Lymphoproliferative response as an index of cellular immunity in malignant melanoma of the uvea and its correlation with the histological features of the tumour
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SUMMARY Cell-mediated immunity and immune complexes were studied in 22 cases of malignant melanoma of the choroid and in 3 patients with iris melanoma. An attempt has been made for the first time to correlate the cell-mediated immunological changes with the histological features of the tumour and the extent of the disease. In most of the patients the lymphoblastic response to tuberculin and phytohaemagglutinin was within normal range, indicating that there is no obvious suppression of T lymphocyte-dependent immunity in intraocular melanoma. However, only about half the patients showed an increased lymphoproliferative response to their own tumour antigens or to pooled allogeneic melanoma extracts. The response to the latter was invariably weaker, suggesting that although melanoma antigens may show cross-reactivity there exists an element of individual specificity. As the transformation index was generally low (i.e., ≤3) it is tempting to conclude that uveal melanomas are either poorly immunogenic or that the immune system is insufficiently stimulated when the tumour is confined within the eye ball. The latter would seem more likely. Although the lymphocyte transformation test appears to be unreliable in the early diagnosis of intraocular melanoma, it promises to be useful in the prognosis of this tumour. The host immune system appears to be better stimulated if the tumour is large and contains a detectable proportion of epithelioid cells. Histochemical and ultrastructural examination of the lymphocytes infiltrating the tumour showed evidence of cytoplasmic activation, suggesting that these cells may be actively engaged in tumour homoeostasis. With one exception Clq-binding immune complexes were not raised in the blood of the patients examined so that tumour-enhancement (through this mechanism at least) may not be an important feature in the natural history of intraocular melanoma.

Interest in the natural history of malignant melanoma of the uvea and in the existence of a host defence mechanism has been stimulated by the observation that uveal melanomas may remain localised for long periods—over 30 years in 1 case.¹ It is also known that malignant melanomas of the iris rarely metastasise,²³ while some intraocular melanomas may even undergo spontaneous regression.⁴⁵ In an attempt to explain this puzzling behaviour of some uveal melanomas several hypotheses have been put forward, such as the effects of the host environment,⁶ defensive substances,⁷ biochemical barriers,⁸ and specific immune mechanisms.⁹ Although much of the antigenicity of tumour extracts is attributable to components shared with non-neoplastic cells, there are also antigens which are peculiar to tumour cells in general and uveal melanoma in particular.¹ These tumour-associated antigens are conveniently divided into 2 categories: those associated with the surface membrane and those linked with the intracytoplasmic contents. The surface membrane antigens can initiate a transplantation rejection process, so that these antigens have also been called tumour-specific transplantation antigens. Both surface and intracytoplasmic tumour-associated antigens have been...
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Described in malignant melanoma of the choroid,\textsuperscript{9,10} and it would seem that, whereas there is a considerable sharing of intracytoplasmic tumour antigens between one uveal melanoma and another, most surface antigens are peculiar to an individual tumour. It is possible, however, that melanomas of the uvea may resemble those of the skin in having two additional types of surface antigens: one being specific to melanoma cells but common to a number of individual tumours (histotype-specific) and the other shared with neoplastic and non-neoplastic tissues in a number of species.\textsuperscript{11-13} Since antibodies do not penetrate intact cell membranes, the role of cytoplasmic antigens in stimulating an effective host defence mechanism is questionable. Conversely, antibodies to surface membrane antigens appear to be cytotoxic to uveal melanoma cells in short-term tissue culture studies\textsuperscript{14} and are more likely to be involved in host immunity. It is now accepted that, as with graft rejection, the control of tumour growth is mainly executed by T lymphocytes, which differ from antibody in not requiring complement to exert cytotoxic activity and in being able to penetrate solid tissues (Fig. 1). Delayed cutaneous hypersensitivity to soluble extracts of melanoma cells have been studied in patients with intraocular malignant melanoma.\textsuperscript{15} Although the majority of the patients tested showed a positive skin reaction to the test antigen, the reaction was negative in a significant proportion of histologically confirmed patients, and false positive results were obtained in about 20\% of patients with benign or non-neoplastic intraocular lesions.

Although the skin test appears to correlate well with cellular immunity, particularly against infective organisms, the expression of skin reactivity itself

---

Fig. 1 Tumour-associated surface antigens (TASA) lead to both humoral and cellular immune responses. An antibody-mediated reaction on the surface of a neoplastic cell may lead to direct cell damage either through the lytic activity of the complement or through a K cell and macrophage-related response. Activated T lymphocytes are cytotoxic to cancer cells. Tumour necrosis is accentuated by the release of inflammatory lymphokines, some of which are known to activate and arm macrophages to destroy neoplastic cells. The release of tumour-associated cytoplasmic antigen (TACA) from damaged cells further stimulates the immune system and leads to the formation of immune complexes which either produce local inflammatory reaction and tumour necrosis or lead to the blockade of T cell receptors and tumour enhancement. This tumour enhancement may also result from several other humoral factors and various tumour cell products.
depends not only on the infiltrating T lymphocyte but also on the vasculature and the microanatomy of the test site and the capacity of an individual to mount a nonspecific inflammatory response following injury.16 To overcome these in-vivo limitations it has been suggested that in-vitro tests should be carried out. Manor and co-workers17 therefore studied direct (i.e., 1-stage) macrophage migration inhibition tests in patients with uveal melanoma in which a crude extract obtained from 4 allogeneic (i.e., homologous) intraocular melanomas were used as a source of antigen. This test seemed to provide good discrimination between malignant and benign pigmented tumours. However, its specificity as an indicator of cellular immunity is now being questioned because tissue extracts may either be cytotoxic to leucocytes and cause nonspecific migration inhibition or contain other substances such as antibodies which may alter the cell membrane of these cells and inhibit their movement.18 It has been suggested, therefore, that indirect (i.e., 2-stage) migration inhibition assays (in which the macrophages are incubated with the supernate obtained from a culture of patients' lymphocytes incubated separately with the test antigen) should provide more reliable results.19

