Levator sling for Marcus Gunn ptosis
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SUMMARY A modified simple technique of sling operation is presented in 15 cases using the levator aponeurosis as the material for the sling as originally devised by Lemagne and Bemcher. The levator is cut behind the superior transverse ligament and the posterior part of the levator is cauterised and allowed to retract into the orbit. The horns are cut from behind forwards, and the levator, which is kept attached on the tarsal plate, is used to lift up the lid. This method eliminates the jaw winking phenomenon as well as lifting the lid.

Blepharoptosis with Marcus Gunn phenomenon presents a special surgical problem for which there has so far been no clear-cut solution. Various surgical approaches suggested have included simple levator resection, facial nerve reinnervation, superior rectus transplantation, and the use of a bilateral sling after tenotomy and excision of levator. Lemagne and colleagues suggested frontalis suspension with the transposed levator palpebrae superioris and also presented some experimental models and human applications of this technique. Neuhaus described a case report with a similar procedure. The purpose of this paper is to describe the levator sling in a large series of cases of Marcus Gunn ptosis.

Material and methods

Fifteen cases of blepharoptosis with the jaw winking phenomenon were operated upon by this modified technique. The preoperative examination consisted of the assessment of the amount of ptosis, levator action, Bell’s phenomenon, corneal sensations, and severity of jaw winking, together with taking of clinical photographs.

Jaw winking was assessed by determining the difference between the position of the upper lid margin in primary gaze and after movement of the jaw. Jaw winking was regarded as mild to moderate when the excursion of the lid margin on moving the jaw was up to the limbus. In more severe cases the scleral rim was shown. Levator action ranged from 4 to 8 mm (Table 1). Bell’s phenomenon and corneal sensation were good in all cases.

Table 1 Symptoms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total cases</th>
<th>Mild to moderate jaw winking</th>
<th>Severe jaw winking</th>
<th>Amount of ptosis (mm)</th>
<th>Levator action (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>4-8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1  *Showing the levator aponeurosis and the herniation of the orbital pad of fat.*

Fig. 2  *Arrow depicts the position of superior transverse ligament.*

with the help of a Wright fascial needle (Fig. 8). Before pulling the levator tied with the merselene suture the lid fold was formed with interrupted 5/0 black silk suture (Fig. 9). Three knots were tied and the suture was buried. The skin incision was closed with 5/0 black silk suture (Fig. 10). Finally an inverted Frost suture was inserted (Fig. 11).

**Discussion**

The two problems the surgeon faces in the correction of ptosis with the jaw winking phenomenon are the adequate correction of ptosis together with abolition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2  Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3  *Dark line indicates superior transverse ligament and dotted line represents line of incision.*

Fig. 4  *Arrows show cut edges of the levator.*
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of the abnormal innervation causing the jaw winking. This latter should be effected by the division of the levator and its entire attachment to the lid. The unique feature of the present technique is the cutting of the muscle at the level of the superior transverse ligament. The other important features of this technique are the fact that the attachment of the levator was kept intact on the tarsal plate and the levator aponeurosis was used as the sling, thereby giving an even lift of the lid without any notching. The 5-0 merselene suture was very well tolerated and has not produced suture granuloma or infection so far.

We have noticed undercorrection in five cases. This finding has also been noted earlier by Beard, the probable reason being the blepharospasm in the early postoperative period as the patient is accustomed to a ptotic lid and is not willing to accept an open eye. It might be minimised by patching the opposite normal eye of the patient as suggested by Illif.
We consider that slight overcorrection should be planned in all cases so that the final correction becomes cosmetically acceptable. The sling should be made taut so as to have the lid margin in a position of mild ectropion, and it should be kept at the level of the upper limbus. Lid lag which was marked in the beginning became less after a period of three months. Lagophthalmos was minimal in all cases.

This simple method of levator sling has given good results (Figs. 12 to 16) and has various advantages—namely, total abolition of the Marcus Gunn phenomenon, maintenance of a good contour of the lid margin, and a single stage operation obviating the use of bilateral slings with fascia lata or synthetic material.
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Fig. 15 Late postoperative photograph showing good symmetry of lids with good lid fold and absence of Marcus Gunn phenomenon.

Fig. 16 Postoperative photograph showing lid lag.
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