Assessment of immunological techniques in the diagnosis and prognosis of ocular malignant melanoma
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SUMMARY Tests of cell mediated immunity (one and two stage leucocyte migration inhibition assays) and humoral immunity (membrane immunofluorescence and serum effects on leucocyte migration) were done with leucocytes and sera from 36 patients with uveal melanoma, five with conjunctival melanoma, 21 with non-malignant ocular disease, and 189 with cutaneous melanoma. Cell mediated reactivity with melanoma extracts and serum reactivity with cultured melanoma cells were significantly more frequent in the melanoma patients, but control donor reactivity was also relatively high. Maximum reactivity was found with cells or serum from those patients in whom, on pathological examination, the intraocular melanoma had penetrated the sclera and in patients with conjunctival melanoma. Maximum separation of melanoma patients from control donors was achieved by consideration of the results of several tests done simultaneously. These immunopathological studies were made during the period from 1972 to 1978. At follow-up in 1983 four of the five patients suffering from conjunctival melanoma had died from metastases, and 10 of the 36 with uveal melanoma had died from metastatic disease. The immunological reactions, while of some value in separating melanoma patients from those without melanoma, did not predict whether a particular patient with uveal melanoma would die of metastatic disease or would survive.

In eyes with clear media malignant melanoma of the uveal tract seldom presents a significant diagnostic problem. This is because of the application of supplementary techniques such as $^{32}$P uptake, fluorescein angiography, and ultrasonography. However, with opaque media, and occasionally even with clear media, assessment of a possible tumour may remain difficult. Current and fundamental problems include a basic lack of understanding of the factors that govern the biological behaviour of ocular melanoma. In particular there is no possibility of our being able to assess the chances of long-term survival for the individual patient, though statistical analysis of large numbers of enucleated eyes has emphasised the importance in prognosis of factors such as tumour size, cell type, and the presence or absence of extraocular spread at enucleation. A factor to be taken into account when the nature and mechanisms of metastatic disease are under consideration is the host-tumour relationship. This is also relevant to the therapeutic techniques currently under investigation (local excision, irradiation modes of various types, light and laser coagulation etc.).

The immune status of patients with uveal melanoma has been the subject of intensive research for some 15 years, and studies of humoral immunity or cell mediated immunity have shown that in a significant proportion of patients with uveal melanoma there is in-vitro evidence of tumour related immune reactivity.

However, similar in-vitro tests also show immune reactivity to melanoma antigens in a smaller percentage of control patients. In an attempt to refine immunopathological investigations we correlated simultaneous tests of humoral and cell mediated immunity in 36 patients with uveal melanoma and five patients with conjunctival melanoma during the period 1972-8. The results are presented in relation to the pathological findings and survival data for patients over not less than five years. The results from ocular melanoma patients are compared with data compiled for patients with cutaneous melanoma.
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Patients and materials

Leucocytes and serum were available from 41 patients (27 f, 14 m) with malignant melanoma of the eye and 21 patients with non-malignant ocular conditions. The melanoma was in the choroid of 30 patients, in the ciliary body or iris in six patients, and on the conjunctiva in five patients. The clinical details and pathological diagnoses were not known to the people undertaking the immunological studies at the time they did them.

Blood samples were also obtained from 120 patients with cutaneous melanoma and 153 with non-melanomatous malignancy or non-malignant conditions. This material has been described in detail elsewhere.5

Assessment of cell mediated immunity. This was examined (1) by a one-stage capillary leucocyte migration assay modified from the technique of Bendixen and Søborg6 and described by Ross et al.,7 and (2) by a two-stage lymphokine generation assay using normal human peripheral blood leucocytes as indicator cells.8

Blocking activity in the patients’ sera and inherent serum inhibitory activity were examined by a modification of the capillary leucocyte migration assay.9 Humoral immunity was tested by an indirect membrane immunofluorescent technique employing monolayers of malignant melanoma cells grown on flying coverslips in Leighton tubes.

OCULAR PATHOLOGY

Excised globes or tumour tissues were fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde and processed through paraffin. Sections from several blocks from each tumour were cut at 6–8 μm and stained with haematoxylin and eosin, reticulin (Gordon and Sweet), and Masson Fontana for melanin.

During the macroscopic examination of excised globes the eyes were examined for extraocular extension, and the vortex veins were removed for separate histological examination. Evidence of trans-scleral or episcleral tumour extension was looked for, and appropriate blocks were taken for histological confirmation. Approximate tumour volume was calculated by measuring the tumour diameter (d) in three planes and applying the formula:

\[V = \frac{4}{3} \pi \left( \frac{d^3}{6} \right).\]

Statistical analysis. Reaction frequencies in the different subgroups were compared by the \( \chi^2 \) test with Yates’s correction for small numbers where appropriate. The difference between two groups was accepted as significant when \( p \) was less than 0·05.