Cell-mediated immunity in malignant tumours including those of the uvea has also been studied by a macrophage electrophoretic mobility (MEM) test.20,21 The technique is based on the concept that lymphocytes from patients with cancer are sensitised to a basic protein present in human brain and cancer cells. When incubated with this antigen, the sensitised lymphocytes release a macrophage-slowing factor which can be detected by its effect on the electrophoretic mobility of guinea-pig macrophages. This technique differs from the conventional macrophage migration inhibition test in that in the MEM test it is the passive movement rather than the active migration which is grossly affected. Although the validity of this in-vitro blood test has been confirmed,22,23 several other workers, including ourselves, have been unable to do so and found that technical limitations which make standardisation difficult render the test of little value.21,24–26

Malignant melanoma of the uvea can pose diagnostic problems despite the development of such sophisticated techniques as fluorescein angiography, the 3P test, and ultrasonography. A mistaken diagnosis of malignancy is more common in small tumours of the uvea,27 and the incidence of unnecessary enucleation may be as high as 20%.28,29 Because of this there is a considerable incentive to develop a reliable technique for the preoperative diagnosis of malignant melanoma.

The serological diagnosis of an intraocular malignant melanoma appears to be difficult because of the presence of ostensible antimelanoma antibodies in patients with non-neoplastic eye disease,12,13 and it seems unlikely that antibodies alone are responsible for tumour homoeostasis. We decided, therefore, to investigate other parameters of host immunity to tumours. Since skin tests, leucocyte migration inhibition tests, and macrophage electrophoretic mobility tests have been carried out with variable success in the diagnosis of intraocular malignancy, and it has been reported that the lymphocyte transformation test using radioactive thymidine is easy to quantitate and correlates well with the extent of the disease in relation to malignant melanoma of the skin,31 we have attempted to evaluate the usefulness of the latter test as an immunodiagnostic procedure.

Methods and materials

Fresh tumour cells obtained from enucleated eyes were homogenised in sterile phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4) with a pestle and mortar and then transferred to an electrical blender for further homogenisation. The suspension was centrifuged at 2000 g for 10 minutes to remove cellular debris, nuclei, and melanin pigment. It was then dialysed against phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4) for 24 hours at 4°C and stored in liquid nitrogen until used. Antigenic extracts were also prepared using hypertonic potassium chloride solution as originally described by Meltzer et al.32 The cell suspension obtained from minced tumour material was suspended in 20 ml of 3 M KCl (pH 7.4) and left at 4°C for 24 hours with frequent shaking. The suspension was centrifuged at 40 000 g for 60 minutes and the supernate was dialysed for 16 hours against phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4). The dialysed material was centrifuged again at 40 000 g for 15 minutes. The supernatant was concentrated by using Lyphogel and sterilised by passing the solution through a Millipore filter (0.22 µm). The protein concentration was estimated by a modified Lowry method.33

LYMPHOCYTE CULTURE

Blood was collected before enucleation in preservative-free heparin (20 units per ml) and lymphocytes were separated by a density-gradient technique using a Ficoll-Hypaque preparation (Pharmacia). After the viability of lymphocytes had been tested by the trypan blue technique they were cultured in medium 199, each millilitre of which contained 200 units of penicillin and 100 µg of streptomycin. The medium was enriched immediately before use by
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The addition of the patient's own serum (1:10) and freshly prepared glutamine (2 mmol/ml). The test was carried out in Sterilin tubes in a gaseous phase of 5% carbon dioxide in air; 10^6 lymphocytes suspended in 1 ml of the enriched nutrient medium were put in each tube. In an initial study various concentrations of the crude preparation as well as potassium chloride extracted antigens were used to obtain a dose-response curve. Suitable amounts of both autochthonous (i.e., autologous) and pooled allogeneic (i.e., homologous) antigen preparations were added separately to the various culture tubes 24 hours after the initial incubation of the lymphocytes, during which time the suppressor lymphocytes appear to become less effective and do not grossly interfere with the lymphoproliferative response of antigen-sensitive lymphocytes (Brostoff, personal communication). In order to study the state of cellular immunity in general, the lymphocytes from patients with malignant melanoma of the uvea were also cultured with various concentrations of specific and non-specific mitogens such as purified protein derivative (PPD) and phytohaemagglutinin (PHA). Controls to exclude a possible mitogenic effect of normal human choroid were set up and the various tests were carried out in duplicate.

