Pre- and postoperative refraction after cataract extraction with implantation of standard power IOL
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SUMMARY The pre- and postoperative refraction results are reported in 99 patients receiving an anterior chamber lens of standard 19-0 D power after intracapsular cataract extraction. The mean refraction after the operation was -0.76 D, SD 2.13. Apart from eight patients with suspected lenticular myopia, 5% of the patients ended up with a refraction that differed more than ±5 D from the preoperative value. This variation was due to variation in the power of the biological lens removed at surgery, the mean value of which was 22.8 D, SD 3.3. As a measure of the aniseikonia induced at surgery, the ocular magnification was calculated to increase 2.7%, SD 4.2%, as compared with the preoperative value. The variation implied that the image size increased by 10% or more in about 4% of the patients. These variations should be considered in the discussion of whether a preoperative biometry and calculation of appropriate IOL power are recommended or not.

In the era of intraocular lens (IOL) implantation it has been, and in some centres it still is, a routine procedure to implant an IOL of standard dioptric power. This paper reports on the refractive outcome in terms of changes in refraction as well as in image size induced by this procedure. In order to explain the observed variation, data were provided on the power of the biological lens removed at surgery.

Materials and methods

Ninety-nine patients, 36 men and 63 women, in the age range 56 to 89 years, mean 75.8±7.7 (±SD) years, were investigated. They comprised an unselected sample of patients admitted for senile cataract in the period August 1984 to September 1985. In this period an indication for intracapsular extraction with IOL implantation was generally found in all cataractous patients more than 60 years old, with no complicating corneal or retinal diseases. Patients with axial myopia of more than -8 D were considered to be unsuitable for intracapsular extraction and were excluded from the present series. During the period of investigation it was a routine procedure to implant an anterior chamber lens (Vision Care/3M Style 70/77) of standard 19-0 D power.

Before surgery the corneal curvature was measured in two principal meridians with a calibrated keratometer (Zeiss). The anterior chamber depth (in this paper defined as the distance from the corneal surface to the surface of the lens in situ) was measured with the Haag-Streit depth measuring attachment II, and the axial length was measured by ultrasound with the Kretz 7200 MA scanner and the immersion technique as described by Ossoinig. The velocity of ultrasound was assumed to be 1532 m/s in the aqueous and the vitreous, and 1641 m/s in the lens, regardless of the cataract. No correction for retinal thickness was applied.

The refraction at time of surgery was recorded; in cases of myopia of recent onset the particulars of previously prescribed spectacles were obtained in order to record the basic refraction. The final refraction (spherical equivalent) was determined four to six months after surgery from the best Snellen acuity at 6 metres. All cases included in this study had a minimum visual acuity of 6/12. At the same time the postoperative chamber depth and the corneal curvature were recorded.

The pre- and postoperative refraction were
Table 1 Pre- and postoperative refractive data in 99 patients receiving an anterior chamber lens of standard 19-0 D power. Means±SD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Refraction (D)</th>
<th>Corneal power (D)</th>
<th>Lens power (D)</th>
<th>Chamber depth (mm)</th>
<th>Axial length (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative</td>
<td>-0.01±2.61</td>
<td>43.06±1.72</td>
<td>23.47±3.99</td>
<td>3.39±0.44</td>
<td>23.21±1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative</td>
<td>-0.76±2.13</td>
<td>42.99±1.76</td>
<td>19.00±0.00</td>
<td>2.82±0.29</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>0.75±2.80</td>
<td>0.07±0.46</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.57±0.41</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Pre- and postoperative data in 91 patients receiving an anterior chamber lens of standard 19-0 D power. Cases with lenticular myopia have been excluded. Means±SD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Refraction (D)</th>
<th>Corneal power (D)</th>
<th>Lens power (D)</th>
<th>Chamber depth (mm)</th>
<th>Axial length (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative</td>
<td>0.49±2.00</td>
<td>43.10±1.64</td>
<td>22.75±3.27</td>
<td>3.36±0.44</td>
<td>23.19±10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative</td>
<td>-0.76±2.17</td>
<td>43.03±1.67</td>
<td>19.00±0.00</td>
<td>2.81±0.28</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>1.26±2.21</td>
<td>0.07±0.47</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.55±0.42</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

analysed by a computer program for IOL calculation which included the calculation of the power of the biological lens in situ, the total power of the eye, and the magnification of the eye with spectacle correction for distant vision. The power of the lens in situ was calculated from assumptions on the thickness and principal planes of the biological lens as for the Gullstrand exact schematic eye. The pre- and postoperative power of the eye with and without correction was calculated from the anterior chamber depth actually measured before and after the operation. Since the total power of the eye (with correction) is inversely related to the magnification at the retinal plane, estimates could be given of the increase in eikonia after the operation (aniseikonia of IOL eye with respect to the preoperative eye). These data were calculated as a percentage of the preoperative condition.

Statistical methods. Conventional distributional methods were used. Regression analysis was performed as linear y on x and x on y regression by the method of least squares.

Results

The pre- and postoperative data for the 99 patients receiving a standard 19-0 D anterior chamber lens are given in Table 1. The effect was to shift the refraction to the myopic side, the mean value being -0.76 D±2.13 (±SD) after the operation (Fig. 1). A significant correlation was found between the pre- and postoperative refraction (Fig. 2). Eight patients were found to have a myopia of -4 D or more and at the same time a power of the biological lens of 25 D or more; they were therefore suspected of having a lenticular myopia. After the exclusion of these cases from the analysis, the mean difference between the pre- and postoperative refraction was 1.26 D (±2.21) (Table 2).

