Adherence of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* to intraocular lenses
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**SUMMARY** We have demonstrated, with an in vitro model, that *Staphylococcus epidermidis* is able to colonise intraocular lenses. Adherent organisms were quantitated by light microscopy, scanning electron microscopy, and viable counting. Bacterial adherence was associated with production of a polysaccharide glycocalyx. Organisms which were attached to the lenses were resistant to apparently bactericidal concentrations of antibiotics, as determined by conventional testing. We speculate on the role of colonisation in the pathogenesis of endophthalmitis.

*Staphylococcus epidermidis* is now recognised as the commonest cause of endophthalmitis.¹ This organism is normally considered to be of low pathogenicity, yet its frequency as a cause of endophthalmitis, as well as its occasional late presentation several months after surgery, requires explanation.

The commonest cause of infection of joint replacements and other prostheses, such as indwelling intravenous cannulae, is also *Staph. epidermidis*. This has been related to the organism's ability to adhere to plastic surfaces.² There is evidence that this property is associated with the production of a polysaccharide glycocalyx,³ which acts like a glue preventing mechanical removal. Furthermore 'slime' production confers resistance to bactericidal concentrations of antibiotics when the organisms are adherent to a smooth surface.⁴,⁵

Intraocular lenses may play a part in the pathogenesis of intraocular infections following cataract surgery. This proposition is supported by recent animal experiments which have shown that when *Propionibacterium acnes* was introduced into the anterior chamber at the conclusion of cataract surgery the presence of an intraocular lens made the eye more susceptible to infection.⁶ The resultant infection was also more intense and prolonged than intraocular infections in the absence of artificial lenses.

Bacterial adherence on to surfaces can be divided into two stages. The first stage involves irreversible attraction due to electrostatic and Van der Waals forces, and hydrophobic bonding. The second stage involves slime production leading to irreversible adherence. Stage 1 adherence has been demonstrated by Vafidis et al.⁷ who wiped intraocular lenses round the wound site at the time of surgery and subsequently placed them in nutrient broth. In 26% of cases Staph. epidermidis grew in the broth. The lenses were not examined under the microscope after incubation in broth, so this figure is a measure of reversibly adherent organisms.

The present study was designed to determine whether or not stage 2 adherence to intraocular lenses occurs, resulting in firmly attached bacteria. An in-vitro model was developed and bacterial adherence was quantified by light microscopy, scanning electron microscopy, and estimation of viable counts.

**Materials and methods**

Two clinical isolates of *Staph. epidermidis* were used, namely, E004, a slime producer, and E001, a non-slime producer. An isolated colony of the test organism was taken from a nutrient agar plate and inoculated into 100 ml of brain heart infusion broth (Sigma Laboratories), which was incubated at 37°C for 16 hours. 50 ml of the culture was added to 100 ml of fresh brain heart infusion broth, and the culture was used for the experiments described below.

Three different types of lens were used—closed-loop anterior chamber lenses, and Rayner posterior chamber lenses style 850b and 869.

Lenses were suspended in the bacterial cultures by
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fine sterile wire threaded through the dialling holes or haptics. The lenses were then incubated at 37°C for variable periods of time as outlined below. The same type of lens was used for each experiment, so that the results were not biased by differential adherence to different lens surfaces. After all the experiments were completed a sample of the broth culture was subcultured on to nutrient agar to confirm purity.

Lenses were prepared for light microscopy by fixation in 3% glutaraldehyde in 0-1 M sodium cacodylate buffer for two minutes, stained with methylene blue for five minutes, and washed by gentle agitation in three changes of distilled water in a 150 ml beaker before being allowed to dry in air at 20°C. The lenses were prepared for scanning electron microscopy by fixation in the 3% glutaraldehyde cacodylate buffer for two hours, followed by dehydration through ethyl alcohol of increasing concentrations (50%, 75%, 90%, 95%, and 100%) for 10 minutes. After critical freeze-point drying they were sputter coated with gold and examined under a Cambridge scanning electron microscope at an accelerating voltage of 7-5-15 kV.

**EXPERIMENT 1**
In the first series of experiments six posterior chamber lenses (850b) were suspended in a culture of *Staph. epidermidis* (E004) for 60 minutes. Two lenses were then washed for 60 seconds in 100 ml of fresh broth at 37°C; two lenses were washed in two changes of fresh broth each for 60 seconds; and two lenses were washed in three changes of broth each for 60 seconds. The lenses were then prepared for light microscopy and the number of adherent organisms per high-power field (200× magnification) were determined for 10 fields of view on the plano surface of each lens. Three anterior chamber lenses were incubated in a culture of *Staph. epidermidis* (E001) for 60 minutes: one lens was washed in one change of fresh broth, one lens in two changes, and one lens in three changes and processed for light microscopy and counting.

**EXPERIMENT 2**
In a further experiment four lenses were incubated in a broth culture of *Staph. epidermidis* (E004) and four lenses in *Staph. epidermidis* (E001). One lens was
removed from each culture at one, two, four, and 16 hours, washed in three changes of broth, and processed for scanning electron microscopy.