In order to study the specificity of this test melanoma antigens were also added to the lymphocyte from healthy individuals, from patients with nonmalignant eye diseases, including disciform macular degeneration, sympathetic ophthalmitis, melanocytoma (magnocellular naevus) of the ciliary body, and naevus of the iris, and also from a case of carcinoma of lung with metastases in the choroid. 2 μCi of tritiated thymidine (specific activity 20 Ci/mmol) were added to each control and the experimental tubes on the 6th day of the experiment, and incubated for a further period of 16 hours. The lymphocytes were then harvested by centrifugation; the supernatant was deep frozen and studied later for evidence of lymphokine production. The lymphocytes were resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline and passed through a specially designed funnel containing fibreglass filter paper. They were washed with 5 ml of 10% trichloroacetic acid and 20 ml methanol. The filter paper was transferred to scintillation vials and dried in an oven at 37°C for 3 hours; 10 ml of toluene-based scintillation fluid was added to each vial, and the lymphoproliferative response was measured in terms of 3H thymidine uptake (for DNA synthesis) in a Packard tricarb liquid-scintillation counter. The disintegration was expressed in counts per minute. To obtain the transformation index the count in the vial containing antigen-treated cells was divided by the radioactivity in that containing unstimulated cells.

**Indirect Macrophage Migration Inhibition Test**

Macrophages were obtained from adult male Hartley guinea-pigs 6 days after the injection of 25 ml of sterile liquid paraffin into the peritoneum. Heparinised Hank's fluid was injected into the peritoneal cavity, and the macrophage-rich suspension was aspirated with a 20 ml syringe attached to a perforated polypropylene tube, care being taken not to traumatise the tissues. The cell suspension was passed through a separating funnel, spun at 250 g for 10 minutes, and resuspended in medium 199. The concentration was adjusted to 8 x 10^6 cells per millilitre of the medium, which was enriched with normal guinea-pig serum. The indirect (i.e., 2-stage) inhibition studies were carried out in specially designed Sterilin chambers. During the study it was found that Plasticine used to seal the capillary tubes produced nonspecific inhibition of macrophages, and therefore a small amount of sterile silicon gel was introduced into the capillary tube to separate the macrophage column from the Plasticine. The cells were incubated in the supernatant obtained from the unstimulated culture, and the area of migration was compared with those in supernatants from antigen-treated cells. Supernatant from antigen-treated lymphocyte cultures from healthy individuals was also used in a separate study to exclude any nonspecific inhibitory effect of melanoma extract.

Migration inhibition of more than 25% giving an index of less than 0.75, was regarded as abnormal for the present study.

**Immunocomplex Estimation**

It has been shown that a tumour may sometimes show a marked increase in size in the presence of an apparent immune response. This is known as tumour enhancement, and it is now considered to be due to blocking of antigen-specific receptors on T lymphocytes by free tumour antigens or antigen-antibody complexes. It is possible, therefore, that the in-vitro lymphoproliferative responses to tumour antigens may also be modified by the presence of antigen-antibody aggregates in the patients' serum. Sera from melanoma patients were investigated, therefore, for the presence of soluble immune-complexes using an 125I-C1q binding assay. C1q was isolated from normal human serum and radiiodinated by the lactoperoxidase method. Fixed amounts of radiolabelled C1q were added to the test serum, and the complexes were precipitated with 3% (w/v) polyethylene glycol (PEG). The mixture was centrifuged at 1500 g for 20 minutes at 4°C, the supernatant was discarded, and the radioactivity of the precipitate was measured. Results were expres-
sed as a percentage of $^{125}$I-C1q precipitate relative to the radioactivity in the control tube in which normal serum containing the same amount of radiolabelled C1q is precipitated with 20% trichloroacetic acid (TCA). Blood from 50 healthy adults and 52 cases with various eye diseases was also tested for immune complexes, and the data obtained were used for comparison and statistical analysis. In the present study only those samples that showed $^{125}$I-C1q binding of 12% or over were regarded as abnormal and were considered to contain raised levels of immune complexes.

HISTOLOGICAL EXAMINATION

After removal of part of the tumour for immunological studies the enucleated eyes were fixed in buffered formol saline, and paraffin sections were stained with haematoxylin and eosin and methyl green and pyronine Y for histological examination. The sections were bleached in cases where the pigment content made it difficult to assess the cell type. The largest diameter of the tumour in contact with the sclera was measured in millimetres. The sections were also examined for evidence of necrosis, lymphocytic infiltration, immunoblastic transformation (i.e., pyroninophilia), and extraocular extension. A portion of the tumour was first fixed in gluteraldehyde and then in osmium tetroxide and blocked in Araldite for electron microscopical examination. The sections were stained with lead citrate and uranyl acetate and examined with a JOEL 100C transmission electron microscope. Statistical analysis of the immunological investigations was carried out by Fisher’s exact test.

Results

A transformation index of 2 or more was regarded as evidence of sensitisation to tumour antigens. The lymphocyte transformation test using autochthonous (i.e., autologus) tumour extract was performed in only 19 of the 22 cases of malignant melanoma of the choroid because enucleation was not undertaken in the other 3 cases. An increased lymphoproliferative response was seen in 10 cases (Table 1). The response to allogeneic tumour antigen, however, was weaker than that incurred by autologous tumour extract. In general, the response to KCl extracted antigen was marginally stronger than that to the crude antigen preparation. There was also a wide variation in the response to PPD and PHA, but with the exception of 5 cases (see Tables 1 and 3) the transformation index was generally within the normal range (that is, $\geq 12$ for PHA).

