Contrast sensitivity in diabetic subjects with and without retinopathy
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SUMMARY The clinical usefulness of the Arden grating test in assessing early abnormalities in retinal function of diabetics has been explored. Although the test revealed significant differences in contrast sensitivities between diabetics and normal persons, the large variances in the test scores of diabetics limit its use as a clinical tool for the screening of diabetic patients.

Diabetes is said to be associated with detectable alterations in colour perception before the development of ophthalmoscopically detectable retinopathy.1 The Arden grating test2 is claimed to detect disturbances of retinal integrative function even when acuity is normal. The test was used to assess whether it was of clinical value in detecting early abnormality in retinal function in diabetic subjects without retinopathy or in those with established retinopathy and whether it was useful in differentiating serious from not serious retinopathy.

Materials and methods

The test was carried out as described by Arden and Jacobson2 except that standard artificial daylight was used (Verivide Cabinet, Leslie Hubble Ltd). Plates were presented at a standard distance of 57 cm and each eye was tested separately. The test was used in 80 normal subjects (age range 24 to 68, mean age 46, median age 45 years) and in 99 diabetic subjects (age range 27 to 70, mean age 47, median age 49 years). The ages of the two groups of subjects were comparable (p>0.5, Mann-Whitney nonparametric test). Forty-two of the diabetics had no detectable retinopathy on ophthalmoscopy. Twenty-two had background retinopathy, that is, scattered haemorrhages, microaneurysms, and a small number of hard exudates with retention of normal visual acuity. Twenty-nine patients had proliferative retinopathy as evidenced by disc or other new vessels. The visual acuities among patients with proliferative retinopathy ranged from 6/5 to 6/36 with a mean level of 6/9 and a median of 6/9. For analysis only one eye (the right) was used from each patient tested.
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Fig. 1 Mean contrast thresholds on Arden grating test for normal persons. (______) Our controls. (-----) Arden and Jacobson normal persons.
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Table 1  A comparison of mean contrast thresholds for Arden and Jacobson’s normals, our controls, all diabetics, and different groups of diabetic patients. Standard deviations are shown in brackets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plate</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arden’s figures (SD=2)</td>
<td>11-96</td>
<td>10-00</td>
<td>11-50</td>
<td>11-50</td>
<td>10-00</td>
<td>9-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean readings of our normal subjects</td>
<td>11-96</td>
<td>10-26</td>
<td>11-33</td>
<td>10-90</td>
<td>9-08</td>
<td>9-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean reading of normal subjects</td>
<td>11-96</td>
<td>10-26</td>
<td>11-33</td>
<td>10-90</td>
<td>9-08</td>
<td>9-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean reading of 99 diabetics</td>
<td>13-42</td>
<td>11-17</td>
<td>13-37</td>
<td>13-73</td>
<td>13-91</td>
<td>15-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘No retinopathy’ diabetic group</td>
<td>11-96</td>
<td>10-26</td>
<td>11-33</td>
<td>10-90</td>
<td>9-08</td>
<td>9-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal controls</td>
<td>12-86</td>
<td>9-53</td>
<td>11-73</td>
<td>11-60</td>
<td>12-40</td>
<td>13-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Background’ retinopathy group</td>
<td>13-60</td>
<td>12-00</td>
<td>13-33</td>
<td>13-13</td>
<td>12-66</td>
<td>14-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Proliferative’ retinopathy group</td>
<td>13-80</td>
<td>12-00</td>
<td>15-06</td>
<td>16-46</td>
<td>16-66</td>
<td>19-26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

The mean contrast thresholds for normal and diabetic subjects for each of the 6 plates of differing spatial frequency used are shown in Table 1 together with the relevant standard deviations, as also are the mean normal values quoted by Arden and Jacobson.2

In general, for normal subjects our results for each plate were in agreement with those of Arden and Jacobson (Fig. 1) except for plates 3 and 6, where a significantly lower threshold was found for our normal subjects (p<0.001, each plate).

When the results for the 99 diabetic patients were compared with our normal results (or with those published by Arden and Jacobson), a significantly higher mean threshold was found for each plate (Fig. 2). When the results for our normal controls (Fig. 3) were compared with those from diabetic subjects without retinopathy, a significant increase in the threshold was found for the higher frequency plates 6 and 7 (whereas with Arden’s control values a significant difference was also found with the low frequency plate number 2).

As would be expected, the results from our normal controls differed significantly from those obtained from patients with either background retinopathy or proliferative retinopathy (Fig. 4), as did the same results when Arden’s control data were used.

When the various grades of retinopathy within the diabetic group were compared, the results were less clear-cut, though significant differences were found.

Fig. 2. Mean contrast thresholds for: (———) Our normals. (———) Arden and Jacobson’s normals. (,. . . . . . ) All diabetics. The vertical bars mark ±2 SD limits for our normals.
Thus the results for patients with diabetes but without retinopathy were significantly different in plates 3, 4, and 5 from those with background retinopathy and in all plates except plate 2 when compared with results from patients with proliferative retinopathy. Even when background retinopathy was compared with proliferative retinopathy, this latter group showed significantly increased thresholds for plates 5, 6, and 7 as compared with the former group. The results of the above comparisons are summarised in Fig. 5. The comparisons were made by a modified t test, applicable to cases with unequal variances.

It is worth noting that unlike the control group, in which the variance for each plate was similar (SD = 1.89 to 2.56), in all the diabetic groups the variances tended to be greater than in the controls, and particularly when higher frequency plates were used. An F test was used to assess whether the increased variance was significant, and significant values are marked with an asterisk in Fig. 6.

Discussion

Our results with the Arden grating test in normal subjects show a lower threshold for plates 5 and 6 (1.6 cycles/degree and 3.2 cycles/degree respectively) than those previously published by Arden and Jacobson but are in agreement with figures recently published by Singh et al. The results of the Arden grating test are to some degree age-related, and the difference may reflect a different age composition in Arden and Jacobson's material and our own.

It is of interest that diabetic patients without retinopathy show increased thresholds at the higher spatial frequencies tested, which suggests that the functional deficit for these frequencies may precede ophthalmoscopically visible retinopathy. Even within the group of diabetic patients increasing severity of retinopathy (background versus proliferative) was, as might be expected, associated with a decreased contrast sensitivity to grating patterns and an increasing variability in results, especially for plates of high frequency content.

Unfortunately the relatively large standard deviations in the groups affected by retinopathy resulted in considerable overlap between groups, so that the test was not found to be clinically useful in separating individual diabetic patients into serious or not serious...
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categories of retinopathy. The test is, however, easily carried out and in conjunction with other findings may be useful in the assessment of the functional status of the eye in diabetic patients.
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