Results

The data were analysed for melanomas arising at different sites within the eyeball and on the conjunctiva (Table 1). A majority of patients reacted (a) in the leucocyte migration assay when medium supplemented with fetal calf serum was used to suspend the melanoma antigen and (b) in the membrane immunofluorescence test. A smaller but still substantial proportion of patients’ leucocytes were inhibited by melanoma antigens suspended in medium supplemented with autologous serum in the leucocyte migration assay. A smaller proportion showed leucocyte migration inhibition in medium supplemented with autologous serum without added melanoma antigen. While reactivity seemed higher in the conjunctival melanoma patients, the number of such patients studied was small.

Reactions of ocular melanoma patients compared with controls. The migration of leucocytes from melanoma patients was frequently inhibited by exposure to formalinised melanoma cells (FMC) in the one-stage capillary migration assay with fetal calf

Table 1 Immunological reactions of patients with ocular melanomas subdivided by site of primary tumour

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Site of melanoma</th>
<th>Choroid</th>
<th>Ciliary body/iris</th>
<th>Conjunctiva</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leucocyte migration FMC in medium with 10% FCS</td>
<td>Positive*</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22/30</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucocyte migration FMC in medium with 10% autologous serum</td>
<td></td>
<td>13/20</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucocyte migration in medium with 10% autologous serum without FMC</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane immunofluorescence</td>
<td></td>
<td>16/22</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3/4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number of positive tests/total of individuals tested.
FMC=formalised melanoma cells.
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Table 2  Immunological reaction of patients with ocular or cutaneous melanoma and control donors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Melanoma patients</th>
<th>Control donors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All ocular</td>
<td>Intraocular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucocyte migration FMC in medium supplemented</td>
<td>31/40</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with fetal calf serum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/9</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucocyte migration FMC in medium supplemented</td>
<td>19/32</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with autologous serum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucocyte migration in medium with autologous</td>
<td>13/41</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>serum without FMC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane immunofluorescence</td>
<td>25/34</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-stage assay</td>
<td>3/9</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NT=not tested.

The frequency of inhibition (31 out of 40, 78%) is similar to that observed in patients with cutaneous melanoma (83%). In comparable experiments the leucocytes of ocular disease control donors (4 out of 11, 36%) and of other control donors (67 out of 189, 35%) were significantly less frequently inhibited (p<0.005 in both cases). When autologous serum (AS) was substituted for fetal calf serum in the migration medium, melanoma patients’ leucocytes were inhibited significantly more frequently (occular 19 out of 32, 59%); cutaneous 39 out of 71, 55%) than leucocytes from non-ocular control donors (4 out of 43, 9%—p<0.05). In a small group of ocular control donors leucocyte inhibition in medium supplemented with AS was not significantly less frequent (3 out of 9, 33%) than that observed with the melanoma patients (19 out of 32, 59%).

When leucocytes were exposed to AS without the addition of FMC, uveal melanoma patients' leucocytes were inhibited moderately frequently (13 out of 41, 32%), a rate that did not differ significantly from that of cutaneous melanoma patients (42 out of 89, 48%) or ocular controls (4 out of 21, 19%), though non-ocular controls (14 out of 100, 14%—p<0.025) reacted significantly less frequently.

Uveal melanoma patients were tested in a two-stage assay, and although the frequency of reaction (3 out of 9, 33%) was higher than that observed with non-ocular control donors (5 out of 38, 13%—NS), it was significantly lower than that observed with cutaneous melanoma (31 out of 65, 48%) and with ocular melanoma patients in the one-stage assay (p<0.05).

In a study of humoral immunity employing membrane immunofluorescence of monolayer tissue cultures of cutaneous melanoma cells 25 out of 34 ocular melanoma patients tested (74%) gave a positive reaction, a frequency similar to that of cutaneous melanoma patients (48 out of 83, 58%) but significantly higher than that of ocular controls (4 out of 11, 36%—p<0.05) or non-ocular controls' (13 out of 57, 23%—p<0.001).

Patient reactivity related to clinical stage. This was considered from two standpoints. First, we considered patients who showed distant spread of their tumour. At the time of testing, only three patients had spread of disease beyond the primary ocular site, in each case by haematogenous spread to remote viscer.a. Only one of these patients showed a positive reaction to FMC in the leucocyte migration assay (in the presence of FCS). The other assays were done on only one patient and were all negative.

The second aspect of stage of tumour progression considered was microscopic evidence of local extraocular extension (that is, trans-scleral, episcleral, or orbital tumour) (Table 3). Regardless of the immunological technique employed the reaction frequency of patients with evidence of extraocular extension was higher than that of patients in whom the tumour was confined to the globe. The differences were statistically significant with the exception of those observed with the leucocyte migration assay in the presence of autologous serum.