Generally it was found that patients who showed marked reactivity towards PPD and PHA also showed a response to tumour antigens. It can be argued that a generally low uptake of radioactivity in our experiment was due to the fact that $^3$H-thymidine of a high specific activity (20 Ci/mmol) was used, since this might have damaged some of the lymphocytes. We have used, however, the same isotope with a low specific activity, i.e., 2 Ci/mmol in 6 cases without any marked changes in the lymphoproliferative response. The lymphoproliferative response to normal human choroidal extract was studied in only 9 patients, and the transformation index in these cases was always $<2$. This is in keeping with the previous report in which it was shown that antimelanoma antibodies do not cross-react with normal choroid.

The lymphocyte transformation test was carried out in only 3 cases of malignant melanoma of the iris; autochthonous (autologous) tumour antigen was used in 2 patients, and a high thymidine uptake was present in both cases (Table 2). The reactivity towards allogeneic tumour was weaker, and in only 1 case was there an appreciable increase in the DNA synthesis. The response to PHA was within the normal range in 2 of the 3 cases examined.

The lymphoproliferative response to allogeneic melanoma extract was minimal or negligible in healthy controls as well as in patients with clinically benign lesions, secondary carcinoma of the choroid, and in non-neoplastic eye disease. The response to PHA in most of these cases was normal (Table 3). The statistical analysis of the lymphoproliferative response and its correlation with various histological parameters are summarised in Table 4.

In cases where the lymphocyte transformation test was positive (Table 1) it was found that the tumour was generally large ($P<0.001$), the largest diameter in contact with the sclera being 12 mm or over, though there was 1 exception in which a tumour with a diameter of 15 mm showed a transformation index with autochthonous tumour extract of less than 2. In the case of iris melanoma a positive response was associated with a diffuse infiltrating tumour.

Extraocular extension was evident in 6 cases of malignant melanoma of the choroid and in 1 patient with iris melanoma. The lymphocyte transformation test was positive in all of them ($P<0.001$).

In only 1 case was the tumour of epithelioid cell type, 8 cases were of mixed variety, and the rest were composed almost exclusively of spindle cells. The lymphoproliferative response was increased only in patients with a mixed-cell type of melanoma ($P<0.001$).

Pigmentation was heavy in 4 cases, moderate in another 6, and light in the rest. There was no corre-
Table 1  Cellular immunity in malignant melanoma of the choroid and its correlation with the biological behaviour of the tumour

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Largest diameter of the tumour in contact with the sclera</th>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>Pigmentation</th>
<th>Necrosis</th>
<th>Hydropic degeneration</th>
<th>Mitosis</th>
<th>Lymphocytic infiltration</th>
<th>Extracutaneous extension</th>
<th>Transformation index</th>
<th>Autochthonous (autologous) tumour extract</th>
<th>Allogeneic (homologous) tumour extract</th>
<th>PPD</th>
<th>PHA</th>
<th>Migration inhibition test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>13 mm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2:0</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>15 mm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2:0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>13 mm</td>
<td>Epithelioid</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2:2</td>
<td>2:0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>12 mm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3:4</td>
<td>3:0</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>12 mm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2:2</td>
<td>2:0</td>
<td>7:150</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>14 mm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>2:0</td>
<td>2:0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>12 mm</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2:3</td>
<td>2:0</td>
<td>4:10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>14 mm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2:0</td>
<td>2:0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>13 mm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3:0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>15 mm</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6:2</td>
<td>2:5</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>7 mm</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0:8</td>
<td>0:7</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>9 mm</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0:9</td>
<td>0:7</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6 mm</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0:8</td>
<td>0:8</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>15 mm</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0:9</td>
<td>0:8</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>5 mm</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>1:0</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>7 mm</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>1:0</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>11 mm</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0:9</td>
<td>0:8</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>10 mm</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0:8</td>
<td>0:8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>10 mm</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0:9</td>
<td>0:8</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>No histology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>1:6</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>No histology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0:8</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>No histology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0:8</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not done.

Table 2  Cellular immunity in malignant melanoma of the iris and its correlation with the histological behaviour of the tumour

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Nature of growth</th>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>Pigmentation</th>
<th>Necrosis</th>
<th>Hydropic degeneration</th>
<th>Mitosis</th>
<th>Lymphocytic infiltration</th>
<th>Extracutaneous extension</th>
<th>Transformation index</th>
<th>Autochthonous (autologous) tumour extract</th>
<th>Allogeneic (homologous) tumour extract</th>
<th>PPD</th>
<th>PHA</th>
<th>Migration inhibition test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Diffuse infiltrative</td>
<td>Spindle</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2:4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>43:7</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Diffuse infiltrative</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1:8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>No histology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0:4</td>
<td>1:4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not done.
Table 3  Lymphoproliferative response to melanoma antigens in the control group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Nature of lesion</th>
<th>Cellular immunity</th>
<th>Transformation index</th>
<th>Allogeneic (homologous) tumour extract</th>
<th>PHA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Disciform macular degeneration</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Sympathetic ophthalmitis</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Lepromatous iris</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>*Melanocytoma of the CB (magnocellular naevus)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Iris naevus</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>*Lung carcinoma with choroidal metastases</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>*Metastatic adenocarcinoma (choroidal)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Healthy control</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Healthy control</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Healthy control</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Healthy control</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Healthy control</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Histologically confirmed. A state of generalised immunosuppression cannot be ruled out. CB = ciliary body.

