Long-term follow-up of central serous chorioretinopathy
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SUMMARY  The Wilmer Retinal Vascular Center's experience with central serous chorioretinopathy from 1970 to the end of 1979 was reviewed and compared with previous studies. Retrospective analysis of 73 patients seen at follow-up suggests no clinically significant effect of focal argon laser photocoagulation on final visual acuity or recurrence rate. Patients with initial visual acuity of 20/20 remained at that level, and patients with initial visual acuity of less than 20/30 gained, on average, two to three Snellen lines at follow-up. Approximately one-third of both untreated and treated patients had recurrence or presumed persistence during the follow-up period. With the inclusion of episodes that occurred before the first Wilmer Institute visit about half of each group had recurrence or presumed persistence. Recurrences were most often due to leakage from a site within one disc diameter of the original site of leakage.

Central serous chorioretinopathy (CSCR) is an idiopathic serous detachment of the macula caused by focal leakage of choroidal interstitial fluid through the retinal pigment epithelium. Since von Graefe first described central serous chorioretinopathy in 18661 dozens of papers have reported its demographic characteristics, presenting visual symptoms, and ophthalmological signs. Patients usually report the sudden onset of central blurring, metamorphopsia, and relative scotoma. Serous detachment usually lasts about three months. Focal photocoagulation of the leak site significantly decreases the duration of detachment to about one month, but has no significant effect on visual acuity.2-5 Indirect photocoagulation, which has been employed to avoid direct coagulation of subretinal focal leaks, has no effect on the duration of detachment.5,6

We found in the literature 12 series that included CSCR patients with follow-up of one or more years.2,5-10 Seven are retrospective follow-up studies, four are prospective randomised studies, and one is a prospective, nonrandomised study. The studies by Klein et al.10 Dellaporta,11 and Nanjiani12 are most comparable with our study.

In our retrospective long-term follow-up study of CSCR patients we studied visual acuity outcome, recurrence tendency, and pigment epithelial leakage pattern and mottling features. We searched for possible prognostic indicators and attempted to determine the long-term effects of focal argon laser photocoagulation.

Patients and methods

We reviewed 157 cases of CSCR with classic focal retinal pigment epithelial dye leakage and macular detachment that were identified from the Wilmer Retinal Vascular Center files. Cases with coexisting ocular disease were not included. Of these 157 cases of active central serous retinopathy 105 (67%) were not treated and 52 (33%) were treated with focal argon laser photocoagulation. We performed a follow-up examination on approximately half of each group: 47 untreated, 26 treated. We obtained follow-up for an additional 45 patients from their local ophthalmologists or by detailed questionnaire.

The initial and interim examinations were performed by the Wilmer Retinal Vascular Center staff. All initial examinations included visual acuity measurement (existing correction plus pinhole) by a technician, direct and indirect ophthalmoscopy, contact lens biomicroscopy, and stereoscopic colour
fundus photography. All but two patients with active CSCR had fundus fluorescein angiography. In patients who were treated, the coherent radiation argon laser was used to produce a light to medium intensity coagulation. Typical treatment parameters were 100–200 μm size, 0.1 to 0.2 s duration, and 100–300 mW power. At the follow-up examination the same diagnostic procedures were performed by one or two of us (M.G., S.L.F.). Visual acuity was again measured by a technician who did not know whether the patient had been treated or not. Stereo colour photographs were obtained on all patients who returned for follow-up, and fluorescein angiography was obtained on all but four patients. Separately and then together two of us (M.G., S.L.F.) evaluated all colour photographs and fluorescein angiograms for the following: location and size of detachment; number, location, type, and size of retinal pigment epithelial dye leakage; and location, extent, and severity of retinal pigment epithelial mottling. Detachment and leakage characteristics were easily agreed upon. Evaluation of retinal pigment epithelium mottling was much more subjective and therefore will not be discussed.

Results

Demographic data. The 47 untreated and 26 treated patients seen for follow-up were representative for all baseline data of the 157 patients whose charts we reviewed with respect to the following: percentage treated, age, sex, laterality, onset to first visit, duration, onset to treatment, and potential follow-up duration. We will discuss in detail only the data for patients seen in follow-up.

Basic demographic characteristics were typical of the previously reported series. Median age at initial visit was 43 years, with a range of 27 to 66 years. Men comprised 81% of the patients. 14% of the patients had bilateral detachments (not necessarily simultaneously), but in all of these patients one eye was significantly more symptomatic.

