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Abstract

County Roscommon in the west of Ireland is a relatively remote rural area whose population of 55,000 is served by two community medical ophthalmologists and three optometrists. Eye surgical services are not available within the county. In order to assess the needs of the community for prevention of blindness from glaucoma, a simple random sample of the population of County Roscommon was taken for a community-based glaucoma survey. A total of 2186 people over the age of 50 were examined which represented a 99.5% response rate. The high response rate was achieved by the community basis of the study and vigorous follow-up of non-attenders. Intraocular pressure was measured using applanation tonometry, disc evaluation by both direct ophthalmoscopy and stereo biomicroscopy, and visual field analysis using the Henson CFS 2000 and experimental computer controlled video perimetry. Diagnostic criteria were consistent with the preferred practice pattern of the American Academy of Ophthalmology. A crude prevalence of approximately 2% for primary open angle and normal tension glaucoma was found. The population profile of intraocular pressure showed a pattern which decreased with increasing age unlike the Framingham and Ferndale studies but similar to Japanese data. (Br J Ophthalmol 1993; 77: 17-21)

Glaucma is a major cause of irreversible blindness throughout the world and is usually in the top three in industrialised nations. Most ophthalmologists believe that progressive loss of visual field can be arrested or at least slowed by effective lowering of intraocular pressure (IOP). Prevalence estimates of the disease from surveys are few. The most frequently quoted in the developed world are the Framingham study1 and the Ferndale study. Although both these surveys were population based, they were not true random samples of the population at risk. Recently, the results of the Baltimore eye study4 have been published in which glaucoma prevalence was carefully estimated in randomly sampled urban clusters of blacks and whites.

A belief is widely held that the prevalence of glaucoma is high in Ireland compared with other western countries. This is based on hospital based experience by Irish ophthalmologists and no population based figures from Ireland are available for confirmation or refutation of this impression.

The Ran-Sam method of sampling of electoral register of the Republic of Ireland offered a unique opportunity to take a simple random sample of the population of County Roscommon. This mainly rural county is situated on the western bank of the Shannon in the middle of the country (Fig 1). The total population at the outset of the study was about 55,000, with approximately 17,250 over the age of 49 years.

The principal investigator of this survey (MC) holds one of two government appointments as community ophthalmologist to the county. The purpose of the study was to establish baseline estimates of glaucoma prevalence and other common blinding conditions in order to improve the planning of primary eye health care services for the county which could then be extrapolated to the country as a whole and similar communities elsewhere. It was planned during the 1988 Diploma of Community Eye Health course at the International Centre for Eye Health and executed over the subsequent 2 years. The design, execution, and analysis of this project was supervised by an ophthalmologist with specialist training in epidemiology (RW).

Method

SAMPLE

A simple random sample of the population of Co Roscommon was provided by Professor Brendan Whelan of the Economic and Social Research Institute (Dublin). This is a computerised sample from the electoral register using the Ran-Sam programme. Lists of names and addresses were provided which were a random sample of all individuals on the register. Ages were verified...
first through the use of local health board records and were further sorted by health board personnel so that a list of persons aged 50 and over eligible for the glaucoma survey was constructed. Individuals in the sample who were found to have died were replaced. People who were found to be too young on initial interview were examined but not included in the analysis as were voluntary attenders. Following a local publicity campaign, participants were invited to attend by letter, and in many cases also by personal contact by public health nurses and general practitioners. Those who failed to attend after the first invitation were sent further appointments and, when necessary, were examined at home.

Sample size calculations indicated that 2200 persons needed to be examined in order to achieve a 95% probability of detecting a prevalence of glaucoma of 3% with 95% confidence limits of plus or minus 0·5%.

Survey Clinics
Sites
Well over 50 clinics were held throughout the county at 18 different sites. Where possible established health centres were used but, for easier access for people from rural areas, clinics were set up in community centres outside of the main towns. Those who could not attend at any of these sites were visited in their own homes.

Procedures
On arrival participants were registered on production of a letter of invitation and eligibility for inclusion in the survey established by the participants' stated age. Details of past ophthalmic history and relevant family history were recorded.

