Prolongation of rat corneal graft survival by treatment with anti-CD4 monoclonal antibody
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Abstract
A rat model of orthotopic corneal graft rejection was used to investigate the effect of depletion of subpopulations of immune cells by treatment with monoclonal antibodies. Though CD4+ cells were not eliminated completely by anti-CD4 monoclonal antibodies there was a profound delay in the rejection times of orthotopic corneal allografts. Furthermore a third of the CD4+ depleted animals failed to reject corneal allografts by 100 days post grafting. Despite an almost complete depletion of circulating CD8+ cells, the anti-CD8 antibody treated animals rejected corneal allografts in a similar time course to allografted controls treated with a non-reactive control antibody OX21. These results demonstrate that CD8+ T-cells are not required for rejection of corneal allografts whereas CD4+ T-cells play a critical role in the rejection response. Treatment with anti-CD4 antibodies may have a useful clinical application.

(Br J Ophthalmol 1992; 76: 602-606)

Human corneal grafts are not rejected in the majority of recipients unlike allografts of most other organs, and this lower susceptibility to rejection is termed 'immunological privilege'.1 However privilege is relative and corneal grafts in eyes that have suffered inflammation have a high failure rate.2 The main cause of graft failure is immunological rejection but the mechanisms of corneal graft rejection are poorly understood. It has been proposed that CD4+ T-helper cells, CD8+ T-cytotoxic cells, and inflammatory cells are involved in solid organ graft rejection.3 Though recognition of foreign antigens via the afferent limb of the immune system by specific T-cells is important in mediating corneal graft failure4 the precise effector mechanism is unknown. There is little direct evidence to support the role of cytotoxic CD8+ T-cells as the effector cells of corneal graft rejection, though anti-donor splenic CD8+ T-cells have been generated in vivo from corneal grafted animals.5 However the association of a particular in vitro immune response with graft rejection does not necessarily imply that it is a requirement for rejection.5

It would seem from observations of the rejection of other organs that the CD4+ T-cell plays a critical role in allograft rejection, whereas the CD8+ T-cell does not.6-8 Indirect evidence derived from immunohistochemical analysis of cells infiltrating rejecting corneal allografts in rats failed to identify significant infiltration by CD8+ cells though a heavy infiltration of macrophages was identified.6-9 That CD8+ cells are neither necessary nor sufficient to cause corneal graft rejection in mice was recently suggested by depletion experiments in mice.10 We studied the survival of corneal allografts in adult euthymic rats depleted of either CD8+ or CD4+ cells and prolonged by regular monoclonal antibody (mAb) therapy. We found that the rat corneal allograft rejection response was not affected by profound CD8+ depletion whereas even only partial CD4+ depletion lead to delayed rejection or indefinite survival.

Materials and methods
ANIMALS
Inbred male DA (RT1+) and Lewis (RT1+) rats aged 8–14 weeks were obtained from Manchester University Medical School Animal unit. BALB/c mice aged 6–8 weeks were bred in the same unit.

CORNEAL TRANSPLANTATION
Lewis strain donor rats were killed by cervical dislocation and 3 mm central corneal discs were scored by trephine. The removal was completed with corneoscleral scissors and the button, covered by balanced salt solution, was left in situ until required in order to minimise endothelial damage caused by excessive manipulation.

The technique used throughout the study was a modification of that described by Coster4 and allowed retention of a non-irritant single suture with a buried knot. Recipient DA rats were anaesthetised with ether and 0.2 ml of diazepam solution (2 mg/ml) was injected intraperitoneally. The right eye was anaesthetised with a drop of benoxinate 0.4% before a 3 mm trephine marked the recipient bed. The button was removed with microforceps and corneoscleral scissors. The prepared donor button was carefully manipulated into the bed and secured with 8–10 bites of a continuous 10/0 monofilament nylon suture placed intrastromally and tied into the wound with a tripled knot cut flush to the surface. A single drop of chloramphenicol 0.5% was instilled.

POSTOPERATIVE MANAGEMENT
Animals were examined on the first postoperative day; those with surgical failures due to wound dehiscence, iris prolapse, and hyphaema were excluded from the study and killed. The remaining animals received a drop of chloramphenicol and were thereafter examined on alternate days. On day 3 postoperatively any opaque grafts were eliminated from the study because they were more likely to represent excessive mechanical damage to the donor.
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material rather than immunological rejection. A final drop of chloramphenicol was then instilled.

CLINICAL OBSERVATION
Grafted animals were examined under anaesthesia on alternate days using a portable slit-lamp. The grafts were graded from 0–4 for opacity, oedema, and vascularity as previously described. The day of rejection was recorded as that on which the combined score reached 6 or more.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
The survival times from transplantation until rejection were compared using the Mann Whitney test.