Temporal data. Most patients were referred to the Wilmer Institute early in the course of the disorder. For the 47 untreated patients the median delay between onset of symptoms and first visit was three weeks; for the 26 treated patients the median delay was four weeks. Treatment was performed at a median of five weeks after onset of symptoms. Two patients were treated after more than three years of persistent symptoms.

Median follow-up time for the 47 untreated patients was 58 months, with a range of 1 to 10 years. Median follow-up time for the 26 treated patients was 84 months, with a range of 1 to 9 years. The longer median follow-up time for treated patients probably reflected more liberal use of laser photocoagulation for CSCR before the 1974 study by Watzke and Burton, which showed no beneficial effect of treatment on final visual acuity.4

Visual acuity. Thirty-five of the 47 untreated patients had 20/20 visual acuity at follow-up, and 19 of the 26 treated patients attained 20/20 visual acuity. For both treated and untreated patients, if the initial visual acuity was greater than or equal to 20/30, there was no net change in visual acuity. Similarly, if the initial vision was less than 20/30, there was an average improvement of between 2 and 3 Snellen lines.

Recurrences. By history, 13 (28%) of the 47 untreated and five (19%) of the 26 treated patients had one or more episodes of symptoms consistent with CSCR before the presenting episode. For the other 34 untreated and 21 treated patients the presenting episode was their first symptom. One or more documented recurrences occurred during the follow-up time in 10 (21%) untreated and 9 (35%) treated patients (Table 1). Seven (15%) of the untreated patients had detachment at the last routine visit and at the follow-up visit, and persistent symptoms during the interim. We presume that these were persistent detachments. Similarly, three (12%) of the treated patients had presumed persistent detachment. In 30 (64%) of the untreated and 14 (53%) of the treated patients the presenting episode resolved within one year, and there were no subsequent recurrences. Considering both the historical episodes and the documented recurrences, we found that about half of both the untreated (49%) and the treated (54%) patients had recurrent or presumed persistent central serous chorioretinopathy.

The recurrence frequency of the 45 patients for whom follow-up information was obtained by the local ophthalmologist or by questionnaire agreed closely with the results obtained on the follow-up patients. Of the 30 untreated patients nine (30%) had recurrences during the follow-up period. Of the 15 treated patients five (33%) had recurrences. Thus we have clinical or historical follow-up information on 73% of the 105 untreated patients and 79% of the 52 treated patients. About one-third of both untreated and treated patients had a recurrence or presumed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Documented recurrences of central serous chorioretinopathy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of recurrences</td>
<td>Number of patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Untreated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>30 (64%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1</td>
<td>10 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presumed persistence ≥1 year</td>
<td>7 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median follow-up time (months)</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 2  Number of leakage sites in long-term follow-up of central serous chorioretinopathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of leakage sites</th>
<th>Untreated</th>
<th></th>
<th>Treated</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial visit</td>
<td>Follow-up visit</td>
<td>Initial visit</td>
<td>Follow-up visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. All active cases</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36 (80%)</td>
<td>29 (64%)</td>
<td>17 (65%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45 (100%)</td>
<td>45 (100%)</td>
<td>26 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Recurrent and persistent cases</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20 (87%)</td>
<td>14 (61%)</td>
<td>9 (60%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23 (100%)</td>
<td>23 (100%)</td>
<td>15 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Persistence during the follow-up period. When historical episodes before the follow-up time are included, about half of each group had a recurrence or presumed persistence.

Detachments characteristics. The macula was detached at the initial examination in all but two of the patients. Mean detachment size was 2 disc diameters, with a range of 0.75 to 4 disc diameters. The detachments were usually centered about the fovea but often drifted downward with time. The patients occasionally reported an upward-moving relative scotoma. Subretinal yellow precipitates were present at some time during the observation in seven of the 73 patients seen for follow-up. These precipitates were absent at follow-up in all 10 patients with presumed persistent detachment.

Retinal pigment epithelial leakage. The number of retinal pigment epithelial leakage sites, at initial presentation and during follow-up, is summarised in Table 2. Initial fluorescein angiograms available for 45 untreated patients showed no discernible leakage in two patients, one leakage site in 36 patients (80%), two leakage sites in four patients, and three or more leakage sites in three patients. During the follow-up period new leakage sites appeared in seven of the patients with only one leakage site initially; 29 (64%) of the untreated patients had only one documented leakage site during the follow-up period.

