Relationship of cataract to radiation sensitivity
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SUMMARY Considerable exposure to radiation always causes posterior subcapsular cataract (PSC). This investigation was conducted to ascertain whether cellular hypersensitivity to radiation may be identified as a possible cause of cataract in persons exposed to low levels of radiation. Patients were studied in whom PSC had followed probable exposure to low levels of radiation or in whom PSC had developed before the age of 60 without known exposure. The patients with cataract were compared with age and sex matched controls without cataract. Radiation sensitivity was estimated by measuring clonal growth of skin fibroblasts and peripheral blood lymphocytes after exposure to graded doses of radiation and by measuring postirradiation reconstruction of separated nuclear material from lymphocytes. The results show variations in the level of radiation sensitivity between the patients, without significant differences from the controls. It is concluded that radiation hypersensitivity, as tested by the methods used in this study, is not normally associated with the development of posterior subcapsular cataract.

The lens of the eye is unusual in that it grows at a steady rate throughout life3-5 by mitosis of the germinal epithelium at the lens equator and the formation of new fibre cells on the surface of the lens cortex. Cessation of growth is observed in cataract, particularly in subcapsular cataract.143

The morphology of cataract developing in the adult is divisible clinically into three major categories: cortical, nuclear, and subcapsular. It is probable that the mechanism of cataract formation is different in each of these three categories, with the common end result of increased light scattering in the lens. Biochemical research into mechanisms of cataract formation has been intensive and a large volume of knowledge now exists.1 This information relates largely to cortical and nuclear cataract, with less known about subcapsular cataract.

Spontaneous posterior subcapsular cataract (PSC) is described as senile, or presenile, according to the age of the patient. Alternatively PSC may be secondary to other eye disease, such as uveitis,7 retinal detachment,4 and other retinal diseases. In these cases it is described as complicated cataract. Other causes are metabolic disease and drug induction by corticosteroids.910

A significant external cause of PSC is ionising radiation by x rays11 and by neutron radiation.1213 Chronic exposure to low x ray dosage has also been implicated.14 Absorbed radionuclides are another possible cause.15 PSC occurring 'naturally' and those cases induced by radiation have a very similar clinical morphology (Figs. 1 and 2) and are also histologically similar.4101416 It appears that the primary defect is in the germinal epithelium, which fails to undergo normal mitosis to produce new lens fibres and instead produces granular material, which is seen as cataract and is observed histologically in the subcapsular region.

Thus failure of mitosis can account for both the histology and for the cessation of growth of the lenses developing PSC. In the case of radiation induced cataract it is reasonable to assume that these changes occur because of damage to the DNA of the germinal
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epithelium of the lens. In those cases of PSC occurring 'naturally' it is possible that there has been a mutagenic change in DNA affecting mitosis and/or protein synthesis. The cause of this change is obscure, but it could conceivably have occurred as a result of abnormal sensitivity of the individual to radiation. The radiation responsible may have been either normal background radiation, or other exposure to low doses of radiation that would not normally be considered cataractogenic.

Complicated cataracts are probably different in origin and have a different histology: capsular permeability being implicated in uveitis and subcapsular fibroplasia in retinal detachment. The mechanism of drug induced cataract by corticosteroids is suggested to be due to binding of the drug to the protein of the lens fibre.

The individual variation in susceptibility of cancer patients to skin damage during radiation treatment is known to be considerable. Occasionally such susceptibility is so profound as to interfere with a course of treatment. It was such a clinical observation that led to the recognition of extreme cellular sensitivity to x rays, implying defective DNA repair, in ataxia-telangiectasia. Studies on fibroblasts from 'normal' individuals, entailing the construction of dose-survival curves, have shown statistically significant differences of almost 100% between Do* values for least and most sensitive persons. Individual variation to x ray induced DNA damage can thus be determined. An abnormally low (highly radiosensitive) Do value has been shown in patients with severe normal tissue reactions to therapeutic radiation.

To test the theory that naturally occurring subcapsular cataract might be related to abnormal radiation sensitivity we set out to examine the cellular radiosensitivity of patients with subcapsular cataract of no known cause and in those patients in whom it was suggested that subcapsular cataract had occurred as a result of exposure to small doses of radiation. This latter group of patients included all those personnel known to us who had attended the Christmas Island atomic weapon testing in the 1950s and who had subsequently developed cataract before the age of 60. It had been suggested that the prevalence of cataract in these personnel was greater than should be expected and that the cataract might

\[
\log S_{x, n} = \log \left(1 - (1 - e^{-D})^n\right)
\]

where D is the gamma radiation dose, Do is the reciprocal of the slope, and n the extrapolation number. The term e is included so that the expected surviving fraction is not constrained to 100% at zero dose.

*The expected survival was calculated as:

Fig. 1 Posterior subcapsular cataract in one of the Christmas Island personnel photographed on the Oxford retroillumination camera.

Fig. 2 The same eye as in Fig. 1 photographed on a slit-lens image camera.