The mean corneal power was decreased by 0.07 D, but this was not significant (p>0.05). The mean power of the biological lens removed at surgery was 23.5 D (±3.9). After the exclusion of the cases with suspected lenticular myopia the mean lens power was 22.8 D (±3.3) (Fig. 3).

The mean power of the eye was 63.4 D (±3.4) before and 60.3 D (±1.6) after surgery. The magnification of the implant eye (plus spectacles) was on the average 3.8% (±7.8) above the preoperative value. Apart from the eight cases of suspected lenticular myopia the aniseikonia amounted to 2.7% (±4.2%). The variation is shown in Fig. 4.
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Fig. 2 Correlation between pre- and postoperative refraction in 99 patients receiving an anterior chamber lens of 19.0 D. Correlation coefficient r=0.31 (p<0.05). Included in figure are the regression lines y on x (equation y=-0.75+0.26x), and x on y (equation x=0.28+0.39y) and the 95% tolerance ellipsis.

Discussion

The observed standard deviation of the refraction of ±2.2 D after implantation of standard power IOL is in good agreement with the results of others. On the assumption of a normal distribution and a mean difference between the pre- and postoperative refraction of 1.26 (Table 2), 5% of the patients will end up with a refraction more than ±5 D from the preoperative value (apart from cases with lenticular myopia). The weak correlation between the pre- and the postoperative refraction implies that it is not possible to make a precise judgment on the appropriate IOL power on the basis of the preoperative refraction only, a view supported by the results of others.

In addition to the postoperative refraction the present study provides data on the power of the biological lens, which makes it possible to discuss the cause of the refractive changes induced at surgery as well as the effects on the magnification of the eye. The available literature does not seem to provide data on the power of the biological lens in cataractous patients. However, since the work of Stenström and Sorsby a considerable variation has been known to exist in lens power and axial length in the near emmetropia region of normal subjects. Because the data of Stenström, as in the present study, were based on actual measurements of the axial length, a discussion of the interrelation between the data of Stenström and those found in the present study is given here.

Stenström found a mean lens power in normal young subjects of 17.4 D, calculated as the anterior
vertex power. This value corresponds to about 20\( \cdot \)4 D when recalculated to the second principal plane of the lens, that is, about 2 D lower than the value found in the present study. Although efforts were made by study of the history as well as by clinical examination to exclude cases with lenticular myopia, part of the increased lens power found in the present study may be due to cataractous changes. However, other factors which need consideration are differences in measuring technique and methods of calculation. An important factor is the power of the cornea, which in Stenström’s work was calculated from a fictitious refractive index of 1\( \cdot \)336. The present computer program considers the cornea as a ‘thick lens’ of a thickness and curvature on the back surface as for the schematic eye of Gullstrand. This is the equivalent of using a fictitious refractive index of 1\( \cdot \)3315 when the cornea is considered a single refracting surface. In this way we may explain a difference in corneal power of 0\( \cdot \)6 D, which in turn means a difference of 0\( \cdot \)9 D in lens power.

Another factor is the technique of measuring the axial length. By ultrasound the ‘axial length’ reflects the distance from the corneal surface to the vitreoretinal surface, whereas the x-ray method used by Stenström measures the distance to the sensory elements of the retina. In order to correct for the thickness of the retina, a small distance must be added to the distance measured by ultrasound. But there is no general agreement on the exact value of this correction. In some theoretical formulas for IOL calculation values of 0\( \cdot \)5 mm17 or 0\( \cdot \)25 mm18 have been advocated on the basis of anatomical considerations. According to recent clinical studies values of 0\( \cdot \)15 mm19 and 0\( \cdot \)14 mm20 have been suggested. Because an error of 0\( \cdot \)1 mm in the axial length will cause an error in estimated biological lens power of 0\( \cdot \)4 D, a reasonable estimate of the error between the x-ray and the ultrasound methods may be in the range 0\( \cdot \)5 to 1\( \cdot \)0 D of the estimated biological lens power. When these considerations are taken into account, the results of the present study seem to accord well with those of Stenström.

A considerable variation was found in the difference between the calculated pre- and postoperative magnification. After omission of the cases with lenticular myopia (tending to produce falsely high values of the relative magnification), the observed variation indicated the eikonia to increase by 10% or more in 4\( \cdot \)0% and to decrease by 10% or more in 0\( \cdot \)1% of the patients. The average increase in magnification of 2\( \cdot \)7% occurred despite an average shift of the refraction to the myopic side. For iris supported lenses an aniseikonia of 1–2% has been reported for IOL powers of near isometropia.21 The reason why isometropia does not produce iseikonia for anterior chamber and iris supported lenses is the anterior shift of the principal planes of the IOL as compared with the biological lens.

There is no general agreement on the amount of aniseikonia tolerated by the patient. Earlier investigations seemed to indicate that fusion can be attained with image size disparity of up to 5%, but rarely with greater disparity.22–24 According to more recent studies binocular function may be preserved in aphakic or pseudophakic patients with aniseikonia of up to 7\( \cdot \)8%25 or 6%.26 Higher values may be incompatible with good stereopsis.24
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