Examination procedures were as follows:
(a) Best corrected visual acuity using 6 metre Snellen chart.
(b) Applanation tonometry by Goldmann tonometer or Perkins MK2 hand-held applanation tonometry.
(c) Assessment of anterior chamber angle by slit-lamp biomicroscopy using the van Herick method and gonioscopy using the Goldmann single mirror when the angle appeared to be less than one half the peripheral corneal thickness.
(d) Cup/disc ratio estimation using slit-lamp biomicroscopy and the 90 dioptre fundus lens. Participants' pupils were dilated when an adequate view could not be achieved otherwise. Note was made of any other pathology detected in the anterior or posterior segment during this part of the examination. Interobserver variability studies between the ophthalmologists in disc assessment achieved a weighted kappa statistic for agreement consistently over 0·75 (denoting excellent agreement).
(e) Visual fields. Central visual field analysis using the Henson CFS 2000 semi-automated perimeter was performed on both eyes of initially one in 10 and subsequently all participants using the 132 point test. All glaucoma suspects (CD ratio >0·5 in one or both eyes), ocular hypertensives, and cases were tested unless incompetent to perform the test owing to poor vision or inability to understand the test. A total of 55·8% of the sample had their visual fields tested.

(b) Diagnostic conclusion and disposal. All cases of newly diagnosed of glaucoma, all new suspects and all ocular hypertensives were reviewed by a second ophthalmologist to confirm

Table 1. Prevalence of primary open angle glaucoma (including normal tension) (by age and sex with 95% confidence limits)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group (years)</th>
<th>No of cases</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>95% CL (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total 50–59</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>0·72</td>
<td>0·09–1·25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>0·65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>0·16–2·24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 60–69</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>1·76</td>
<td>0·97–2·94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>0·73–3·56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>0·15–5·94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 70–79</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>3·2</td>
<td>1·87–5·00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>3·72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>1·08–5·43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 80+</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>3·05</td>
<td>0·99–6·98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3·48–9·81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0·66–9·14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Prevalence (%) of glaucoma by type, ocular hypertensives, and glaucoma suspects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnoses</th>
<th>No of cases</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>95% CL (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POAG</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2186</td>
<td>1·88 (1·35–2·53)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAG</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2186</td>
<td>0·09 (0·006–0·5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2186</td>
<td>0·41 (0·19–0·77)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspect</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2186</td>
<td>1·05 (0·66–1·57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocular</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1799</td>
<td>3·61 (2·84–4·58)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Primary open angle glaucoma; 2 Chronic angle closure glaucoma.

Table 3. Clinical features of glaucoma cases in the Roscommon glaucoma survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Cum %</th>
<th>Previous diag</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>51 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>63 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>68 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>70 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>100 (12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Defects were graded as 'definite' when at least two quadrants were involved and the typical arcuate shape was observed. "Probable' defects were smaller but the Henson automated assessment was abnormal with a 'field survival' of <95%.
the diagnosis and gonioscopy was performed if not yet carried out. Arrangements for follow up were made locally.

Most participants also underwent Schiotz tonometry and direct ophthalmoscopy as part of a training exercise for the community health nurses. Data from these findings are not presented in this paper.

**Personnel**

The survey was conducted by ophthalmologists assisted by paramedical technicians and public health nurses who are normally employed by the Western Health Board. Standardisation of procedures was supervised by the ophthalmologist with epidemiological expertise (RW).

Visual acuity was tested by the public health nurses. Visual field testing was conducted by paramedical persons under the supervision of a teaching grade orthoptist and an ophthalmologist who specialises in visual field research (XW). The remainder of the examination of every participant was conducted by an ophthalmologist including estimation of cup/disc ratio.

**DATA MANAGEMENT STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Clinical data were entered on to a standard proforma and subsequently entered into a dedicated data base in SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) on an IBM compatible microcomputer.

Because the number of non attenders was so small, prevalences were calculated using the total number of participants examined: 95% confidence limits for the prevalence estimates were calculated using an exact binomial method for small proportions and the normal approximation to the binomial for larger ones. (Confidence Interval Analysis software available from British Medical Association publications was used for this purpose.) Statistical associations in cross tabulations were assessed using the \( \chi^2 \) statistic.

