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Abstract
Ophthalmic pain following uncomplicated extracapsular cataract surgery was assessed postoperatively in 61 patients; 55% undergoing ophthalmic surgery had no pain or discomfort postoperatively, and 32% reported slight discomfort. Approximately 8% of patients reported mild pain and the remaining 5% experienced moderate to severe pain. Local anaesthesia was shown to be more comfortable postoperatively than general anaesthesia in the immediate postoperative period with both groups receiving similar amounts of postoperative analgesics.

The actual appreciation of pain is both subjective and personal to the individual patient. Pain relief following ophthalmic surgery is often prescribed by one profession, assessed and administered by another often with the prescriber having little or no notion of what the patients are actually suffering except the belief that patients are not in pain unless they complain and that perhaps pain is an inevitable consequence of surgery. This study provides an estimate of the levels of postoperative pain encountered in ophthalmic patients which can be compared with other types of surgery measured on a similar standard pain scoring scale.

Method
Seventy one patients (65% females, 35% males) with a mean age of 67 years (SD 16) undergoing extracapsular cataract extractions were consecutively recruited into the study. Assessment of any ocular pain before operation was performed and four patients with pre-existing pain were excluded. The level of pain was quantified by a verbal descriptive scale from 0 to 4 (Table 1) and also on a linear analogue scale of 0 to 100 (Table 2).

Preoperative assessment also included the patients’ assessment of their own anxiety level and the nurse assessment of the patients’ anxiety level; both using the same verbal anxiety scoring from 0 to 2 (Table 3). The patients were also asked to score the level of pain they expected after their operation using the same verbal descriptive pain scoring system. Details of premedications and local anaesthetic type were noted. The extracapsular cataract extractions with intraocular lens (IOL) implants were performed by 16 different surgeons from registrar to consultant grades.
Pain scores were assessed on return to the ward as soon as the patient was able to cooperate on the day of the operation and in the afternoon of the first and second postoperative day between 2 pm and 4 pm in the afternoon. Nurse assessment of the patient’s anxiety level using the same verbal anxiety scoring from 0 to 2 had been obtained on each of the postoperative day. All postoperative complications were recorded and six patients with complications such as corneal abrasions, ocular hypertension requiring intervention and severe iritis were excluded because of possible contribution to postoperative pain. All patients received paracetamol as required with stronger analgesics when necessary. All analgesics dispensed both in theatre by the anaesthetists and in the wards by the nurses for each day were documented.

Results
The results of the verbal and linear analogue pain scores of 61 uncomplicated extracapsular cataract extractions are shown in Figure 1 and Table 4. There was no significant difference between the pain scores obtained on the day of operation, the first, and second postoperative days. The level of expected pain (Fig 2) was higher than the actual pain scored subsequently in our patients but there is no statistically significant relationship between expected and actual pain scores.

The pain scores of 35 patients under local anaesthesia and 26 patients under general anaesthesia on the day of cataract surgery are illustrated in Figure 3. The level of pain scored was significantly less in the local anaesthesia group compared with the general anaesthesia group both on verbal and on linear analogue scales with \( p=0.018 \) and \( p=0.003 \) respectively (Wilcoxon signed rank test). Analgesic consumption of paracetamol was also lower in the group having local anaesthetic cataract extractions (mean of 1.4 g of paracetamol) compared with the general anaesthetic (mean of 2.5 g of paracetamol) although this was not statistically significant (\( p=0.20 \), Wilcoxon signed rank test).

Discussion
The results show that in the studied patients about 55% undergoing ophthalmic surgery have no pain or discomfort postoperatively and approximately 32% reported slight discomfort. However approximately 8% of patients reported mild pain and the remaining 5% experienced moderate to severe pain while on analgesics prescribed when required. There appears to be a small group of patients who may be suffering unnecessarily and may not in fact request analgesics. These patients could benefit from regular dispensing of analgesics.

Patients having local anaesthesia for cataract extraction in this study are more comfortable postoperatively on the day of the operation and require less analgesia. It is of interest that our findings are supported by a German study on postoperative pain. Schaffer and his colleagues in 1988 found that postoperative pain following intraocular surgery was significantly higher after general anaesthesia compared with local anaesthesia with no difference in analgesic therapy in both groups.

One possible explanation for this is that the patients undergoing local anaesthesia are in fact a different population group being older and perhaps less anxious. The mean age of local and general anaesthetic groups of patients were however similar (71.8 and 72.6 years respectively). Although the mean anxiety scores of the general anaesthetic patients were higher than those of the local anaesthetic patients (1.0 and 0.38 respectively) with \( p=0.07 \) (Wilcoxon signed rank test) before the operation, those obtained after the operation were similar (0.5 and 0.2 respectively) with \( p=0.5 \) (Wilcoxon signed rank test). This suggests that while general anaesthetic patients were apparently more anxious than local anaesthetic patients before the operation, after their operation there was no difference in their anxiety levels to account for the difference in postoperative pain levels.

As the average analgesic consumption was similar in both the general and local anaesthetic groups (1.8 g and 1.7 g of paracetamol) respectively on the day of return to the ward, with only one general anaesthetic case receiving the opioid analgesic Omnopon (papaveretum) 10 mg intramuscularly, the patients undergoing local anaes-
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Anaesthesia for cataract extraction are probably more comfortable postoperatively because of the residual effects of the local anaesthetic agent itself. In all cases, lignocaine 2% with 1:200 000 adrenaline was used as the local anaesthetic agent which may have effects beyond 1 hour, providing patients with useful postoperative analgesia.

The effects of premedication may also contribute to local anaesthesia being more comfortable, with 84% of the local anaesthetic patients receiving Omnopon 10 mg and the sedating antihistamine Phenergan (promethazine hydrochloride) 50 mg 1 hour preoperatively. The premedication for general anaesthesia consists of either diazepam 10 mg or temazepam 10 mg without any analgesia in all cases.

Our findings suggest that there may be a case for administering topical anaesthetic agents to the eye or analgesics for all the general anaesthetic patients before they leave theatre and that regular administration of a mild analgesic, such as paracetamol, in all patients may reduce the overall pain and discomfort in both groups of patients.
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