Significance of intraocular pressure measurement in systemic hypertension
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SUMMARY In normotensive subjects no differences were found between morning and afternoon measurements of (i) mean level of intraocular pressure (IOP), (ii) variance of IOP, and (iii) rise of IOP associated with lying down. Conversely, in subjects with untreated hypertension (UH) morning values of (i), (ii), and (iii) were all significantly elevated. Within each group morning and afternoon levels of systolic blood pressure (BP) remained constant but in UH the morning variance of systolic BP was elevated in proportion to the degree of hypertension. This disparate elevation resulted in highly significant correlations between levels and variance of systolic BP and IOP in the morning. These correlations were weaker or absent in the afternoon, and in normotensive persons at both times. These observations may explain the tendency of retinal vein occlusion to occur in the morning. Their relationship to the vascular changes of hypertension pose a problem for future study.

In previous investigations of the haemodynamic changes occurring in association with the development of retinal vein occlusion certain differences were found between groups of control subjects and groups of subjects with a manifest retinal vein occlusion or with hypertension or diabetes—the 2 major systemic diseases associated with its development. All 3 groups of patients showed the following significant abnormalities: (1) a greater variance of systemic arterial pressure; (2) a greater variance of intraocular pressure in both eyes; and (3) a greater rise of intraocular pressure (in both eyes) after the subject had been lying down for 15 minutes.1 5 The above findings were derived from observations taken at different times of the day and on patients who had been selected irrespective of whether they were receiving treatment or not. It was not possible therefore to analyse any correlations between levels and variance of systemic and/or intraocular pressure, since in the case of patients with treated hypertension, for example, the systemic and possibly the intraocular pressure levels had been modified by therapy. Further, clinical evidence that retinal vein occlusion occurs predominantly in the morning demanded that any comparative studies be carried out at the same time of day. It was decided therefore to study a group of subjects with untreated hypertension and repeat previous intraocular and systemic measurements twice on each subject—i.e., in the morning and in the afternoon—following the same procedures on a group of control subjects for comparison.

As an arbitrary definition of hypertension the same criteria as in previous studies were used: a systolic pressure greater than 150 mmHg or a diastolic pressure greater than 90 mmHg on more than one occasion.

Subjects and methods

Two groups were examined: (1) 10 normotensive, healthy subjects, 6 men and 4 women; their ages ranged from 26 to 52 years, mean 34.6; (2) 13 subjects with hypertension, 4 men and 9 women; their ages ranged from 25 to 65 years, mean 43.15. There was no statistically significant difference in the ages of the 2 groups (unpaired t test; DF 21; t=1.63; p>0.05).

The studies were carried out in a quiet room with reasonably constant temperature and illumination. Measurements of intraocular pressure were made with the Alcon Applanation Pneumotonograph (Alcon Laboratories Inc.), which produces a permanent tracing of the intraocular pulse during the few seconds of applanation. With adequate corneal anaesthesia (1 drop of 0.3% sodium oxybuprocaine every 15 minutes) the subject is unaware that the tonometer is touching the eye. Blood pressure measurements were recorded with the Dinamap 845.
The residual variance within the group, after allowing for variation within individuals, is shown. Variances compared by Fisher’s F test, Mean levels compared by paired t test (within groups), unpaired t test between groups. NS= not significant.

noninvasive adult/paediatric vital signs monitor (Critikon).

Great attention was paid to the ease and comfort of the subjects by taking care to see that the fitting of the blood-pressure cuff, position of the limbs, and anaesthesia of the cornea were faultless. Details of the procedure were explained carefully, and trial measurements were made so that the subject was as relaxed as possible. Each subject was asked to sit quietly on a couch for 15 minutes, during which time a brief medical and family history was obtained and ophthalmoscopy performed. The anaesthetic drops were then instilled into the conjunctival sacs and the intraocular pressure measured in both eyes, the right eye being measured first. The tracings obtained were discarded, and 5 minutes later the measurements repeated. These tracings were recorded as the sitting values.

The subject was then asked to lie down from the sitting position, and the intraocular pressures were measured after 15 minutes had elapsed. These values were recorded as the supine values.

