the present study we found that the chlamydial isolation rate from eye secretion is directly related to the number of inclusions present in the conjunctiva as demonstrated by isolation tests from conjunctival swabblings. In patients with an average number of 1000 inclusions or more from their conjunctival swabblings the isolation rate in eye secretion was as high as 75%, but in those patients with an average number of 1 to 10 inclusions the eye secretion was positive in only 31% (Table 3).

The result of this study indicates that patients with moderate to severe hyperendemic trachoma or paratrachoma are the main reservoir of infectious agent being shed from the eyes. They are harbouring more infectious agent and are shedding greater numbers of viable particles in their eye secretion. It is also possible that the excess amount of mucoid discharge in the eye of these patients may have an important role in protecting chlamydia from adverse effects of environmental factors.

In the developing countries of the Middle East and Africa the shedding of chlamydia in eye secretion of patients with moderate to severe trachoma is a major factor in transmission of the disease by means of flies, fingers, towels, or bedclothes. In London, although shedding of chlamydia in eye secretion is common, the eye to eye transmission of the disease is rare (Jones, 1964). This, in general, is due to higher standards of personal and ocular hygiene and the absence of eye-seeking flies in the community.

In eye secretion antichlamydial antibody has been found in 85% of patients with paratrachoma (Darougar *et al.*, 1978), and in up to 47% of patients with moderate to severe hyperendemic trachoma (Treharne *et al.*, in preparation). Barenfanger and MacDonald (1974) have shown that eye secretions with antitrachoma antibody neutralise the trachoma agent. However, isolation of chlamydia in eye secretion may suggest that in the patients' eyes antichlamydial antibodies may have little or no effect on chlamydia.
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