Of the 23 untreated patients with recurrence or presumed persistence 20 (87%) had only one leakage site initially and three had two leakage sites. Six of the patients initially seen with one leakage site developed other leakage sites during the follow-up period; 14 (61%) of the untreated patients with recurrence or presumed persistence had only one documented leakage site. The untreated patients with recurrence or presumed persistence tended to have similar numbers of leakage sites as those with only one episode which resolved. Treated patients were analysed similarly. Of the 26 treated patients 17 (65%)
had only one leakage site initially, but in the long term 10 (38%) had only one documented leakage site. Treated patients with recurrence or presumed persistence had one initial leakage site in nine (60%) cases but in only three (20%) cases in the long-term. The patients selected for treatment were more likely to have multiple initial and subsequent leakage sites.

The distribution of pretreatment leakage sites is similar to the previously reported series. Of the 45 untreated patients with baseline fluorescein angiograms, leakage sites were distributed as follows: foveal avascular zone, 8; extrafoveal macula (i.e., one disc-diameter centered about the foveal avascular zone), 27; papillomacular bundle, 4; elsewhere in the posterior pole, 6. Leakage sites in treated patients were distributed as follows: extrafoveal macula, 16; papillomacular bundle, 5; elsewhere in the posterior pole, 5. No patient with leakage from the foveal avascular zone was treated.

We recognised four distinct types of leakage: a gradually enlarging dot of hyperfluorescence which began from a site smaller than the diameter of a retinal vein (Fig. 1), pinpoint leakage ascending to form a classical ‘smokestack plume’ (Fig. 2), diffuse retinal pigment epithelial leakage, and pinpoint leakage from a pigment epithelial detachment. At initial presentation the 45 untreated patients with angiograms had the following distribution of leakage types: dot, 33; ‘smokestack’, 9; diffuse, 0; dot arising from a pigment epithelial detachment, 3.

We were particularly interested in the location of the leakage sites in recurrent and presumed persistent detachments relative to the initial leakage sites. Four of the 10 untreated patients with documented recurrent detachment had leakage from the original site, three had new sites within 0.5 disc diameter of the original, and one had a new leakage site at a distance of 2 disc diameters from the original site. In two cases fluorescein angiograms of the recurrent detachment were not available. Of the seven untreated patients with presumed persistent detachment at follow-up five had leakage from the same site and three had leakage from new sites within 0.5 disc diameter of the original site. (One patient had leakage at the original site and from a new site.) Of the 26 treated patients only one had recurrent leakage from a treated site. The adequacy of treatment in this case is questionable owing to the absence of post-treatment scarring. Four treated patients had recurrent leakage from sites within 0.5 disc diameter of the original site, and four had leakage within the next 0.5 disc diameter. In two patients recurrent detachment was caused by leakage at sites which had closed spontaneously without treatment. Presumed persistent detachments in treated patients were associated with diffuse, slow leakage from the treatment site in one patient, new sites within 0.5 disc diameter of the initial sites in two patients, and a new site within the next 0.5 disc diameter in one patient. One treated patient with presumed persistent detachment at follow-up had leakage from a site which had previously closed spontaneously without treatment.

Complications. The only complication of laser photocoagulation was the development of relative paracentral scotomas, to which patients adapted well. No case of treated active CSCR seen for follow-up had developed choroidal neovascularisation, although Schatz et al. reported 27 cases with this complication.
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Discussion

Visual Acuity
This study agrees with all previous reports that the visual prognosis for patients with CSCR, untreated and treated, is favourable. We were impressed by the range of visual acuities with similar-appearing macular detachments. Also, despite ‘good’ final visual acuities, patients were seldom asymptomatic after resolution of the serous detachment. CSCR is a unique serous macular detachment disorder, following an often recurrent course without serious loss of visual acuity in most cases.

Recurrence
Previous CSCR studies have considered recurrence rates and the effect of laser treatment on these rates.\(^3\)\(^5\)\(^7\)\(^8\) It is difficult to compare the papers owing to different or unspecified durations of follow-up and to different criteria for recurrence—i.e., documented and historical. The papers reported recurrence in 25% to 50% of untreated patients. In the two most rigorous retrospective long-term studies Dellaporta and Nanjiani documented recurrences in about half of each group of untreated eyes.\(^5\)\(^7\) Fewer of our untreated eyes had recurrence (21%, all documented), but 15% had presumed persistent detachment. We cannot explain the lower recurrence rate, especially over a longer follow-up time. By considering previous historical episodes, documented recurrence, and presumed persistent detachment we found that about half (51%) of the untreated patients had a single resolving episode of detachment, and about half (49%) had a more complicated course.

