Results of a randomised trial of treating abnormal hypermetropia from the age of 6 months

R M Ingram, P E Arnold, S Dally, J Lucas

Abstract
Children who were abnormally hypermetropic at the age of 6 months were randomly allocated treatment with spectacles or no treatment. The eventual incidence of squint was the same in both groups (approximately 24%). The last known visual acuity of the two groups was not significantly different either. Therefore there is no indication to screen infants with a view to preventing squint/amblyopia by optical correction of hypermetropia. If, however, the children allocated treatment are divided into two subgroups - those who wore glasses consistently and those who probably or certainly did not do so - the incidence of squint was the same, but the last known acuities of those who consistently wore glasses may be better than those who did not do so. This suggests that it may yet prove possible to prevent severe amblyopia.

A previous paper described an attempt to prevent squint and/or amblyopia by spectacle correction of abnormal hypermetropia from the age of 1 year. In the event the attempt proved unsuccessful. A possible explanation for this lack of success could be that treatment was started too late. This is a report of a similar randomised trial of treatment started at the age of 6 months.

Patients and methods

PATIENTS
Children living within the boundaries of the Kettering and District Health Authority and those living in Market Harborough were refracted at the age of 6 months. Those who had +4-00 or more D hypermetropia in any one or more meridia of either eye entered this trial. This level of hypermetropia was chosen after a preliminary, unpublished, study of the range of refractions at 6 months had shown that approximately 9% of children have this amount of hypermetropia and that such children had a 20% chance of having some visual defect. The question of informed consent proved difficult, because many mothers could not appreciate the issues involved in their child being identified as being at risk for squint and/or amblyopia. They were therefore told their child was included in a long-term study of the possibility of preventing squint and amblyopia, but the exact details of the randomisation techniques were not explained unless requested.

Three hundred and seventy two children born between July 1978 and July 1981 entered the trial. One child was excluded because he had congenital nystagmus.

COMPLIANCE WITH TREATMENT
An assessment of whether glasses were being worn was attempted and recorded each time a child attended. Some children obviously wore the glasses consistently, but it was apparent that some wore them irregularly and others not at all. The 'treated' children were therefore divided into two groups: T+ where compliance was judged (by inspection of the records) to be satisfactory and T± where it was judged to be unsatisfactory. This allocation was made before the analysis of the visual results.

Results
Information about the presence of squint
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A statistically significant difference can now be identified if the T+ group are compared with those drawn for no treatment (Table IV).

**Discussion**

Abnormal hypermetropia is clearly associated with squint and amblyopia which does not respond to current methods of treatment. In this study the prescription of spectacles from the age of 6 months did not reduce the incidence of squint (Table I), nor did it lead to a significantly improved final visual acuity after all additional treatment had been given (Table II). At present, therefore, there is no indication to screen children at the age of 6 months with a view to prescribing spectacles for those who are abnormally hypermetropic in the hope that this will reduce the incidence of squint or reduce the severity of amblyopia.

However, those children who wore their glasses consistently may have achieved a better final visual acuity than those who did not wear glasses (Table III). The evidence for this is not impressive: a significant difference depends on how the figures are arranged for statistical analysis (see Table IV). These results do no more than suggest that further research into the prevention of amblyopia, as distinct from squint, may yet prove worthwhile.

An incidental observation on this sample of children was that those whose initial hypermetropia became less by the age of 3½ had a significantly lower incidence of squint and a better last known visual acuity than those whose hypermetropia did not become reduced. This association between emmetropisation and the visual outcome is to be explored in more detail in another paper.
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**TABLE I** Incidence of squint

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No squint</th>
<th>Squint</th>
<th>% Squint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No treatment</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE II** Final visual acuity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No treatment</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE III** Final visual acuity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No treatment</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE IV** Final visual acuity and treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>6/12 or better</th>
<th>6/18 or worse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T+</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No treatment</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

χ²=4.26, df=1, p<0.05.
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