Follow-up of the patients in this study to 1983, five years or more, has shown that four of five with conjunctival melanoma and 10 of 36 with intraocular melanoma died from metastatic melanoma. The pattern of immunological tests did not have significant characteristics in the individual patients who subsequently died from metastases.

Correlation of immunological reactivity of patients
Table 3 *Reaction of uveal melanoma patients with melanoma cells, related to presence or absence of histological evidence of local extraocular spread.* Data only from patients whose eye specimens were available for histological study in Glasgow are presented.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Intra- or episcleral spread</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>Patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucocyte migration FMC in medium with 10% FCS</td>
<td>8/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucocyte migration FMC in medium with 10% autologous serum</td>
<td>4/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucocyte migration in medium with 10% autologous serum without FMC</td>
<td>5/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane immunofluorescence</td>
<td>7/7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

with ocular malignant melanoma and the histological features of their tumours. We related the presence or absence of reactivity in the immunological tests to conventional histological and cytological features of the patients' tumours: spindle or epithelioid cell cytology, the degree of melanogenesis, mitotic rate, and presence and extent of lymphocytic infiltration. We found no significant correlation between the various histological criteria and immunological reactivity and non-reactivity.

**Discussion**

There is now considerable evidence that in-vitro tests show tumour-directed antibodies in the serum of patients with uveal or conjunctival melanoma. The percentage of patients with such antibodies in our series (25 out of 34, 73%) compares favourably with the 78% (57 out of 73) reported by Felberg et al. The complexity of the immunocytochemical problems in the assessment of tumour antigenicity was described by Rahi in 1971, and it is not surprising that there has been variation in results from different centres. The detection of humoral antibodies to melanoma cells has been confounded by cross-reactivity, for example the presence of antiactin antibodies in melanoma patients and controls. Ostensibly anti-melanoma antibodies were found in our series in four of 11 (36%) ocular controls, but only in four in 33 (12%) of the combined skin and uveal controls. This is similar to the false positive rate reported by Felberg et al. (25 out of 106, 24%). However, modification of the immunofluorescence technique by absorption has significantly improved specificity.

In-vitro tests of cell mediated immunity in uveal melanoma show variable results when antigens are obtained from different ocular melanomas. This is probably the main reason for the lack of consistency shown by different studies carried out to date.
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We have examined the usefulness of combinations of various in-vitro immunological tests to discriminate between patients with malignant melanomas, patients with non-malignant ocular conditions, and normal people without clinically apparent ocular disease.

In assessing individual tests we found that all were more often positive in patients with ocular melanoma than in the control groups. The high frequency of reaction of the ocular melanoma patients in both the one-stage leucocyte migration assay against melanoma-associated antigens and the membrane immunofluorescence technique is encouraging. However, there was also a comparatively high frequency of reaction in control donors, particularly the ocular control donors, in these tests. Discrimination is considerably improved when we assess the concurrence of positive results in the leucocyte migration assay and the membrane immunofluorescence test done simultaneously. This gave excellent separation of ocular melanoma patients and the control donors, but unfortunately the absolute frequency of reaction in the melanoma group dropped to 53% compared with 11% in the control group. Thus with refinements in technique there is some prospect of an immunological profile being of diagnostic value in patients who have opaque media or a questionable diagnosis.

Little difference was found between groups of people subdivided by sex, site of primary tumour, and gross and microscopic features of the tumours, and this supports previous findings.16-18 A striking exception is the fact that patients whose tumours showed transcleral and episcleral extension were very much more often reactive than patients whose tumours were confined to the globe. This finding is in keeping with the view that the interior of the eye is to some extent an immunologically privileged site and suggests that the autoimmune-mising capacity of the cells of an ocular malignant melanoma is greatly increased once these cells penetrate beyond the sclera.19 The failure of the tests to provide prognostic indicators was disappointing, as no correlation was found with survival rate.

In summary the studies undertaken support previous reports of autoimmunogenic tumour-associated antigens on malignant melanomas in general and ocular malignant melanomas in particular. In our view the tests are not at present applicable in a routine fashion as diagnostic or prognostic aids in malignant melanoma. This opinion is based on the technical complexity of tests employed and also the high levels of false positivity and false negativity. We therefore require more refined tests that can be applied on a large scale and which would have a markedly higher rate of reaction within the melanoma patients and a considerably lower reaction frequency in the control donors. False positive results suggest that inflammation or other non-tumorous processes may lead to transient immune responses. Further purification of ocular melanoma antigens and sequential studies may assist in refining the specificity of the tests employed, and perhaps access to free antigen in the aqueous humour might produce a more reliable source of information.
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