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Abstract
The course of cystoid macular oedema (CMO) following extracapsular cataract extraction with posterior chamber intraocular lens implantation was prospectively studied in 44 eyes of 44 consecutive diabetic patients without preoperative CMO. In 50% of eyes CMO was observed 6 weeks after surgery and in 25% was still present at 1 year. The preoperative presence of diabetic retinopathy significantly affected the postoperative onset and persistence of CMO. CMO occurred postoperatively in only 32% of eyes without pre-existing diabetic retinopathy and in 81% of eyes with pre-existing diabetic retinopathy (p<0.05). CMO persisted at 1 year after surgery in only 7% of eyes without pre-existing diabetic retinopathy and in 56% of eyes in which diabetic retinopathy persisted (p<0.01). Angiographic CMO (that is, detectable only on fluorescein angiography) was more common than clinical CMO (detectable on ophthalmoscopic examination as well) in eyes with no pre-existing diabetic retinopathy, whereas clinical CMO was seen more often than angiographic CMO when diabetic retinopathy was present preoperatively (p<0.01). The course and final visual outcome of angiographic CMO were more favourable than in clinical CMO. Final visual acuity of at least 6/12 was achieved in 86% of eyes with angiographic CMO and in only 33% of eyes with clinical CMO. On the basis of the above findings we believe that cataract extraction should not be recommended for eyes with pre-existing diabetic retinopathy until the vision has deteriorated to at least 6/30–6/60.

The visual prognosis following cataract surgery in diabetic patients depends on the presence and/or the severity of existing diabetic retinopathy and its possible aggravation after surgery. In particular the visual outcome may be influenced by the postoperative onset of cystoid macular oedema (CMO) which may occur even without onset or aggravation of diabetic retinopathy.

In a previous study we observed a high incidence of progression of diabetic retinopathy following cataract surgery. 'Progression' was defined as appearance or worsening of the diabetic retinopathy regardless of whether or not CMO was present. However though that study did not deal specifically with the course of maculopathy our clinical impression was that the presence of CMO after cataract extraction is more common in diabetic than in non-diabetic eyes.

The purpose of this prospective study was to investigate the onset and course of CMO following cataract surgery with lens implantation in diabetic patients. The diabetic patients in this study all underwent extracapsular cataract extraction with posterior chamber lens implantation and did not have CMO prior to surgery.

Subjects and methods
Included in this study were 44 eyes of 44 consecutively diabetic patients, with or without diabetic retinopathy who underwent extracapsular cataract extraction with posterior chamber intraocular lens implantation in our department between January 1987 and January 1990 and who met the following criteria: (1) diagnosis of diabetes mellitus at least 6 months prior to cataract surgery; (2) uneventful surgical procedure, performed by a team of senior specialists in cataract surgery; (3) no evidence of CMO prior to surgery or, where the cataract had precluded visualisation of the fundus preoperatively, within the first 3 days after surgery; (4) no laser treatment for diabetic retinopathy given or required before or within 1 month after surgery. This restricts the series to eyes in which diabetic retinopathy if present at the time of surgery, was of the mild non-proliferative type; (5) no other ocular disorders; (6) postoperative follow-up for at least 1 year; (7) a fundal examination prior to and/or within 3 days after surgery as a baseline for postoperative assessment of retinal status; (8) additional fundal examinations during three distinct periods within the first year of postoperative follow-up: (a) from the second week to the end of the third month; (b) from the fourth to the end of the sixth month; and (c) from the seventh to the end of twelfth month.

All patients underwent routine fluorescein angiography 6 weeks and 6 months after surgery. In those cases where onset or progression of diabetic retinopathy had occurred and/or fluorescein angiography revealed persistence of CMO after 6 months additional angiograms were obtained every 2 months until the end of the study, which was 1 year after surgery. In cases where CMO was not demonstrated initially on the angiogram but was suspected on the basis of an ophthalmoscopic examination angiography was repeated. Fundal examinations, colour fundal photography, and fluorescein angiography readings were performed by a retinal specialist.

After surgery if the severity of diabetic retinopathy and/or macular oedema met the criteria for treatment as outlined by the Diabetic Retinopathy and Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Studies argon laser photoagulation was performed in accordance with their recommendations. Laser treatment consisted of panretinal photoagulation for proliferative or very
Table 1  Clinical features of diabetic and non-diabetic patients with and without CMO and control patients following cataract surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Diabetic patients (n=44)</th>
<th>Non-diabetic patients (n=25)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No CMO (n=22 eyes)</td>
<td>CMO (n=22 eyes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>65.6 (8)</td>
<td>67.9 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of DM* (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>9.5 (9)</td>
<td>15.8 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular disease:</td>
<td>Oral (5)</td>
<td>Oral (3) (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oral agents (14) (64)</td>
<td>Oral agents (14) (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Insulin/insulin (3) (14)</td>
<td>Insulin/insulin (3) (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male:female</td>
<td>6.16 (27.73)</td>
<td>8.14 (39.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of patients (%)</td>
<td>26.42</td>
<td>42.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Diabetes mellitus.

with no pre-existing diabetic retinopathy developed either non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy or proliferative diabetic retinopathy after surgery; or (2) a patient with pre-existing non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy showed postoperative aggravation of the non-proliferative changes or development of proliferative diabetic retinopathy as previously described by us. 

