Progression of field loss after trabeculectomy: a five-year follow-up
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SUMMARY We report a retrospective study of 60 eyes from 46 patients all with primary open-angle glaucoma who had a trabeculectomy to one or both eyes. A minimum five-year follow-up was available. The percentage pressure reductions at the one and five years postoperatively is shown. In a subgroup of 50 eyes which had Goldmann fields documented at least six-monthly for a minimum of five years postoperatively there was a continuation of glaucomatous field loss in 18% of eyes despite postoperative pressures in the normal range. The five-year percentage pressure reduction and the minimum percentage pressure reductions were statistically significant in a comparison between the field loss and no field loss groups. However, in the individual case there seemed no way of predicting which eyes would develop postoperative field loss, and regular postoperative perimetric assessment was found to be essential whatever the pressure measurements recorded.

Trabeculectomy is now generally considered to be a useful and relatively safe procedure in the management of chronic open-angle glaucoma. There is a trend towards earlier surgical intervention in the disease without necessarily establishing failure of ‘maximum’ medical therapy but proceeding instead to surgery if one or two medical regimens fail to reduce the pressure to satisfactory levels. Watson and Grierson1 and others have stated that early surgical intervention in most cases is desirable and that long-term topical treatment has undesirable side effects (particularly with those agents causing an under-perfusion of the meshwork). However, we emphasise that despite apparently good postoperative control, in some patients, field loss continues to occur. Although there are many reports of the results of trabeculectomy and other pressure-lowering operations, relatively few of them report on a minimum five-year follow-up, particularly with regard to continued field loss.

Subjects and methods

This retrospective study included 46 patients, 16 male and 30 female, aged between 39 and 79 years at the time of surgery, with a mean age of 61 years. All patients were diagnosed as having primary open-angle glaucoma on the basis of raised intraocular pressures (greater than 21 mmHg), glaucomatous field defects, and open angles.

The intraocular pressure in a total of 60 eyes from the 46 patients was uncontrolled on maximum medical therapy (two topical agents plus or minus a carbonic anhydrase inhibitor).

The 60 eyes included in the study had had only one drainage procedure (trabeculectomy) and were followed up for a minimum of five years postoperatively. In all cases the standard Watson modification of the Cairns procedure was performed.

All the patients were followed up at the Glaucoma Unit of the Belfast City Hospital. In 50 of the eyes Goldmann perimetry was performed at six-monthly intervals from between six months and six years preoperatively and at least six-monthly intervals postoperatively for a minimum of five years. All fields were tested by the same associate specialist at the unit. The field charts were examined by one of us (MNK) in a manner ensuring that the date of the operation was unknown. Progression of glaucomatous field loss was judged to have occurred when one or more of the following criteria were met: (1) widening of a nasal step or other field defect by 5° or more; (2) the appearance of a new scotomatous defect; (3) a change for relative to absolute scotoma.

Intraocular pressures were estimated by applanation tonometry. The mean of the preoperative read-
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Table 1  *Intraocular pressures with and without treatment (TR) at the end of the one- and five-year postoperative periods*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure</th>
<th>1 Year postop.</th>
<th>5 Years postop.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;21 (No TR)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>86-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤21 (With TR)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;21 (With TR)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10-0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Readings for each eye was noted, as were the mean values of the intraocular pressures taken during the one- to five-year postoperative periods.

Data on the eyes from the groups with and without field loss were subjected to statistical analysis. The data were non-parametric, and the Mann-Whitney test was used throughout.

**Results**

Forty-five eyes (75%) required no postoperative medication throughout the study period. Fifty-two eyes were receiving no medication at the end of the first postoperative year, and, of these, seven (13.46%) required medication at the end of the five-year period (Table 1). The mean preoperative intraocular pressure of the 60 eyes was 25.1 mmHg. The mean postoperative intraocular pressure at the first visit was 13.04 mmHg. The mean of all the postoperative intraocular pressures at the end of the first year (with or without treatment) was 13.76 mmHg, and the mean of the postoperative intraocular pressures at the end of five years (with or without treatment) was 14.95 mmHg.