MONOCLONAL ANTIBODIES (mAb)
Ascites fluid from BALB/c mice injected with one of the following monoclonal producing hybridoma cell lines was collected in this laboratory: anti-CD4 (W3/25,OX35,OX38), anti-CD8 (OX8), anti-alpha/beta T-cell receptor (R73) (a kind gift of Professor Thomas Hunig, Würzburg). Radial immunodiffusion assays were used to estimate the immunoglobulin (Ig) concentrations. For injection mAbs were diluted in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and stored at -70°C in 1 ml aliquots.

CELLULAR DEPLETION IN VIVO
DA rats aged 10–12 weeks old received intraperitoneal injections of 1 ml of ascites containing 6 mg of OX8 (anti-CD8) mAb three times a week. A second group was treated three times a week with 1 ml of a cocktail containing two non-competing anti-CD4 mAbs,OX35 (0-75 mg) and OX38 (2-5 mg) which deplete CD4+ T-cells. The third group was injected three times a week with 1 ml of a non-reactive control mAb OX21 (anti-human C3b) that does not react with rat antigens. Treatment was continued for 48 days. On day 16 after the initial injection of mAb the animals received a penetrating allogeneic Lewis corneal graft into the right eye.

IMMUNOHISTOCHEMISTRY
Corneoscleral buttons were removed and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Serial sections of 5 μm thickness were cut across the central area of the graft and stained using an indirect immunoperoxidase technique. Normal rabbit serum was added to the sections to block non-specific antibody binding prior to mAb incubation. A panel of mouse mAbs (produced as supernatants of hybridoma cell cultures in this laboratory) was used: W3/25,OX8,R73,OX1 (anti-CD4), the leucocyte common antigen) or OX42 (anti-macrophage). Rabbit anti-mouse peroxidase conjugated secondary antibody (Dako Ltd) was added before development in diaminobenzidine and hydrogen peroxide and slides were counterstained with 50% haematoxylin solution.

A non-reactive culture supernatant (OX21) was applied to sections as a negative control and sections of normal rat spleen were used as a positive control.

Slides were examined by the same observer in a masked fashion on three separate occasions. Cell numbers were estimated in the mid stroma of the centre of the graft using a ×40 power objective and ×12.5 eye piece graticule.

Results

CELL DEPLETION STUDIES IN VIVO

Depletion of CD4+ cells
CD4+ T-cells are the majority of circulating T-cells in DA rats comprising 36-3 (SD 3-4)% of circulating leucocytes in untreated animals (Fig 1A). Monoclonal antibody therapy reduced this to 13-6 (SD 2-8)% within a week but further depletion did not occur in the majority of animals, despite continuing antibody treatment, until day 51 when a sudden dramatic decline in cell numbers to 4-9 (SD 1-0)% occurred (Table 1A). However two animals demonstrated virtually complete depletion of CD4+ cells by day 14 and double staining demonstrated that most of the circulating T cells were CD8+ at this stage.

Depletion of CD8+ cells
CD8+ T-cells comprise 23-9 (SD 0-7)% of the
difference compared to the survival times of grafts in injected recipients (Fig 2A).

***Anti-CD8 (OX8) treated recipients***

The six allografts were rejected between 7 and 27 days with a median rejection time of 12 days. There was no difference in the rejection times compared with graft rejection in the OX21 treated controls (Fig 2B).

***Anti-CD4 (OX35/OX38) treated recipients***

Six technically successful allografts were followed for 100 days. There was a significant delay in rejection times compared with the OX8 or OX21 treated recipients (p<0.01, Mann Whitney test). Four of the grafts were rejected between 13 and 35 (median 22 days) while the remaining two grafts failed to be rejected after 100 days despite cessation of mAb therapy on day 50 (Fig 2C).

---

**Table 1. Depletion of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ cells in peripheral blood in mAb treated, corneal allografted DA rats**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Day 0</th>
<th>Day 14</th>
<th>Day 51</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD4⁺</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCR</td>
<td>46.4%</td>
<td>49.2%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD8⁺</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(A) The means (standard errors) of CD4⁺ staining cells in the anti-CD4 treated animals. Day of treatment: 0 7 14 51
% of CD4⁺ cells: 36 ±3.3 36 ±3.3 23 ±3.6 37 ±3.3

(B) The means (standard errors) of CD8⁺ cells in the anti-CD8 treated animals. Day of treatment: 0 7 14 51
% of CD8⁺ cells: 23.9 ±2.7 23.9 ±2.7 23.9 ±2.7 23.9 ±2.7

The percentage of blood leukocytes that stained were either CD4⁺ or CD8⁺ is shown against the day of treatment. The day of the first treatment is day 0 and the rats were grafted on day 16.
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There was no correlation between the amount of CD4+ cell depletion at the time of grafting and the eventual graft survival.