Table 4  Tumour-specific immunity in malignant melanoma of the uvea: analysis of significance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>No. tested</th>
<th>No. positive</th>
<th>No. negative</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (C)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤10 mm (D1)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>D1 vs C NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10 mm (D2)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>D2 vs D1 P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spindle (TS)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>TS vs C NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed (TM)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>TM vs TS P&lt;0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (N0)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>N1 vs N0 P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytic infiltration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (L1)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L1 vs C P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (L0)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>L0 vs C NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (M1)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>M1 vs L0 P&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (M0)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>M0 vs C P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraocular extension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (X1)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X1 vs C P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (X0)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>X1 vs X0 P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 2 Section of a malignant melanoma of the choroid showing lymphocytic infiltration. A: Spindle cell melanoma. (H and E, ×185). B: Mixed cell melanoma. (H and E, ×590).

Fig. 3 Transmission electron micrograph showing a melanoma cell (M) with an intranuclear cytoplasmic inclusion. The adjacent cell is a lymphocyte (×10 670). The inset shows an activated lymphocyte with a cleaved nucleus and abundant cytoplasm containing ribosomes (×6400).
Table 5 Immune complexes in the blood from patients with malignant melanoma of the uvea (125I Clq binding assay)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of the lesion</th>
<th>No. tested</th>
<th>No. positive (binding &gt;12%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy adults</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degenerative eye disease</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanocytoma ciliary body</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign iris naevus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant melanoma choroid</td>
<td>+ve LTT</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant melanoma choroid</td>
<td>-ve LTT</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant melanoma iris</td>
<td>+ve LTT</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant melanoma iris</td>
<td>-ve LTT</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LTT = Lymphocyte transformation test (see Tables 1 and 2).

pared with those in the control group. With 1 exception the serum Clq binding activity in melanoma patients was less than 12%, and therefore the levels were regarded as lying within the normal range (Table 5).

The indirect macrophage migration inhibition test was carried out in only 4 cases of malignant melanoma of the choroid and in 1 patient with iris melanoma. The test was positive in 1 patient with choroidal melanoma and in the patient with an iris melanoma (see Tables 1 and 2).

Discussion

Both humoral and cellular immunity have been demonstrated in a variety of tumours in man, including malignant melanoma of the skin. It is generally considered, however, that cell-mediated immunity is primarily responsible for tumour rejection in experimental animals, and several reports indicate that a similar mechanism may operate in human neoplasms.

The antigens that elicit this type of reaction appear to be located on the cell membrane and behave as tumour-specific transplantation-like antigens. Since in our experiments, apart from 3 MKCl solubilised membrane proteins, we also used homogenised tumour extract, it can be argued that the stimulatory antigens were of both membranous and cytoplasmic origin. It is possible, therefore, that the responding lymphocytes in these 2 experiments belong to separate subsets.

As humoral immunity has already been demonstrated in malignant melanoma of the choroid the finding of T cell reactivity to tumour extract raises the question of their respective roles in the host’s defence mechanism against such tumours.

Although it is generally agreed that T lymphocyte mediated immunity is of more significance in tumour control, it is not certain whether the lymphocytes which show increased DNA synthesis (as seen in the present study) represent cytotoxic T cells or helper T cells which co-operate with B lymphocytes in the production of tumour-specific antibodies.

The delayed hypersensitivity skin test remains one of the simplest means currently available for the clinical assessment of the status of cellular immunity in man. Melanoma-associated antigens from allogeneic choroidal tumour extract have been used for the diagnosis of intraocular melanoma. Whereas 90% of the patients with histologically confirmed intraocular melanoma gave a positive skin response, about 20% of patients with nonmalignant diseases also showed a positive reactivity towards melanoma extract. The authors argue that the false positive results may have been due to contamination of the antigenic preparations with normal tissue constituents against which the patients in the control group had been sensitised, but this explanation is equally applicable to those cases which the authors regarded as true positives.

It is now accepted that the skin test as a correlate of cellular immunity has several limitations. Under certain circumstances the delayed cutaneous hypersensitivity may actually be depressed at a time when there is an intense cell-mediated reaction to the same antigen elsewhere in the body. It is known, for example, that in experimental allergic orchitis there may be a depression in skin test reactivity at a time when the test is diffusely infiltrated by lymphocytes. This depression in skin reactivity is evident even when such non-cross-reacting antigen as tuberculin is used.

It is now well documented that a positive delayed skin test is dependent not only on a specific immunological reaction but also on the patient’s ability to mount a nonspecific inflammatory response. The interpretation of abnormal results, therefore, may sometimes be difficult. Furthermore, to determine whether or not the patient has T cell mediated immunity to the test antigen, the individual should develop a skin reaction consisting of at least 5 mm or more of induration at the test site 48 hours after the intradermal injection of the antigen (as is the case in Char’s study on ocular melanoma). It should be noted, however, that such a reaction, especially if it is not strong, may not always represent a cell-mediated hypersensitivity because it could very well be a remnant of a previous Arthus-type reaction. In addition, the induration which is the hallmark of delayed cutaneous allergy is in fact dependent on vascular leakage and deposition of
fibrin,\(^4^0\) which may be defective in some individuals and in those on anticoagulant therapy. Skin tests are often difficult to quantitate, and often it is not easy to produce an absolutely sterile tumour extract for injection in man, especially with respect to possible contamination with hepatitis B virus. Furthermore, it may be impossible to exclude a carcinogenic effect of tumour extracts when injected into healthy human volunteers used as controls.