**Experiment 3 (Fig. 1)**

Twelve Rayner posterior chamber lenses were incubated for 90 minutes in a broth culture of *Staph. epidermidis* (E004). The lenses were removed and washed in fresh broth, six lenses were transferred to a broth containing gentamicin at a concentration of 16 μg l⁻¹ at 37°C for 90 minutes; the other six were placed in broth without gentamicin at 37°C for 90 minutes. Three lenses from each group were processed for light microscopy, the remaining lenses were washed in three changes of broth, and each lens was transferred to 1 ml of broth, which was sonicated in a low-power cleaning sonicator for three bursts of 45 seconds each separated by 45 seconds (this regimen was found by prior experiments to remove all the adherent bacteria without affecting their viability). The specimens were vigorously mixed, and the broth containing the dislodged organisms was serially diluted, four times by a factor of 10 each time; 0.1 ml of each dilution was pipetted on to separate nutrient agar plates and spread evenly across the surface of the agar with a sterile wire loop. The plates were incubated overnight at 37°C, and the first plate with a small enough number of colonies to be counted were identified. On the assumption that each colony arises from one organism it is possible to calculate the number of organisms present in the original broth, and therefore on the surface of each lens, by multiplying by the appropriate power of 10. The lenses which had been sonicated were stained for light microscopy to ensure that all adherent organisms had been removed.

Simultaneously 100 ml of *Staph. epidermidis* culture was added to 200 ml of brain heart infusion broth, and gentamicin was added to a concentration of 16 μg l⁻¹. The mixture was incubated at 37°C for 90 minutes, when 5 ml was removed and centrifuged at 2000 rpm for five minutes. The precipitate was resuspended in 1 ml of brain heart infusion broth and serially diluted for viable counting.

**Results**

**Experiment 1**

Slime producing and non-slime producing strains of *Staph. epidermidis* were able to adhere firmly to the surface of intraocular lenses (Table 1). For both strains this was further confirmed by demonstrating that there was no statistically significant difference in the number of attached organisms in lenses washed once, twice, or three times. Some of the adherent organisms were present in groups of two or three, suggesting that they were actively multiplying and colonising the surface of the lenses.

Only a few organisms were found to be adherent to the polypropylene haptics in comparison with the surface of the lens. However, the highly curved surface of the loops precluded accurate counting of attached organisms.

**Experiment 2**

It was not possible to take sufficient photographs for statistically significant counting, but it was readily apparent that the number of adherent organisms increased the longer the lens remained in broth culture. We have a subjective impression that more organisms were adherent to areas of the lens with surface irregularities (Fig. 2). After four hours of colonisation ‘slime’ surrounding the colonies was apparent (Fig. 3). The amount of slime increased over the next 12 hours (Fig. 4) and was also present, but in smaller amounts, on lenses colonised by strain E001 after 16 hours.

**Experiment 3**

There was an approximately 10-fold reduction in the number of viable organisms attached to the lens.

![Fig. 2 Scanning electron microscope photography: Staphylococcus epidermidis adherent to the surface of intraocular lens. The organisms appear to be preferentially colonising sites of surface irregularity. Black space bar=2 μm.](http://bjo.bmj.com/)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 Wash</th>
<th>2 Washes</th>
<th>3 Washes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Posterior chamber lenses strain E004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens 1</td>
<td>25 (5-0)</td>
<td>32 (9-1)</td>
<td>38 (11-0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens 2</td>
<td>34 (7-0)</td>
<td>21 (7-0)</td>
<td>33 (6-0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior chamber lenses strain E001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens 2</td>
<td>19 (4-0)</td>
<td>16 (7-0)</td>
<td>17 (6-0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The results show that stage 2 adherence of *Staph. epidermidis* to intraocular lenses occurs, as evidenced by the resistance of organisms to removal by washing. Furthermore, 'slime' production of *Staph. epidermidis* on the surface of an intraocular lens has been demonstrated for the first time. The appearance of a glycocalyx surrounding the organisms together with the increase in amount with time suggests that it has a major role in adherence to the lens, particularly stage 2.

Surface finish of the lens may also be an important factor in adherence. More organisms appeared to be adherent to areas of irregular surface of the lens than of smooth surface. Previous workers have observed that surface defects provide a locus to which bacteria can initially adhere, and it seems likely that topographical defects of the lens encouraged colonisation.

The concentration of gentamicin found in the anterior chamber after the subconjunctival injection of 20 mg of the antibiotic (16 μg ml⁻¹) is sufficient to kill organisms in broth cultures, but it may not be sufficient to kill organisms adherent to the surface of a lens. However, it may be argued that in vivo organisms would not have had time to reach such an advanced state of adherence before being exposed to gentamicin given subconjunctivally at the conclusion of surgery, and that gentamicin is present in the anterior chamber for longer than two hours, though at a lower concentration. The mechanism by which adherence of bacteria to a synthetic surface confers resistance to antibiotics is unclear. However, it has been proposed that the slime coating prevents the antibiotics from gaining access to the organisms. Adherence of bacteria may also protect them from opsonising antibodies.

The role of intraocular lenses as a reservoir of bacteria in endophthalmitis has not been considered before. This study shows that *Staph. epidermidis* is capable of colonising lenses in vitro. Colonisation of lenses by *Staph. epidermidis* may be an important factor in the pathogenesis of endophthalmitis providing a surface to which bacteria can adhere where they are relatively resistant to antibiotics and possibly antibodies. The bacteria may subsequently cross the posterior capsule to multiply in the vitreous humour. It also offers an explanation for cases of localised endophthalmitis which have been described and cases of *Staph. epidermidis* endophthalmitis presenting late and recurring after apparently successful treatment with corticosteroids and antibiotics. If, like other prostheses, intraocular lenses play a part in causing localised infection, there may be new potential approaches for reducing the frequency of...
endophthalmitis. Stage 1 adherence might be reduced by avoiding contact with the conjunctiva during surgery and minimising transient electrostatic charge by washing the lens with balanced salt solution as soon as its container has been opened. Stage 2 adherence presents a more difficult problem, but attention to the surface finish of lenses may be important and materials other than polymethyl methacrylate such as silicone or poly-2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate (P-HEMA), which is less hydrophobic, may also assist in prevention of firm bacterial adherence. More detailed studies of the pathogenesis of endophthalmitis may provide further avenues for the prevention and treatment of this condition.
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