The control group consisted of 25 eyes of 25 consecutive non-diabetic patients who underwent the identical surgical procedure performed by the same surgical team during the same period and who met our inclusion criteria nos. 2 and 5–8 listed above. The *χ²* test was used for statistical analysis.

Table 2  Postoperative development of clinical and angiographic CMO in relation to the preoperative status of diabetic retinopathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preoperative status:</th>
<th>Postoperative development of CMO (n=44 eyes)</th>
<th>No of eyes/total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No DR* (n=28 eyes)</td>
<td>6/28 (21)</td>
<td>3/28 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR (n=16)</td>
<td>1/16 (6)</td>
<td>12/16 (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No diabetic retinopathy; †Diabetic retinopathy; ‡Angiographic CMO only; §Ophthalmoscopie and angiographic CMO.

Results

Of the 44 patients in the study group 14 were men and 30 were women. The mean age at the time of surgery was 66.7 years (range 42 to 82) and the mean duration of diabetes mellitus was 12.6 years (range 6 months to 26 years). Cataract extraction was unilateral in 36 patients and bilateral in eight. In the bilateral cases only one eye, the one operated on first, was included in the study. Of the 25 patients in the control group 10 were men and 15 were women. Their mean age at the time of surgery was 71.2 years (range 50 to 88) (Table 1).

Following surgery 22 eyes in the study group (50%) developed CMO compared with two eyes (8%) in the control. Table 1 compares the clinical features of patients with and without postoperative onset of CMO. Differences between patients in this respect were not significant though patients with CMO had diabetes mellitus of longer duration than those without CMO, and more patients in the group with CMO needed insulin.

Clinical CMO was diagnosed in 15 eyes in the study group (34%) and angiographic CMO only in seven (16%). The corresponding findings in the control group were one eye (4%) in each category; the angiographic CMO subsequently disappeared but the clinical CMO persisted. The relationship between the preoperative diabetic retinal status and the onset of clinical or of angiographic CMO in the 22 study group eyes that developed CMO is summarised in Table 2. The relationship between the preoperative diabetic retinal status and the postoperative course of diabetic retinopathy and of CMO is presented in Table 3. Table 4 summarises the incidence of CMO and its persistence 1 year after surgery in relation to both the preoperative and the postoperative status of diabetic retinopathy.

Of the 13 eyes with preoperative diabetic retinopathy and postoperative onset of CMO, nine also showed progression of diabetic retinopathy following surgery. Five of these 13 eyes received laser treatment for their postoperative retinopathic changes and eight did not. In the nine eyes that had no diabetic retinopathy preoperatively and developed CMO postoperatively laser treatment was not indicated (Table 4).

Table 5 compares the final visual acuity results in diabetic patients with and without postoperative onset of CMO. In both cases more patients...
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Table 3 Postoperative course of diabetic retinopathy and onset of CMO in relation to the preoperative status of diabetic retinopathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preoperative status of diabetic retinopathy</th>
<th>Postoperative status of diabetic retinopathy (n=46 eyes)</th>
<th>No of eyes (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without CMO</td>
<td>No change of DR</td>
<td>18 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>With CMO</td>
<td>8 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With CMO</td>
<td>Progression of DR</td>
<td>4 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Without CMO</td>
<td>14 (31)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No DR* (n=28 eyes) 17/28 (61) 4/28 (14) 2/28 (7) 5/28 (18) p=0.025 NS
DR† (n=9 eyes) 1/16 (6) 4/16 (25) 2/16 (13) 9/16 (56)

*No diabetic retinopathy. †Diabetic retinopathy. NS, not significant.

Discussion

None of the diabetic patients in this series had CMO prior to cataract surgery but 50% (22 eyes) developed it postoperatively. The occurrence of CMO after surgery in these eyes could have been a consequence of the operation itself (pseudo-phakic CMO) or of postoperative deterioration of diabetic retinopathy (diabetic CMO), or both. Since it was not possible to distinguish between them all cases of CMO were included in our analysis of the results.