The alternatives to skin testing are macrophage and leucocyte migration inhibition and lymphocyte transformation tests. As mentioned earlier, positive inhibition tests have been reported in choroidal malignant melanoma, indicating that a degree of cellular immunity is present in these patients. The macrophage migration inhibition test (MMT) in which guinea-pig peritoneal macrophages are used may be subject to variation either due to nonspecific reaction of the proteins in tumour extract with the macrophages directly or through the activation of xenogeneic (heterologous) lymphocytes which often contaminate such preparations.

In addition it is well known that some antigens may produce 'toxic' migration inhibition by inhibiting both sensitised and nonsensitised cells.\(^4^1\) It has also been shown that migration inhibition tests are most successful when particulate antigens are used. Soluble antigens may fail to cause inhibition of migration even when other tests give a positive cell-mediated reaction.\(^4^1\) Negative results should therefore be treated with caution. Migration inhibition studies involving choroidal melanomas should be assessed against this background.

The lymphocyte transformation test (LTT) appears to have an enormous range of application. Whereas the skin test assays predominantly the effector limb of delayed hypersensitivity, which is mediated through a subset of T lymphocytes known as (TDH), the LTT can detect the activity not only of these cells but also of the helper T cells (TH), which modulate the activity of the antibody producing B lymphocytes. Suppressor T lymphocytes (TS) are also activated during an in-vitro lymphoproliferative response.

Although there are a variety of in-vitro tests to evaluate cell-mediated immunity, the LTT provides a simple and relatively more reproducible and easily quantifiable method. But this technique also has its own limitations. A nonspecific lymphoproliferative response can be induced by the constituents of the culture media as well as the contents of various xenogeneic (heterologous) and allogeneic (homologous) serum products; excessively vigorous handling of lymphocytes may also stimulate T cells. We have therefore used only autologous serum in these experiments. Since the uptake of radioactive thymi-

dine increases with the duration of incubation because of exponential cell growth, proper statistical assessment is difficult unless data are normalised, i.e., expressed in such a way that a normal distribution is obtained again. Although the technique of expressing the result as transformation indices rather than absolute counts normalises the data to some extent, it is assumed that the degree of reactivity in the antigen-treated and nontreated culture tubes will be affected by the various variables in the same way and will remain proportional. Unpreventable preincubation stimulation of the lymphocytes may, however, at the same time elevate the response in the control tube and interfere with the reactivity of lymphocytes with the test antigen in the experimental tube. For example, the patient may be allergic to antibiotics used in the culture serum. Fortunately, however, there was no reason to suspect the latter complication in any of the cases in the present study.

Since the lymphoproliferative responses can involve both T and B lymphocytes, the LTT would appear to reflect a wider spectrum of host immunity than either the skin test or the migration inhibition test, these tests depending almost entirely on the reactivity of T lymphocytes.

The lymphoproliferative response to autochthonous and allogeneic extract of malignant melanoma of the choroid were studied in 22 cases of choroidal malignant melanoma. An increased DNA synthesis was demonstrable in 12 of the 21 histologically confirmed cases; in the remaining 4 cases, in which the diagnosis was based on clinical evidence alone, the lymphoproliferative response was negative when using allogeneic tumour extract.

A positive lymphoblastic response to autochthonous tumour extract was also associated with some reactivity towards allogeneic tumour extracts, but the thymidine uptake was comparatively lower in the latter series. This suggests that the response was due to antigens which, although showing features of cross-reactivity, have some element of individual specificity. Whereas it is possible that the response to allogeneic tumour extract was due to histo-incompatibility, it would seem unlikely, because the thymidine uptake following treatment with allogeneic tumour extract was never higher than uptake by the lymphocytes when challenged with autochthonous (autologous) extract.

The lymphoblastic response to phytohaemagglutinin (PHA) was normal except in 5 cases in which the response to autochthonous or allogeneic tumour extract was not only poor but showed evidence of a degree of inhibition rather than proliferation. It is tempting to speculate that this was due to the presence of specific inhibitors of mitosis (i.e.,
chalones in the tumour extract, as similar compounds are known to be present in malignant plasmacytoma. It has also been suggested that autologous serum from cancer patients may have an inhibitory effect on in-vitro lymphoproliferative responses. While this could account for the relatively low transformation index in some of our patients, this nonspecific inhibitory influence which is either due to \( \alpha \)-macroglobulin (Kamrin-Mowbray factor) or to nonspecific binding of antigen to other plasma constituents is usually seen at a serum concentration much higher than that used in the present study. It has not been possible, however, to exclude the possible inhibitory role of \( \alpha \)-fetoprotein in these cases. Furthermore, the in-vitro immunosuppressive effect of glass-adherent mononuclear cells also could not be ruled out (Fig. 1), though precautions were taken to minimise the effect of suppressor T cells. In a pilot study it was found that serum from melanoma patients showing a poor response to PHA could also inhibit the lymphoproliferative response in normal individuals. This phenomenon is being investigated in detail. Since it has been suggested that the presence of free antigens or antigen-antibody complexes in patients serum may modify the antigen-specific lymphoproliferative response in tissue culture, attempts were made to detect the presence of such complexes in the serum of the patients in whom lymphoproliferative responses were studied, as shown in Table 4.