Following this the Dinamap cuff was applied to the subject’s right arm and the blood pressure and heart rate measured and recorded automatically at 4-minute intervals (7 readings). The cuff was then removed and the intraocular pressures in both eyes measured at similar intervals (7 readings).

The mean intraocular pressure at each time of observation was measured from the pneumotonomograph tracing. The variance (mean sum of squares of differences from the mean) was used as a measure of the variability of intraocular and blood pressures. For each measured variable the variance was calculated, and within each group of subjects the residual variance, allowing for variability between individual subjects, was calculated by an analysis of variance. Variances were compared by Fisher’s F test. The whole procedure was carried out on each subject on 2 occasions: (1) beginning at 9.0 am, (2) beginning at 2.30 pm. Results are quoted as mean ± 1 standard deviation. Differences between means or between variances are considered significant when p<0.05.

**Results**

**INTRAOCULAR PRESSURE**

Reference to Table 1 shows that in the morning mean level, postural rise, and variance of the group of subjects with untreated hypertension were all significantly greater than in the afternoon. These values were also significantly greater than both the morning and afternoon values found in the control group.

**SYSTEMIC BLOOD PRESSURE** (Table 2)

Compared with those in the control group both morning and afternoon mean levels of systolic and diastolic pressures were significantly greater in the hypertensive group. Within either group there was no significant difference between the morning and afternoon mean levels of systolic and diastolic pressure. Within the control group the variance of systolic pressure in the morning was significantly greater than in the afternoon, but there was no significant difference in the variance of the diastolic pressure. Within the hypertensive group there was a highly significant elevation of both systolic and diastolic pressure variance in the morning. The morning variance of the diastolic pressure was

---

**Table 2  Blood pressure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Hypertension</th>
<th>Hypertension/Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>DP</td>
<td>SP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM</td>
<td>Mean level</td>
<td>122.4±9.4</td>
<td>155.3±21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Variance,</td>
<td>57.2±13.0</td>
<td>59.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>Mean level</td>
<td>124.8±8.9</td>
<td>154.1±23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>37.7±12.9</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM/PM</td>
<td>Mean level</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical references as in Table 1. SP=systolic pressure; DP= diastolic pressure.
Table 3  Blood pressure and intraocular pressure correlations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control n</th>
<th>SP p</th>
<th>DO p</th>
<th>HR p</th>
<th>Hypertension n</th>
<th>SP p</th>
<th>DP p</th>
<th>HR p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level/ variance</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level/IOP level</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level/IOP variance</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance/IOP variance</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance/IOP level</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP level/IOP variance</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>p&lt;0.02</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level/ variance</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level/IOP level</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level/IOP variance</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance/IOP variance</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance/IOP level</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP level/IOP variance</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significantly greater in the hypertensive group than in the control group. There was no significant difference between the afternoon variances of systolic or diastolic pressure in the 2 groups.

**Correlations between systemic pressure, heart rate, and intraocular pressure.**

From Table 3 it can be seen that in the control group there were no significant relationships between any of the systemic or intraocular pressure mean levels or variances. However, in the afternoon both heart rate level and variance were related to intraocular pressure level and variance. In contrast, in the hypertensive group, highly significant correlations were found between systolic and intraocular pressure levels and variance in the morning.

Table 4  Hypertensive subjects in descending order of morning systolic pressure variance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>SP</th>
<th>SP variance</th>
<th>Fundal appearance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertensive group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>214.6</td>
<td>169.5</td>
<td>Right CRAO, A, V, A/V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>167.5</td>
<td>109.6</td>
<td>V, A/V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>157.7</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>158.4</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>V, A/V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>156.0</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>174.4</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>Right pale disc, A, V, A/V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>147.1</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>V, A/V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>132.3</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>133.9</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>148.3</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>140.0</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>143.5</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>145.3</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control group

122.4 57.2 Normal


In both the hypertensive and control groups there was a significant relationship between the level and variance of intraocular pressure in the morning but not in the afternoon.