In their prospective, randomised trial of ruby laser photoocoagulation Watzke et al. found no significant effect of treatment on recurrence rate.\(^3\) The sample size was small, and follow-up time was short (median—23 weeks). Nanjiani found that ‘about half’ of untreated and treated groups had recurrence.\(^5\) Dellaporta concluded from his prospective, non-randomised study of 68 patients that recurrences are 3-3 times more likely in untreated than in treated eyes; therefore he strongly recommended treatment.\(^5\) Landers\(^13\) found a recurrence rate of 9% over a median follow-up of 15 weeks in his study of 33 eyes treated with laser photoocoagulation. At the 1980 meeting of the American Academy of Ophthalmology, Spitznas reported a decreased recurrence rate from 32% to 9% in untreated versus treated eyes, respectively. Xenon arc photoocoagulation, rather than laser photoocoagulation, was used.\(^5\) Most recently Robertson and Ilstrup showed in a prospective randomised study of 42 eyes that untreated and indirectly laser-treated eyes had a recurrence rate of 34% over 18 months, but no eye treated with focal argon laser photoocoagulation had a recurrence.\(^5\) There are probably two main reasons for the variable recurrence rates: (1) different follow-up durations, and (2) different treatment techniques (especially size of laser spot).

Recognising the weaknesses of retrospective studies we believe that our untreated and treated groups are similar enough to make conclusions about the effect of direct laser photoocoagulation on recurrence rate. Both groups had reported a previous episode in about 25% of cases: untreated 28%, treated 19%. Documented recurrences occurred slightly more often in treated (35%) than in untreated (21%) patients. This difference might be due to the longer median follow-up time available for treated patients. Presumed persistence occurred in about 15% of both untreated and treated groups. The results of follow-up by the local ophthalmologist and by questionnaire confirm those of documented follow-ups. Focal argon laser photoocoagulation did not appreciably decrease the recurrence rate of CSCR in our non-randomised, though comparable, patient groups. Our findings of recurrent leakage sites explain how this is possible.

The number and relative locations of leakage sites are important factors which are related to the effect of focal laser photoocoagulation on recurrence rate. Our treated patients more often had multiple leakage sites at the initial examination than did our untreated patients (35% versus 16% respectively). Multiple leakage sites may have been used as a relative indication for treatment. When the entire follow-up period for each group is considered, treated patients still more often had multiple leakage sites than did untreated patients (62% versus 31% respectively). When only the treated and untreated patients who had recurrence or presumed persistence (80% versus 40%, respectively) are considered, this difference is found to have also existed during the follow-up period.

In the 17 untreated patients with documented recurrence or presumed persistence leakage was occurring at new, adjacent sites about as often as at the original site. This suggests a disorder of the adjacent retinal pigment epithelium which predisposes it to leakage. Leakage occurred at treated sites in only two cases. However, new leakage sites appeared within 1 disc diameter of original treated sites often enough to nullify completely the low recurrence rate from treated sites. New leakage sites always appeared in regions of retinal pigment epithelial mottling, but these sites were otherwise uncharacteristic before leakage appeared. Our study confirms that of Spitznas,\(^15\) who showed that recurrent leakages arise adjacent to original sites.

Pretreatment fluorescein angiograms of all active cases showed focal or multifocal dye leakage. In
agreement with previous studies, most leakage (73%) appeared as slowly enlarging pinpoint dots of hyperfluorescence. Classical ‘smokestack’ leakage transformed to dot leakage in three cases, but no reverse transformations occurred. ‘Smokestack’ leakage may indicate a higher leakage rate and lower density of the dye than the subretinal serous fluid. Focal leakage from pigment epithelial detachments was present in many patients. This supports Gass’s hypothesis of a common pathology for pigment epithelial detachments and CSCR.17 We are impressed, however, that before treatment dye always leaked from a site smaller than typical pigment epithelial detachments, and the pigment epithelial detachments never had diffuse leakage into the subretinal space.

CONCLUSION
The results of this retrospective follow-up study confirmed the findings of several previous studies concerning epidemiological features, natural course of untreated eyes, prognosis for treated eyes, and absence of difference in final visual acuity between treated and untreated eyes. However, we found no significant difference in recurrence rate between treated and untreated eyes and no significant complications of argon laser photocoagulation. We shall be interested in a follow-up of Robertson and Ilstrup’s study group in five to 10 years.
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