The level of circulating immune-complex was within the normal range except in 1 patient in whom the tumour was marked necrotic. Although raised levels of immune-complexes have been reported in retinoblastoma, which are invariably necrotic, Dernouchamps and associates using a different technique found that only 2 of their 7 cases of malignant melanoma of the choroid had any detectable amount of C1q binding aggregates in the blood. It would appear, therefore, that in malignant melanoma of the choroid at least an immune-complex-induced tumour enhancement may not be an important feature of its natural history. The reason we used autologous serum instead of fetal calf serum throughout this study was that the latter is known to produce a toxic effect after heat inactivation and may contain a substance which will produce nonspecific stimulation of the lymphocytes. Either effect could obscure any difference in the radioactive uptake counts between the control and the experimental tubes. Serum from healthy volunteers can be used as a substitute for autologous serum in order to exclude the presence of inhibitors, but this again is not free from problems, because the serum has to be fresh, and, furthermore, antibodies in the allogeneic serum may react with blood group antigens on the surface of the patients lymphocytes and modify their response to tumour antigens. This limitation would be reduced, however, if the patients studied belonged to blood group O and therefore lacked group A or B antigens on the cell surface. Recent studies suggest, however, that immunosuppressive substance present in cancer-bearing animals have a high affinity for lymphocytes, and therefore substitution of autologous serum is unlikely to alter the lymphoproliferative response. It is of interest in this context that the antigen-specific lymphoproliferative response in cutaneous melanomas is similarly of low key possibly because of weak immunogenicity of melanoma antigens.

An indirect macrophage migration inhibition test was carried out in only 5 cases of malignant melanoma of the uvea. The test was positive in 2 cases in which the lymphocyte transformation index was also high.

The migration inhibition test appeared to correlate with an in-vitro lymphoblastic response except in 1 patient in whom there was no evidence of lymphokine production in spite of a positive lymphocyte transformation test. Our findings do not compare with those of Manor and co-workers, who were able to demonstrate a macrophage migration inhibition in 7 of their 8 cases of choroidal melanoma. This may be due to the fact that they used a direct (i.e., 1-stage) migration inhibition test, which is more likely to be affected by nonspecific factors.

The lymphocytes obtained from healthy donors as well as patients with metastatic carcinoma, benign intraocular naevus, and non-neoplastic eye diseases did not show any appreciable reactivity to pooled allogeneic melanoma extract, which further supports the conclusion that the in-vitro nuclear activity seen in melanoma patients was tumour-specific and not due to stimulation by transplantation antigens.

The enhanced in-vitro activity of lymphocytes in melanoma patients correlates well with the extent of the disease, being markedly increased in every case which showed evidence of extraocular extension compared with only 5 of the 14 patients in whom the tumour was localised to the uvea. It is known that the intraocular tissues are not provided with lymphatic channels, so that the afferent limb of the immune response may be prolonged with respect to antigens originating within the eyeball. This may be partly responsible for the immunological privilege enjoyed by the eye and cause delayed sensitisation to intraocular malignancy, in which case the privilege is a disadvantage to the individual. The generally low transformation index in our patients
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should therefore be seen in the light of this background and not compared with tumours arising in other parts of the body, where a low transformation index is usually associated with generalised metastases.54-57

The cell-mediated immune response in uveal melanoma appears also to be dependent on the size of the tumour and hence on the amount of antigens available. The lymphocyte transformation test was positive only in those cases in which the largest tumour diameter in contact with the sclera was 12 mm or more, while in all the negative cases the tumour was 10 mm or less in diameter apart from 2 cases where it was 11 mm and 15 mm respectively. It may also be of significance that in patients with a negative lymphocyte transformation test the tumour consisted of compact spindle cells, while in all the cases with a positive lymphocyte transformation test the tumour consisted of a varying mixture of spindle and epithelioid cells. Since epithelioid cells appear less cohesive, they may more easily become detached and lead not only to early metastasis58-61 but also to stimulation of the immune system. It is possible that this explanation is not applicable to melanomas of the iris, where tumour cells of both spindle and epithelioid variety or their antigenic components may be released into the anterior chamber during normal contraction and relaxation of the iris (Fig. 4) and pass into the canal of Schlemm either directly or after being taken up by the resident population of phagocytic cells in the trabecular meshwork, which include both histiocytes as well as endothelial cells.60

The growth and metastatic property of a malignant tumour is greatly affected by the immunogenicity of the tumour and the immunological status of the host.61 Furthermore, it is known that antigens become more immunogenic after being processed by macrophages.62 It is conceivable therefore, that antigenic substances released from a tumour of the iris would have the advantage of having a head-start. This may be the reason why 2 of the 3 cases of malignant melanoma of the iris had possible evidence of sensitisation to tumour-associated antigens as compared to malignant melanoma of the choroid, in which only 45% of the patients were sensitised. Whether or not this possible early sensitisation is responsible, at least in part, for the slow growth and better prognosis in melanomas arising from the iris remains to be proved.