**Ophthalmoscopy.**

Table 4 shows a list of the hypertensive subjects arranged in descending order of morning systolic pressure variance, followed by the mean value for the whole group of control subjects.

Figs. 1 and 2 show the individual relationships between mean level of systolic pressure and variance of systolic pressure in the morning (Fig. 1) and afternoon (Fig. 2). Fig. 1 shows 16 subjects with hypertension, 3 subjects who were examined only in the morning being added to the original 13 cases. Fig. 2 shows 17 subjects with hypertension, 4 subjects who were examined only in the afternoon being added. (The 'added' cases were submitted to exactly the same procedure as the other subjects and formed part of the study as planned originally. These subjects were able to attend for only one session—for example, morning or afternoon for various reasons. Some simply did not turn up for the study, while in other cases hypotensive therapy was considered advisable by their medical practitioner before the second study could take place.)

When the group of subjects selected as hypertensive is considered.

n=13, R=0.91, p<0.001.

n=16, R=0.83, p<0.001.

and the y intercept represents a systolic pressure level of 134.0 mmHg (n=16), 129.0 mmHg (n=13). That is, for these subjects a systolic blood pressure level of approximately 132 mmHg represents the lowest level at which the relationship between level and variance begins to be apparent.
These results indicate a difference between the haemodynamic behaviour of normotensive and hypertensive subjects which is strikingly evident in the morning. In view of the modest level of systemic hypertension in the group examined these results are remarkable. The one incongruous finding is the absence of a significant increase in the morning systolic pressure variance in the hypertensive group, since in previous studies elevation of blood pressure variance was most marked for systolic pressure in groups of subjects with retinal vein occlusion, hypertension, or diabetes. Further investigation of this apparently surprising finding shows that it is due to the high proportion of subjects in the current series with relatively low mean levels of systolic pressure, resulting in a mean level for the group of about 155 mmHg.

Tables 5a and 5b show the results of the division of the groups of subjects into subgroups according to systolic pressure. Each group was divided into 2 subgroups, the first containing the 6 subjects with the highest and the second the 7 subjects with the lowest pressures. These subgroups were then further subdivided as shown. In this way it was possible to demonstrate that, in the smaller number of subjects with mean levels higher than that for the whole group, variance was as had been expected, that is, significantly elevated. In contrast, similar subdivision of the group of control subjects shows a quite different pattern, with no evidence that variance and pressure are related at these lower levels of systemic pressure.

It seems unlikely that the differences in correlation between the 2 groups in the morning and afternoon are absolute. It may be that at higher levels of systemic arterial pressure the afternoon variables would become significantly related.

It is evident that the morning levels of variance of systolic blood pressure increase pro rata with increase of mean level of pressure, although the mean levels of pressure are remarkably constant morning and afternoon. Variability cannot therefore be a function of mean level but rather the measurement of a changing haemodynamic situation, the mechanism underlying...
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Table 5a  Morning. Systolic blood pressure: subgroups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All subjects (23)</th>
<th>Hypertension (13)</th>
<th>Control (10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean Level</td>
<td>140.0 ± 23.9</td>
<td>155.3 ± 21.6</td>
<td>122.4 ± 9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>57.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(6)</th>
<th>(7)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>171.4 ± 22.3</td>
<td>141.5 ± 6.3</td>
<td>129.8 ± 21.6</td>
<td>115.0 ± 5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>63.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185.5 ± 25.4</td>
<td>157.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>144.8 ± 3.2</td>
<td>133.1 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116.3</td>
<td>76.7</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5b  Afternoon. Systolic blood pressure: subgroups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All subjects (23)</th>
<th>Hypertension (13)</th>
<th>Control (10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean Level</td>
<td>141.4 ± 23.6</td>
<td>154.7 ± 23.6</td>
<td>124.8 ± 9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(6)</th>
<th>(7)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>170.7 ± 26.6</td>
<td>139.8 ± 2.8</td>
<td>128.2 ± 9.5</td>
<td>121.5 ± 8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185.9 ± 29.9</td>
<td>155.5 ± 13.3</td>
<td>139.2 ± 3.2</td>
<td>141.4 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variance = residual variance as in Tables 1 and 2.
which is yet to be determined. One would expect the
nature of this difference and its aetiology to have
significance for an understanding of the development
of retinal vein occlusion since (i) this lesion very
commonly presents in the morning; (ii) subjects with
retinal vein occlusion have a significantly raised level
of variance of blood pressure; (iii) subjects with
hypertension and diabetes (the 2 diseases most
commonly associated with retinal vein occlusion) have
a significantly raised level of variance of blood
pressure. But these systemic haemodynamic changes
are closely mirrored in those that have been found in
the intraocular circulation, where vascular changes in
the retinal vessels and the development of sequelae
such as retinal vein occlusion can be observed in the
clinical situation.