Our definition of CMO as 'angiographic' in this study was based on typical findings on fluorescein angiography as described by Gass and Norton. After cataract surgery a diagnosis of clinical CMO is usually based not only on typical angiographic findings but also on poor visual acuity (<6/12); however, we considered visual acuity to be an unreliable criterion here as our cases included eyes with diabetic retinopathy itself a possible factor affecting visual acuity. We therefore diagnosed CMO as 'clinical' on the basis of ophthalmoscopic (in addition to angiographic) findings.

In non-diabetic eyes angiographic CMO following extracapsular cataract extraction with posterior chamber lens implantation reportedly occurs in as many as 44% of cases. In our control group it occurred in only 8% (2/25) compared with an incidence of 50% in the diabetic eyes. It should be noted however that the occurrence of CMO in the diabetic eyes appeared to be influenced by the pre- or postoperative diabetic retinal status and especially by the former: it occurred in 81% (13/16) of eyes that had pre-existing diabetic retinopathy and in only 32% (9/28) of eyes that did not. Since pre-existing diabetic retinopathy is also a risk factor for its postoperative aggravation, which may be associated with CMO, it is possible that the pre-existence of diabetic retinopathy may have contributed to the postoperative development of CMO. In this study most of the eyes with postoperative progression of diabetic retinopathy also developed CMO (78%, 14/18), whether diabetic retinopathy had pre-existed (82%, 9/11) or not (71%, 5/7) (Table 3).

In the general population CMO, if it appears at all after surgery, usually occurs within 6 weeks of the operation, is transitory, and disappears within 6 months. The reported incidence of CMO persisting for 6 months after surgery ranges between 0-9 and 4%, in our control group it persisted in 4%. In the diabetic group however CMO lasted longer and was still evident even after 6 months. We therefore considered CMO as 'persistent' if it was still present at 1 year after surgery (Table 4). Persistence of CMO was noted in 25% of the diabetic eyes and like the postoperative incidence of CMO in these eyes varied with the preoperative retinal status (see Table 4). If we assume that persistence of CMO for at least 1 year after surgery in diabetic patients is equivalent to its persistence for at least 6 months in the general population then our diabetic patients with no pre-existing diabetic retinopathy did not differ significantly from our control group in this regard (7% v 4% respectively). Eyes with pre-existing diabetic retinopathy that progressed after surgery (89%, 8/9) carried the highest risk of persistence.

Visual acuity of at least 6/12 was achieved by a high percentage of eyes that developed angiographic CMO after surgery, similar to the percentage in the subgroup of operated patients with no pre-existing diabetic retinopathy and no CMO (Table 5, 6). Similar findings have been reported by others. In eyes that developed clinical CMO the visual acuity outcome was less favourable, especially in the subgroup with pre-

Table 4 Postoperative course of CMO in relation to pre- and postoperative status of diabetic retinopathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preoperative status of diabetic retinopathy</th>
<th>CMO with no change of DR (n=22 eyes)</th>
<th>CMO with progression of DR (n=22 eyes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Appearance (n=8)</td>
<td>Persistence after 6 months (n=8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Persistence after 1 year (n=2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Appearance (n=14)</td>
<td>Persistence after 6 months (n=12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Persistence after 1 year (n=9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No DR*</td>
<td>(n=21) 4/21 4/21</td>
<td>1/21 1/2 5/7 3/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR†</td>
<td>(n=5) 4/5 4/5</td>
<td>1/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=11) 9/11 9/11</td>
<td>1/11 1/11 9/11 9/11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No diabetic retinopathy; †Diabetic retinopathy; §Argon laser treatment was applied in two cases: in one the CMO resolved and in the other it persisted; ¶Argon laser treatment was applied in three cases: in all of them CMO persisted.

Postoperative course of CMO in relation to pre- and postoperative status of diabetic retinopathy
clinical trials of cataract surgery with and without intraocular lens implantation in diabetic and non-diabetic patients.

The results of this study indicate that after cataract surgery with lens implantation CMO occurs more often and persists for longer in eyes of diabetic than of non-diabetic patients. In addition it is affected by the preoperative retinal status. Eyes with no pre-existing diabetic retinopathy tend to develop angiographic rather than clinical CMO; this seldom persists for longer than 1 year and the final visual outcome resembles that of the general population. In contrast operated eyes with pre-existing diabetic retinopathy tend to develop clinical CMO, which quite often persists for at least 1 year, and the final visual acuity can be expected to be in the range of 6/15–6/30. These findings may act as guidelines when cataract surgery is contemplated for diabetic patients. We would counsel a cautious approach for eyes with pre-existing diabetic retinopathy; surgery should probably not be recommended in such cases until vision has deteriorated to at least 6/30–6/60.
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