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Abstract
For many years there has been controversy concerning the role of genetic influences in the pathogenesis of age-related macular disease. It is widely believed that the lesions causing visual loss occur in response to age-related changes in Bruch’s membrane which are recognised clinically as drusen. In this study the density, size, and confluence of drusen as shown on colour photographs were compared in eyes of 50 spouses and 53 sibling pairs ascertained during a prospective study of age-related macular disease. Concordance between pairs of drusen – number, size, and density – were determined by x statistic and χ² test for trend. Drusen were absent in one sibling and 26 spouses of patients. There was a trend towards concordance of drusen characteristics between siblings but not between spouses, although the difference achieved 5% significance only for the number and density of drusen in the central macula. The difference of concordance between the probands and spouses and the probands and siblings was significant for all characteristics. These findings support the belief that genetic factors influence age-related changes in Bruch’s membrane. They also imply that environmental factors are less important or alternatively that the environmental variation between households included in our study was not great enough to be evident.

(Br J Ophthalmol 1993; 77: 400–403)

Age-related maculopathy (ARM) is now considered the most common cause of registered blindness in Western countries. For many years there has been controversy concerning the role of genetic influences in the pathogenesis of age-related macular disease. It is widely believed that the lesions causing visual loss occur in response to age-related changes in Bruch’s membrane which are recognised clinically as drusen. In this study the density, size, and confluence of drusen as shown on colour photographs were compared in eyes of 50 spouses and 53 sibling pairs ascertained during a prospective study of age-related macular disease. Concordance between pairs of drusen – number, size, and density – were determined by x statistic and χ² test for trend. Drusen were absent in one sibling and 26 spouses of patients. There was a trend towards concordance of drusen characteristics between siblings but not between spouses, although the difference achieved 5% significance only for the number and density of drusen in the central macula. The difference of concordance between the probands and spouses and the probands and siblings was significant for all characteristics. These findings support the belief that genetic factors influence age-related changes in Bruch’s membrane. They also imply that environmental factors are less important or alternatively that the environmental variation between households included in our study was not great enough to be evident.

(Br J Ophthalmol 1993; 77: 400–403)

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is now considered the most common cause of registered blindness in Western countries. For many years there has been controversy concerning the role of genetic influences in the pathogenesis of age-related macular disease. It is widely believed that the lesions causing visual loss occur in response to age-related changes in Bruch’s membrane which are recognised clinically as drusen. In this study the density, size, and confluence of drusen as shown on colour photographs were compared in eyes of 50 spouses and 53 sibling pairs ascertained during a prospective study of age-related macular disease. Concordance between pairs of drusen – number, size, and density – were determined by x statistic and χ² test for trend. Drusen were absent in one sibling and 26 spouses of patients. There was a trend towards concordance of drusen characteristics between siblings but not between spouses, although the difference achieved 5% significance only for the number and density of drusen in the central macula. The difference of concordance between the probands and spouses and the probands and siblings was significant for all characteristics. These findings support the belief that genetic factors influence age-related changes in Bruch’s membrane. They also imply that environmental factors are less important or alternatively that the environmental variation between households included in our study was not great enough to be evident.

(Br J Ophthalmol 1993; 77: 400–403)
ance between changes observed in probands and their spouses it was also hoped to provide further evidence regarding the role of environmental factors in the genesis of the disease. This prospective study was designed only to assess the possible association of age-related changes in Bruch’s membrane with genetic and/or environmental factors but was not conceived to identify what type of factors, if present, could be important to the development of the disorder.

Patients and methods

Patients recruited to a prospective longitudinal study of age-related macular disease were asked if they had a sibling or spouse who would be willing to take part in a study of their eyes. From 82 patients it was possible to obtain 53 spouses and 50 sibling pairs who were eligible for the study, and who agreed to have their fundus examined and to undergo fundus photography. All gave signed consent to the study which was approved by the ethical committee of Moorfields eye hospital.

Only spouses who had shared more than 20 years of common life with the patient were eligible for the study. No more than one sibling of each patient was included, and when two of them attended the clinic the one whose age was the closest to the patient’s was selected. In each case the difference of age between the two members of the pair (patient spouse or patient sibling) was 10 years or less.

The exclusion criteria included the following: (1) presence of any other ocular disease (for example, cataract) sufficient to preclude fundus examination or good quality photographs, (2) macular degeneration related to myopia, and (3) the presence of other macular disease.

All the patients were aged 57 years or more. They had either bilateral drusen with good vision, or unilateral drusen and contralateral visual loss as a result of pigment epithelial detachment, choroidal neovascularisation, or disciform scar.

When one eye only was available for assessment because of a lesion related to ARM in the other, that same eye was examined in the spouse or the sibling. In cases of bilateral drusen, the right eye was selected.

Colour photographs were analysed independently by two of us (JW and BP). The macula was divided in a central area within 1600 μm of the foveola and a peripheral area between 1600 and 2800 μm of the foveola, and the drusen were analysed according to number, size, and density of drusen for each region, using a previously reported grading system which has been shown to be reproducible. They were categorised according to whether there were or were not drusen. If present the number was classified as 10 or 20 drusen, or >20 drusen in the field, the size as <50 μm 50–500 μm, and >500 μm, and the density as scattered if drusen were distinct one from another, subconfuent if their borders were just touching and confluent if the borders overlapped. In the majority of the cases the drusen were uniform in size, and density. When this was not the case, the classification was determined according to the characteristics of the majority of the drusen. In case of disagreement between the two readers, the results were assigned only after a third observer was asked to arbitrate. The characteristic was designated as ‘0’ if there were no drusen, and ‘1’, ‘2’, or ‘3’ as the drusen became more numerous, more densely packed, and larger.