The intraocular abnormalities found in subjects
with hypertension are multiple:

(i) The morning mean level of intraocular pressure
is significantly elevated when compared with the
afternoon and control levels. This substantiates the
results of our previous studies, which were carried
out at various times of the day on groups of treated
subjects with retinal vein occlusion, diabetes, and
hypertension. Mean levels of intraocular pressure
were found to be higher in all 3 groups when compared
with control subjects, namely, 16.9±2.5 (control);
20.1±3.4 (diabetes); 18.3±4.1 (retinal vein
occlusion); 17.5±3.0 (hypertension) mmHg. The
mean level in diabetes is significantly higher than the
mean level in controls (√=3.39, DF=38, p<0.01) but
the mean levels in the hypertensive and venous
obstruction groups are not. (These results, from
studies previously reported, were not included in
that abbreviated paper.) The present study on
subjects with untreated hypertension shows that a
significantly elevated level of pressure does exist, but
only with respect to morning values.

(ii) The morning variance of intraocular pressure is
significantly elevated.

(iii) The ability to maintain a constant level of
intraocular pressure with change of posture is
impaired in the morning as is shown by the abnormal
rise occurring with change from the sitting to lying
position.

That these abnormalities are present in subjects
with systemic changes such as have been discussed
opens up the possibility of examining the haemo-
dynamic pathology of hypertension through a study
of changes observed in the eye.

There is much to suggest that the variations in
intraocular pressure level found in subjects with
established systemic changes (of mean level and
variance) are the result of changes in the intravascular
volume of the eye. As a result of these and previous
studies we have noted that levels of variance of intra-
ocular pressure are reduced in subjects in whom
one might expect a reduced input of blood into the
globe—for example, marked arterial disease.

Reduction of blood supply, associated with frank
retinal artery occlusion, is typically associated with
a lower level of variance in the affected eye than
that found in the unaffected one. For instance, one of
our patients who was found to have an arterial
occlusion in one eye had a variance of 0.58 in this eye
and 4.33 in the unaffected one. (We excluded this
affected eye from our analyses—hence n=25 for 13
subjects.) A second subject, in whom the variance
was 0.91 in one eye and 2.16 in the other, had been
described as having ‘a pale disc and thin arteries’ in
the ophthalmoscopic examination of this eye (Table
4, cases 1 and 6).

We have also noted that subjects with retinal
arterial lesions do not show the typically abnormal
rise of intraocular pressure on lying down. Very often
this rise is absent and in some cases the pressure has
been noted to fall 1 or 2 mmHg. And, finally, the loss
of blood from the vessels, associated with the onset
of a major retinal vein occlusion results characteristically
in a drop in the level of intraocular pressure in the
affected eye. Conversely, the high levels of variance
seen par excellence in hypertensive subjects who
have suffered a retinal vein occlusion and in whom
retinal arterial attenuation is not marked, are
associated with 2 predominant signs: the presence of
fat retinal veins and a great increase of connective
tissue at the arteriovenous crossings, resulting in the
appearance of ‘nipping’ of the veins.

It is hoped that further studies to elucidate the
relationship between these changes and the haemo-
dynamic results described above may further our
understanding of the vascular pathology associated
with systemic hypertension.

Dr Billie Williams wishes to thank the Leverhulme Trusts for their
generous grant which enabled this work to be carried out.
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