P Lewis, WA Cramp, J Cole, A P W Waugh, and G Stephens
Relationship of cataract to radiation sensitivity

be the result of radiation received in Christmas Island.

Materials and methods

OPHTHALMIC
The patients were divided into three groups.

Group A. Christmas Island veterans (16 patients) known from our records of a previous study, or notified to us by the Christmas Island Veterans Association, who were thought to have cataracts, were invited to partake in the study.

Group B. Presenile PSC (11 patients) who were attending the Oxford Eye Hospital and had pure PSC of no identifiable aetiology. Of these, five had worked in environments where they might have been exposed to low levels of radiation.

Group C. Controls (six subjects) were chosen from an age matched population of fit healthy male volunteers with no past history of significant medical or ophthalmic complaints. Any control with any degree of cataract or an anterior clear zone not equal to grade 3 was rejected.

For the better understanding of the results the Christmas Island veterans were further subdivided into three groups. Group 1A (six patients) were those who were found on examination to have PSC (two patients), or aphakes (four patients), who had been noted by an ophthalmologist in the past to have PSC. Group 1B (six patients) were those who on examination did not have PSC. One of these patients was a unilateral aphake in whom the type of cataract previous to surgery was unknown. Group 1C (four patients) were those who had had cataract extractions, but in whom the type of cataract was unknown.

All patients and controls gave informed consent to the study.

OPHTHALMIC EXAMINATION
A full medical and ophthalmic history was obtained with particular reference to exposure to radiation. In the past history we specifically asked about all factors that are known to be associated with the causation, or with the avoidance of cataract: diabetes, renal or hepatic failure, hypertension, and carcinoma or leukaemia. The use of alcohol or tobacco or of any medication, especially steroids, analgesics, diuretics, nifedipine, and allopurinol, was inquired about. Patients were asked about eye injuries and ophthalmic surgery or medication taken. All patients were refracted, and the visual acuity was recorded by the Log MAR Ferris chart. Pupils were dilated with G phenylephrine 10% and G tropicamide 1%, repeated at least once in order to achieve a pupil size of 8 mm. In addition to a full ophthalmic examination the lenses were graded by the same observer using the Oxford Clinical Cataract Classification System. The lenses were also photographed with the Brown slit-image camera and the Oxford retroillumination camera. Blood for a random blood sugar was taken, and a further 60 ml of blood was taken and placed in heparin without preservatives. A small 3×3 mm sample of skin from the patient's forearm was taken under local anaesthetic. Blood samples and skin biopsies were then examined in a coded fashion within 24 hours.

RADIATION SENSITIVITY
The radiation sensitivity of lymphocyte DNA was tested at the Hammersmith Hospital by the method of buoyant density centrifugation as already described. The radiation sensitivity by clonal assay for cell survival was measured at the MRC Cell Mutation Unit by means of skin derived fibroblasts and T lymphocytes.

Results

Table 1 shows the results in the controls and patients who were examined, with a brief description of the type of cataract or of other eye disease that was found.

There were 16 patients who had been present at Christmas Island. Of these PSC was found in two at the time of examination and believed from the hospital records to have been present in four others, making six cases in all. There were three others with cataract of unknown type, making it possible that there were a total of nine cases of PSC.

The history was not significant in suggesting alternative causes for cataract.

Eleven patients were examined who had not been to Christmas Island and had PSC. Of these, five had possible exposure to radiation at work.

The percentage number of hypersensitive samples of lymphocytes, as tested by buoyant density centrifugation at the Hammersmith Hospital, for all the individuals in the present study was 16, that is, intermediate between those in the other two studies tested by the same methods. The mean age in the present study, 53.8, was intermediate between the mean ages of the individuals in the previous two studies of 38.6 (5% hypersensitive population) and 79.7 (26% hypersensitive population), thus showing a relationship with age but not with subcapsular cataract.