Rejected allografts became opaque and vascularised and, though after several weeks the opacity partially cleared, the grafts remained vascularised (Fig 3A). In contrast, the long term surviving grafts remained clear and though vessels grew up to the wound they did not grow onto the graft (Fig 3B).

**Histology of grafts**

Syngeneic grafts had low numbers of infiltrating leucocytes staining for the leucocyte common antigen CD45 (OX1) and most of these appeared to be macrophages marked by OX42. Rejected grafts in the untreated, CD4+ depleted, and CD8+ depleted animals had a heavy infiltration of macrophages staining with OX42 (Fig 4) but all showed low numbers of T-cells and very few CD8 (OX8) cells present. There was a less heavy infiltration of macrophages in rejected grafts from the CD4-depleted animals compared with the untreated controls or CD8-depleted animals.

**Discussion**

The mechanism of destruction of allogeneic tissue is unknown and this applies to corneal grafts. Although T-cells are required for the afferent limb of the corneal allograft response, the precise effector mechanism of corneal graft rejection remains an enigma. Using selected mAbs and frequent administration it was possible to deplete T-cell subsets and this enabled an examination of their roles in corneal allograft rejection in vivo.

CD8+ cells are important effector cells in immune responses, particularly viral infections and depletion of cells by anti-CD8 mAbs has been shown to modify the outcome of viral encephalitis. They have also been presumed to be important in corneal allograft rejection; indeed, in vitro evidence using spleen cells obtained from animals with rejected corneal allografts implicates the cytotoxic CD8+ T-cell as the major effector cell. However the results of the present study suggest that this may not reflect the mechanism of corneal allograft rejection in vivo because animals depleted of CD8+ cells rejected corneal allografts in the same tempo as controls. This result, although initially surprising, is in fact in keeping with other experimental models where depletion of CD8+ cells failed to modify allograft rejection in skin and heart allograft models. Despite 96–100% depletion of circulating CD8+ cells at the time of grafting we also failed to influence corneal graft rejection.

In contrast, results presented in this study show that pretreatment of DA rat recipients for 2 weeks with murine anti-CD4 mAbs led to significantly prolonged corneal allograft survival or even tolerance.

Depletion of CD4+ cells is notoriously difficult and different anti-CD4 mAbs vary in their ability to deplete. The mAb W3/25 reacts with CD4 but fails to deplete, whereas a cocktail of OX35 and OX38 depletes CD4+ cells very effectively after 6 weeks of treatment. One difficulty has been that the CD4 molecule is expressed on other cells such as macrophages as well as on T-cells. In the present investigation the depletion of CD4 T-cells was determined by double staining for CD4 and the alpha-beta TCR. Depletion of CD4+ T-cells from 36% to <10% of peripheral blood lymphocytes correlated with a delayed rejection of corneal...
allografts in this group. It may be that grafting after 6 weeks of treatment when greater depletion of CD4+ cells is obtained may allow better survival of corneal allografts and this is being investigated.

If the CD8+ cytotoxic T-cell is not required for allograft rejection in vivo then several optional candidates remain. The possibilities that antibodies,\textsuperscript{19} natural killer cells,\textsuperscript{19} or macrophages\textsuperscript{15-17} are involved in the effector mechanism of corneal graft rejection have received some attention. It is also possible that cytotoxic CD4+ T-cells are involved but there is no direct evidence for this. Their low numbers in the rejecting corneal grafts and the overwhelming infiltration of macrophages even in CD4+ and CD8+ depleted recipients suggest that the latter may in fact play the major role in tissue damage, in a local delayed-type hypersensitivity reaction.\textsuperscript{19} The role of the CD4+ T-cells is more likely to be concerned with the recognition of alloantigen presented on antigen presenting cells which explains the specificity of the rejection response. Antigen presentation may occur locally in the limbus and ocular Langerhans cells have been shown to be capable of presenting antigens to T-cells.\textsuperscript{20} Cytokine release by the alloreactive T-cells may activate effector cells, possibly macrophages, locally within the graft leading to graft damage and opacity. Failure to recognise alloantigen in syngeneic grafts or depletion of CD4+ cells by mAb does not lead to activation of the effector mechanisms and the corneal grafts remain clear.

As well as increasing understanding of corneal allograft rejection this study suggests that treatment of high risk recipients with anti-CD4 antibodies may have important clinical applications.
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