**Results**

Of the 2200 individuals invited, 2186 participated making an attendance rate of 99.5%; 280 were visited at home (12.8%) and 0.5% refused to be seen.

Figure 2 shows the distribution by age and sex of the population examined. There were slightly more females (52%) than males (48%). The age distribution of the sample which shows a marginally reduced proportion in the younger age group may reflect the population structure of the county which had considerable out migration in the 1950s. The age/sex distribution of the sample closely correlated with that of the population of the county as a whole.

The prevalence of primary open angle glaucoma (including normal tension glaucoma) in those persons aged 50 years and over was 1.87% (95% CI 1.3 to 2.5%). Table 1 shows the age specific prevalences for primary open angle glaucoma by gender with 95% confidence limits around each estimate. Table 2 gives the prevalence estimates for other glaucoma types, ocular hypertensives, and glaucoma suspects. A glaucoma suspect was defined as a person with raised IOP in one or both eyes (>21 mm Hg) in the presence of a cup to disc ratio of >0.5 in at least one eye but with normal visual fields. An ocular hypertensive was defined as a person with raised IOP (>21 mm Hg) in at least one eye with normal discs (<0.55) and fields. Table 3 summarises the features of all the diagnosed primary open angle glaucoma cases.

Figure 3 shows the mean applanation IOP for left eyes for males and females by age group including ocular hypertensives but excluding glaucoma patients and suspects. Applanation IOP was normally distributed within each age and sex grouping.

Figure 4 gives the cumulative frequency distribution of vertical cup to disc ratio for left and right eyes separately. A total of 95% of the sample had a vertical CD ratio of less than 0.5 and the median CD ratio was 0.2 for either eye. Glaucoma cases and suspects were excluded from this figure.

Fifty one percent of all POAG cases (including normal tension glaucoma) were previously diagnosed. The crude prevalence of known cases of POAG was 0.9% and that of newly detected cases was 0.9%.

Pseudoexfoliation was detected at a prevalence of 1.33% (95% CI 0.88–1.9%). Nine out of 29 cases were unable to perform a visual field test because of poor acuity in one or both eyes (due to cataract in all cases). Out of the remaining 20 patients, seven (35%) had glaucoma. However, because not all participants had their pupils dilated before being examined, the prevalence of pseudoexfoliation may be underestimated. Only cases with obvious changes at the pupillary margin and on the anterior lens capsule were diagnosed as pseudoexfoliation.

There were two cases of angle closure glaucoma.
Table 4  Age specific prevalences in the Baltimore eye study and the Roscommon study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>BES PREV</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>RGS PREV</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.03-1.17</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.13-1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.31-1.57</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.91-2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-79</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>1.2-4.5</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>1.81-4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80+</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>0.49-4.95</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>0.54-5.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and two cases of secondary glaucoma, one of which was thrombotic and the other aphakic. The remaining cases classified in Table 2 as secondary glaucoma were due to pseudo-exfoliation (seven cases).

BLINDNESS AND LOW VISION
The prevalence of blindness (<3/60) was 0-5% (n=12) for the whole sample (50 years and over). The prevalence of low vision (<6/18) was 2-06% (n=45). 13% (n=6) of persons with low vision and 25% (n=3) of the blind had glaucoma.

The prevalence of blindness amongst all glaucoma patients was 7.3% and low vision, 14.6%.

Discussion
The high attendance rate was achieved because the survey was conducted in an agricultural community when most people are known to each other and, in particular, by the community nurses who were able to follow up non-attenders vigorously.

The prevalence of glaucoma is higher than some other population based glaucoma studies. Differences in prevalence estimates may arise because of different sampling methodology and different case definitions. However, direct comparisons can be made to the estimates of glaucoma prevalence among the white population in the Baltimore Eye Study (BES). Their estimate for prevalence of glaucoma was 1.3% for the white population sample in the same age bracket. The 95% confidence limits for the two study estimates do overlap so that the observed difference may be due to sampling error alone.

Table 4 shows comparable age specific prevalence estimates for these two studies, none of which significantly differ.