The data on radiation sensitivity, as measured in lymphocytes by buoyant density centrifugation and in fibroblasts and T lymphocytes by clonal assay, was analysed by the statistical package GLIM (Royal Statistical Society, London). No patient with PSC was found to be hypersensitive to radiation when the
Table 1  Relation of cataract to radiation sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>%Repair</th>
<th>Lympho</th>
<th>Fibro</th>
<th>Do</th>
<th>Do</th>
<th>R eye</th>
<th>L eye</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group 1A Christmas Island with posterior subcapsular cataracts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1-44</td>
<td>1-62</td>
<td>PSC</td>
<td>PSC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>69-5</td>
<td>1-30</td>
<td>1-68</td>
<td>(PSC)</td>
<td>(PSC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>61-4</td>
<td>1-62</td>
<td>1-50</td>
<td>(PSC)</td>
<td>(PSC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1-71</td>
<td></td>
<td>(PSC)</td>
<td>(PSC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>60-9</td>
<td>1-59</td>
<td>1-58</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>36-8</td>
<td>1-51</td>
<td>1-51</td>
<td>(PSC)</td>
<td>(PSC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group 1B Christmas Island without posterior subcapsular</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1-77</td>
<td>1-43</td>
<td>N Brun</td>
<td>N Brun</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>85-7</td>
<td>1-55</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spoke</td>
<td>Spoke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>51-3</td>
<td>1-52</td>
<td>1-48</td>
<td>ASC</td>
<td>ASC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>59-5</td>
<td>1-60</td>
<td>1-69</td>
<td>Mature</td>
<td>Mature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1-95</td>
<td></td>
<td>Focal dot</td>
<td>Ret. Detach.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>27-3</td>
<td>1-59</td>
<td>1-57</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1-15</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group 1C Christmas Island with cataract of unknown type</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1-42</td>
<td>1-67</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>63-8</td>
<td>1-42</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Mature)</td>
<td>clear</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1-32</td>
<td>1-33</td>
<td>(?)</td>
<td>(?)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group 2 Non-Christmas Island with posterior subcapsular cataract</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>76-5</td>
<td>1-55</td>
<td>1-15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>70-4</td>
<td>1-47</td>
<td>1-21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>64-8</td>
<td>1-50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1-21</td>
<td>1-67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>44-4</td>
<td>1-44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1-22</td>
<td>1-28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>67-7</td>
<td>1-61</td>
<td>1-35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>82-4</td>
<td>1-49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2-40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1-28</td>
<td>1-51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1-82</td>
<td>1-40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group C Normal controls</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>59-1</td>
<td>1-92</td>
<td>1-56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1-38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>62-2</td>
<td>1-36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>55-2</td>
<td>1-27</td>
<td>1-19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>59-1</td>
<td>1-07</td>
<td>1-36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>64-8</td>
<td>1-76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

%Repair = % lymphocyte DNA repair after irradiation tested at the Hammersmith Hospital.

Lympho (fibro) Do = Survival of lymphocyte (fibroblast) cell culture (Gy): as tested at the MRC Cell Mutation Unit.

Parentheses indicate an aphakic eye – that is, (PSC) means an aphakic eye which had a PSC according to notes available, which may be misleading in certain cases. (?) Means aphakic with no record of type of cataract.


Discussion

Approximately 18000 personnel were present at the UK atmospheric nuclear weapon test programme at Christmas Island between 1952 and 1967, and of these 1000 are believed to have died, leaving 17000 remaining.2 In these tests radioactive material was dispersed into the atmosphere, and they were followed by a clean-up operation. Attention was drawn to an apparent excess incidence of cancer in this group5 (this has subsequently not been confirmed6). It appeared from information reaching us at Oxford that there might also be an excess incidence of cataract in this group, since we had information before beginning our present study of 26 cases believed to be of PSC, being 0-15% of the sample of 17000. Our present study shows that we have knowledge of only 12 cases of cataract (an incidence of 0-07%), and of these only six are of the PSC type that might be attributed to radiation. The mean age at examination of these cataract cases is 57 years, which falls into the age range in which cataract is uncommon. The frequency of cataract extraction for males in the age range of 40–49 in Oxford is 0-003% rising to 0-023% at ages 50–59.11 These figures relate to cataract extraction, and the prevalence of early cataract is necessarily higher than this. Senile cataract was reported in 3-5% of persons under the age of 65.4 Thus the incidence of cataract now known in the Christmas Island personnel of 0-07% is not more than expected. There will be cases that have not been brought to our attention, but there is no evidence that the incidence of cataract in the Christmas Island personnel exceeds the normal.

Subcapsular cataract is the least common of the three major types of cataract (cortical, nuclear, and subcapsular), and the stated proportion of cataracts that are subcapsular varies widely in different series, being reported as 6%, 10%, 24%, 40%, and 50% in western studies and as only 1% in the Punjab.4 These figures are for patients of all ages, and the proportion of PSC may be higher in younger people. Thus the proportion of PSC in the Christmas Island personnel, being 50% of those known to have cataract, is an expected value, and the minimum overall prevalence of PSC at 0-04% of the Christmas Island personnel fits within the reported range. There is therefore no indication of any excess prevalence of subcapsular cataract that would indicate a radiational cause in the Christmas Island personnel.

Radiation sensitivity testing by the three methods now reported showed inconsistent results between the systems. The Do values for cell killing obtained by means of skin derived fibroblasts or T lymphocytes obtained from blood samples showed no significant differences between the groups of individuals tested. Results were compared with those from the study controls. In addition, in the clonal assays the results were compared with those from concurrent laboratory controls used in every experiment, and again no significant differences were observed. The observations on clonal survival will be reported in detail elsewhere.
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The postirradiation repair of lymphocyte DNA did show marked differences between individuals, but the occurrence of a low figure for repair was unrelated to the development of subcapsular cataract.

CONCLUSION
Radiation sensitivity, as tested by the methods used in this study, is unrelated to the development of cataract.
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