Table 1 shows that six eyes had a pressure in excess...
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Table 2  The various mean values for the 'field loss' and 'no field loss' groups, showing the significant values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Progression of field loss group</th>
<th>No progression of field loss group</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of eyes</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean preop. IOP</td>
<td>23.66</td>
<td>24.78</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean 1 year postop. IOP</td>
<td>14.22</td>
<td>13.92</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean 5 years postop. IOP</td>
<td>17.33</td>
<td>14.92</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean of minimum % reduction</td>
<td>15.56</td>
<td>29.72</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean 1 year % reduction</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean 5 years % reduction</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>40.34</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean of age at date of op. (years)</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at 5% level.
year postoperatively between the two field groups, it was only at the end of the five-year period that the trend is significant. The mean of the minimum percentage pressure reduction in the two groups is significant at the 5% level.

Fig. 5 shows the immediate preoperative and four-year postoperative Goldmann fields of a female patient of 58 years at the time of surgery. Her recorded postoperative pressures were never above 17 mmHg. It can be seen that glaucomatous field loss progressed.

Fig. 6 is another example of a patient in the ‘progression of field loss’ group. This female was 61 years of age at the time of surgery. Her postoperative pressures were never recorded above 12 mmHg. The figure shows progression of the field when the one-year and four-year postoperative fields are compared. No preoperative disc photographs were available for study when comparing the ‘progression of field loss’ and ‘no progression of field loss’ groups, but on examining the presurgical fields from the two groups we found a similar distribution in each group of early, moderate, and late glaucomatous field loss according to the criteria outlined.

Only two of the nine eyes in the ‘progression of field loss’ group had extensive initial field loss. The other seven showed early field loss represented by only a small arcuate scotoma. Fig. 6 is typical. It was decided to ignore other observers’ comments in the medical charts on the state of optic disc cupping.

Fig. 5  Immediate preoperative and four-year postoperative Goldmann fields of a patient in the ‘field loss’ group (see text).
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Discussion

Although it is becoming increasingly apparent that there is no simple correlation between raised intraocular pressure and progression of field loss and that other factors may play a part, it is still common practice to consider chronic open-angle glaucoma 'cured' when postoperative intraocular pressures remain within normal limits.

Other factors which may be important are age, generalised microvascular disease, systemic hypertension, and genetic factors.

In this study we noted that nine out of 50 eyes (18%) continued to lose field postoperatively despite satisfactory pressure measurements. Rollins and Drance cite a figure of 29% progression of field loss despite pressure measurements postoperatively in the normal range.

Although the mean one- and five-year postoperative readings of intraocular pressure for the 'no progression of field loss' group were less than those of the 'progression of field loss' group, the difference was not significant at the 5% level. Statistical significance was found, however, at this level for the mean of the minimum percentage reduction for the 'progression of field loss' and 'no progression of field loss' groups. This indicates that in the 'no progression of field loss' group there was less variation in individual pressure measurements.

In addition the difference in the mean five-year percentage reduction in intraocular pressures between the two groups was significant at the 5% level. Although a similar trend is shown at the end of one year after operation, it was not shown to be significant.

The results are in agreement with the findings of others in showing that the reduction of the intraocular pressure by surgical means does not necessarily protect the eye from further field loss. Surgery, however, may reduce the rate of field loss in every patient. Although we did not have accurate documentation of disc changes in our patients, we recognise the susceptibility of the severely cupped disc to nerve fibre loss at normal intraocular pressures. However, we noted that the distribution of early, moderate, and late field loss, and therefore presumably related nerve fibre loss, was similar in the groups under consideration.

The difference between the two field groups in the minimum percentage reduction and the five-year percentage reduction in intraocular pressure was statistically significant, but in the individual patient's case there seems no way of foreseeing this, and we emphasise the need for regular perimetry after trabeculectomy regardless of the intraocular measurements. If further field loss is noted, accurate phasing should be undertaken and consideration given to a further reduction in the IOP by medical means.

Grateful thanks are extended to Dr Doreen Linton of the Glaucoma Unit, who performed the perimetry in the study.
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