It is possible that infiltration of the tumour by lymphocytes and plasma cells represents an immune response aimed at eradication of a malignant lesion, such infiltration having been claimed to improve the prognosis in a variety of tumours, including those arising in the breast.63 More recently Tritesch64 produced evidence that an intense inflammatory reaction at the periphery of cutaneous melanomas reflects a favourable prognosis.

It is significant that all 10 cases giving a positive lymphocyte transformation test showed varying degrees of lymphocytic infiltration in and around the tumour, though it is not known whether the infiltration simply reflected a state of hypersensitivity or represented a favourable prognostic sign. These patients will have to be followed up for several years before any conclusion can be drawn. Histochemical and ultrastructural changes in the infiltrating lymphocytes are indicative of an in-vivo immune reaction, and fewer morphological changes

Fig. 4 Scanning electron micrograph of a malignant melanoma of the iris (×516). The arrangement of tumour cells is such that during contraction of the iris some of them may detach into the anterior chamber, where they may either become engulfed by the trabecular endothelium or enter the Schlemm's canal to reach general circulation.
have been reported in cutaneous melanomas.\textsuperscript{65, 66} We are studying the nature of the lymphocytes because it would be interesting to know whether these cells are cytotoxic lymphocytes engaged in tumour homoeostasis or suppressor T cells that are involved in tumour enhancement.\textsuperscript{67–69}

Contrary to an earlier belief, malignant tumours are not truly autonomous, that is, capable of growing relentlessly. Homoeostasis in cancer is now a well recognised entity, and a spontaneous regression of certain tumours such as choroidal melanoma is only one aspect of this important phenomenon. It would appear that a tumour is a heterogeneous and uneven collection of cells, some of which are proliferating (i.e., taking part in the mitotic cycle) and some of which are not, and which is subject to depletion by migration and cell death.\textsuperscript{70} Necrosis is thus not uncommon in choroidal melanoma, and does not always appear to be attributable to ischaemia.\textsuperscript{14, 71} Theories have been advanced that necrosis and inflammation in choroidal melanoma are indicative of the host's attempt to destroy the tumour. It is of relevance that variable degrees of apoptosis (shrinkage necrosis) and coagulative necrosis were observed in 6 of the 21 cases of uveal melanoma, and, since the lymphocyte transformation test was positive in all of these cases, it is tempting to conclude that the necrosis was immunologically induced. Alternatively, a positive immune response is more likely when a melanoma becomes necrotic and releases large amounts of tumour-associated antigens. Since it is known that tumour cells which infiltrate and produce metastases are different from the primary tumour mass not only in their histological characteristics and karyotypic profiles but also in their susceptibility to cytotoxic drugs due to surface membrane changes and acquisition of stronger electronegative charge,\textsuperscript{72} it is tempting to postulate that in those cases of uveal melanoma in which there was a marked lymphoproliferative response the primary tumour contained a small pre-existing population of cells which was either more antigenic or was capable of extending outside the intraocular environment to mount an immune response.

While this may be true, there is no doubt that infiltration and metastases (in malignant melanoma) are the net results of a variety of cellular characteristics including antigenicity, susceptibility to immune-mediated lysis, anchorage-independent growth, multichromosomal abnormality, and the rate of growth.\textsuperscript{72}

The degree of pigmentation and the extent of mitotic activity and their correlation with prognosis have been well documented,\textsuperscript{69–72} but in our study we did not find any significant correlation between the degree of pigmentation and mitotic activity with the intensity of the lymphoproliferative response. It appears that a positive lymphoproliferative response to melanoma antigens is obtainable in about half of the patients (i.e., 12 out of 25) with malignant melanoma of the uvea. However, the lymphoproliferative response evoked by melanoma tissue was much weaker than the response seen in cultures stimulated by PHA and PPD. This may mean that melanoma antigens are poorly immunogenic. The differences between positive and negative responses may be markedly reduced if the antigen is not prepared correctly and the proper culture techniques are not followed meticulously. The best results are obtained when the lymphocyte culture is challenged 24 hours after incubation, since by this time most of the suppressor T cells are ineffective. A good lymphoproliferative response was obtained only when lymphocytes, maintained in a gaseous phase consisting of 5% carbon dioxide in air, were harvested 6 days after the addition of the antigen. Since the test was negative in about half of the histologically confirmed cases of uveal melanoma, a negative immunological result does not exclude the diagnosis of malignancy. Nevertheless, the fact that we did not obtain any false positive results is encouraging, though the control series consisted of only 12 cases; further work is required before a conclusion is warranted.

It seems that the patients do not mount a reasonable degree of cell-mediated immunological response to melanoma antigens unless the tumour has extended outside the eyeball and become accessible to immunologically competent cells. It is not surprising, therefore, that, although the response of the lymphocytes in these patients was tumour-specific, it was largely ineffective in controlling tumour growth.

This peculiar response of uveal malignancy when compared with malignant tumours elsewhere in the body can be explained at least in part by postulating that both the afferent and efferent limbs of the immune response in the eye may be subject to delay and modification because of the absence of lymphatic channels in intraocular tissues.
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