Table 5 shows the results of other comparable glaucoma surveys carried out in western countries. There is remarkable concordance for the prevalence estimates from the three most recent surveys, namely Nottingham, BES and Roscommon and perhaps the use of more modern automated and semi-automated perimetry on a larger proportion of the samples has increased the detection rate. However, only two cases in this survey had probable defects which might have been missed by less sensitive strategies. The Henson CFS 2000® is an instrument designed for screening purposes which maximises specificity at the cost of a lower sensitivity. Therefore, if anything, glaucoma prevalence may be underestimated with this strategy.

Another reason whereby glaucoma prevalence may be underestimated relates to our definition of glaucoma and the number of persons who had no visual field test performed. A total of 949 persons had no visual field test and all except 13 of these had a CD ratio of ≤0-5. Eleven of these 13 were known cases of glaucoma whose vision was too poor to be able to perform reliable fields. The other two were seen in the community and failed to attend for field testing so that the diagnosis could not be refuted or confirmed. Of those who did have fields tested and whose greatest CD ratio was ≤0-5, there were 17 persons who had a relative or absolute arcuate scotoma, another 28 with para-central scotomas, and 10 with hemifield defects. Because none of these had a pathological appearance of their optic discs, and in many cases other reasons could be found for the abnormality, in particular retinal vascular occlusions, these were excluded from the prevalence estimates. We now know that persons with small optic discs may get glaucomatous visual field defects without developing a pathological appearance to the disc until much later. One such patient was included with a definite field defect and a high IOP (Table 2). Two of the 17 with arcuate scotomas also had an IOP over 21 mm Hg and were thus included as ocular hypertensives. If we were to include these cases and a similar proportion of those who did not have field tests (1-6% of 949), the glaucoma prevalence estimate would rise by another 32 cases to 3-7%. Our published estimate is necessarily conservative and only includes cases that we had the resources to definitely confirm during the period of the survey.

Taking a cut-off at >0-5 for cup/disc ratio for glaucoma cases and suspects will tend to underestimate prevalence of glaucoma suspects. We had no category for normal tension glaucoma suspects in this study. The sample distribution of cup/disc ratio excluding glaucoma cases and suspects (Fig 5) shows that 2-7% of the sample had CD ratio of greater than 0-5. An unknown proportion of these may in fact be normal tension glaucoma suspects.

A striking feature of these results is the high proportion of 'normal tension' POAG detected during the survey. Ten newly diagnosed cases had IOP at ≤21 mm Hg at survey while only six had raised pressure. This parallels Sommer’s observations on the BES® where only half the newly detected cases had raised IOP. This finding emphasises the poor performance of IOP as a screening test for glaucoma.

It is interesting that ocular hypertension is found to have a lower prevalence than might be expected. Whilst the ratio of age specific prevalence for glaucoma and ocular hypertension was 0.72% to 4.19% (1.6) for the 50-59 year age group, the ratio is much less for the older age groups and the overall ratio 1.3. This might suggest that there is a shift with increasing
age from large numbers of ocular hypertensives and few glaucoma patients in the younger age group to many more glaucoma sufferers relative to the number of ocular hypertensives in the older age groups and may reflect the natural history of disease in the untreated population.

Hospital-based statistics will tend to be biased by referral practice and while IOP is relied upon by practitioners in the community to screen for glaucoma, a higher proportion of ocular hypertensives will be seen in the hospital eye service.

It is interesting to note that the prevalence of OH does not increase with age as has been previously reported by Armaly and the relationship between age-specific prevalence of OH and POAG is similar to that described by Shiose in Japan. Of course, a one-off measurement of IOP in a cross-sectional survey may miss some ocular hypertensives who on repeated testing may regress to their mean IOP over 21 mm Hg. Similarly, a proportion of those classified as ocular hypertensives may regress to a mean below 22 mm Hg.

The frequency distribution of IOP which was normal in this sample also differs from the Ferndale study which showed a distribution skewed to the right.

As in many other published surveys, the proportion of previously undiagnosed glaucoma to previously diagnosed disease was 50%. It is remarkable how consistent this figure is for studies conducted in Wales, Baltimore, Barbados, and St Lucia and now the west of Ireland. The appointment of community ophthalmologists by the Western Health Board is one attempt to improve on this situation.
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