Serious eye injury in badminton players
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SUMMARY Serious eye injury can occur in badminton players and may become more frequent. The causes and nature of such injuries in this sport in six patients are discussed. All were playing competitive doubles matches. Penetrating eye injury due to a shattered glass spectacle lens occurred. Players should be advised not to wear spectacles with glass lenses. Ocular protection in this sport is desirable, and the forward player should hold the racket in front of the face.

The ophthalmologist's role in reporting the hazards to the eye in various sports is increasingly important. Ocular injuries in ice hockey and squash have generated a considerable literature and preventive measures have been advised in those games. Eye injuries in badminton have been reported by Chandran in Malaysia, with a peak during the 1970 Thomas Cup. Blonstein, who has been associated with squash and badminton sports medicine since 1946, reported that 'on the whole serious eye injuries are extremely rare in badminton'.

Six serious eye injuries from badminton play are reported here in the hope of encouraging better ocular protection in this popular sport.

Subjects and methods

Six patients with eye injuries from playing badminton were examined by the author at the Leicester Royal Infirmary. All were local amateur players. One other patient, who sustained an injury in India from a shuttlecock causing retinal detachment, is not included, though he was treated in this hospital. Four patients sustained their injuries in 1985 and were seen in the acute phase. Case 3 sustained injury in 1982, case 6 in 1979; both still require outpatient treatment.

Results

Table 1 gives the age, sex, nature of ocular injury, and most recent visual acuity. Table 2 records the details of play when injury occurred. Three patients needed surgery; the others have been treated conservatively. All were experienced players, playing doubles at a competitive level. Only case 1 wore spectacles. All had at least 6/6 Snellen corrected vision in the uninvolved eye.

Table 1. Clinical details of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age, sex</th>
<th>Eye Injury</th>
<th>Most recent VA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>35 M L</td>
<td>Corneoscleral perforation, uveal prolapse, glass intraocular FB</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>61 F L</td>
<td>Hyphaema, sphincter pupillae tear</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20 F R</td>
<td>Retinal dialysis and detachment</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16 M R</td>
<td>Hyphaema, choroidal rupture involving macula</td>
<td>CF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>30 M R</td>
<td>Angle recession glaucoma, vitreous haemorrhage</td>
<td>LP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>56 M R</td>
<td>Hyphaema, angle recession glaucoma, optic atrophy</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FB=foreign body. CF=counting fingers at 0-3 m. LP=perception of light only.

Table 2. Sports injury details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Injury</th>
<th>No of years playing badminton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Partner's racket broke player's glass spectacle</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hit by opponent's shuttlecock, smash stroke at net</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Hit by opponent's shuttlecock, smash stroke at net</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Hit by opponent's shuttlecock, smash stroke at net</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hit by partner's shuttlecock, smash stroke while turning to face him</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Hit by opponent's shuttlecock, smash stroke at net</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All players were playing competitive doubles matches.
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Discussion

Badminton results in few injuries, mostly minor, such as cramps, blisters, and sprains. Only 6% of all injuries are due to the shuttlecock and 7% to the racket. These rarer direct injuries are often to the eye and may be severe, as shown in this series. Serious ocular injury is recognised in ice hockey, squash rackets, tennis, and golf, but no previous report of perforating eye injury in badminton was found in the literature. Badminton is a very popular sport and often played in schools. The vision in case 4, a schoolboy aged 16, is permanently and severely reduced.

None of these players wore eye protection. Case 1, who was wearing spectacles with glass lenses, was under the false impression that they provided some protection. Had he been wearing toughened, plastic lenses when hit he might have been spared such serious injury. Four patients were injured while close to the net by a shuttlecock from the opponent’s smash hit. This type of injury also occurs in tennis players who ‘rush the net’. Badminton players and coaches should be aware of this risk in aggressive doubles competitions. The forward player should hold the racket in front of the face while awaiting the return stroke, particularly if a high lob has been delivered, when a smash return is probable. Eye and facial injuries from the shuttlecock may thus be prevented.

Ocular injury rates requiring outpatient treatment in the Southampton study from shuttlecocks were in fact higher than the squash ball injury rates. Severer injuries requiring inpatient treatment occurred there more often from squash. Badminton players can now achieve greater shuttlecock velocities by using steel framed rackets with carbon fibre shafts than previously possible with the older wooden rackets according to a former badminton coach (Warner J, personal communication). Given these advances in racket technology and a higher outpatient injury ratio, it is probable that more cases of serious eye injury will occur in this sport. This small cluster of six serious eye injuries in this hospital from badminton may signify a trend if players continue to play without protecting their eyes.

Vinger recommends that all racket players wear eye protection and describes the appropriate products available. Uniocular players should be especially mindful of this advice. In addition, prescribers of spectacles should advise badminton players to wear toughened plastic lenses in sturdy spectacle frames. A shattered glass spectacle lens in sport causing serious eye injury may have possible medicolegal implications to the spectacle prescriber as well as being a preventable cause of blindness.

I acknowledge with gratitude the advice given to me by badminton players. I thank the consultants at the Leicester Royal Infirmary for allowing me to report on patients under their care.
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