The results of the drusen analysis were recorded and subjected to statistical analysis. Weighted × statistic was used to assess the concordance between the pairs of spouses or siblings in which different weights are given to the measure of concordance on a relative scale. These statistics evaluate the observed concordance beyond chance, related to the maximum possible agreement in excess of chance alone. In most situations, a × value of 0·75 or more indicates an excellent concordance, a value of 0·4 to 0·75 a good concordance, between 0·4 to 0 a low level of concordance but that which is greater than would be expected by chance alone, and 0 absence of concordance. It is recognised that asymmetry is introduced by selecting the proband for the presence of drusen such that some cells contain zero counts.

In addition the numerical difference in classification between each sibling and spouse pair was recorded, and the two groups compared using χ² and χ² for trend.

Results

The two groups were similar in terms of age and sex, although there was a slight preponderance of female probands and male spouses in the spouse group (Table 1). The average age was 68 years (range 57 to 84 years) for the patients and 65 years (range 59 to 82 years) for the siblings. Of the 106 in the spouse group, the average age was 65 years (range 59–84) for the patients and 62 years (range 60–83) for the spouse.

Only one sibling was free of drusen in the central macula and nine had no drusen in the peripheral macula; the figures for the spouses were 26 and 35. This difference between the

### Table 1 Sex and age in sibling and spouse pairs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sibling pairs</th>
<th>Spouse pairs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Probands</td>
<td>Siblings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (years)</td>
<td>75-85</td>
<td>65-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (%)</td>
<td>28 (56)</td>
<td>25 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men (%)</td>
<td>22 (44)</td>
<td>21 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>68-75</td>
<td>65-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range in age (years)</td>
<td>57-84</td>
<td>59-82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 Weighted × values in siblings and spouse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sibling pairs</th>
<th>Spouse pairs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Peripheral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>0-03</td>
<td>0-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>0-29</td>
<td>0-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>0-06</td>
<td>0-00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SE = standard error. The weighted × is calculated using the formula

\[ W_x = 1 - \left( \frac{\bar{d} - \bar{j}}{k} \right)^2 \]

where \( i \) and \( j \) are the different values for each cell and \( k \) is the number of categories.
sibling and spouse groups was highly significant (p value <0.001) for the central and the peripheral macula (Fisher’s exact test).

Despite the presence of clustering, there was concordance which was greater than would have been expected by chance alone between siblings regarding the size, density, and number of drusen (Table 2, Fig 1). Such degree of concordance was not found between spouses (Table 2, Fig 1).

Using 95% confidence limits for each x estimate, the concordance was statistically significantly higher between siblings than between spouses for the number and density of drusen in the central macula only, and the standard errors were consistently higher in sibling pairs (Table 2, Fig 1). This level of statistical difference was not reached between the two groups regarding the size of drusen in the central macula or the size, number, and density of drusen in the peripheral macula, despite a higher weighted x value in the sibling groups for all the drusen characteristics.

x Values for siblings of the same sex (20 female and 10 male pairs) were not different from those in the sibling group as a whole, except for drusen characteristics in the peripheral macula of male sibling pairs (weighted x values) (Table 3). For each attribute the difference was significant when analysed by \( \chi^2 \) (Table 4).

Discussion
Our findings, based on an objective analysis of the fundus photographs, show a consistently higher correlation of drusen characteristics between siblings than between spouses, which supports the concept that there are genetic influences in the pathogenesis of age-related changes at the level of Bruch’s membrane. These results are consistent with conclusions drawn by previous authors.25-27

It could have been that the higher concordance between siblings than between spouses results from the presence of pairs of the same sex among siblings but not spouses if there were a difference in age-related changes between men and women. However, the fact that the concordance of sibling pairs of the same sex did not differ from the group as a whole denies this possibility. In addition, the difference between women and men in the prevalence of age-related maculopathy implied by several studies28-30 is now in doubt. In a recent analysis of the Framingham eye study, Sperduto and Siegel31 redefined ARM by ophthalmoscopic appearance and removed visual acuity as a criterion of aging macular degeneration, since many of the elderly patients had concomitant cataracts. It was found that ARM was approximately equal in frequency between men and women of comparable age groups. This conclusion was also drawn by others who analysed the data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey.32-33 In a large series of eyes with ARM examined histologically Green found a higher prevalence of drusen in men.14

If genetic influences are important, x values expressing a low level of concordance should not be surprising given that siblings share genetic

![Figure 1](http://bjp.bmj.com/)

**Figure 1** (A) Graphic representation of the concordance between 50 pairs of siblings for the same characteristics. (B) Graphic representation of the concordance (weighted x values and 95% confidence limits) between 53 pairs of spouse, for number and morphology of drusen. The concordance between sibling pairs is greater than spouse pairs and the confidence limits are wider in the former.
characteristics but do not have identical genetic make up as would be the case in identical twins, and it is likely that the predisposition is multifactorial rather being due to a single gene. Various genetically determined ocular characteristics such as hyperopia22 23 or iris colour24 have been considered as being associated with ARM, and it is likely that many other heritable factors may play a role in modulating risk. The degree of concordance between siblings would depend on the number of genetic determinants shared by the subjects. The much larger standard errors seen in the x values for the sibling pairs than for the spouse pairs would also be expected if there were genetic predisposition, since there would be wide variation in the shared genetic factors influencing the disorder.

In addition it is well established that the fundus changes in families with documented autosomal dominant disease associated with drusen may vary widely from small discrete to large confluent drusen in different members, and that the two forms may exist in the same eye.25

The complete absence of concordance between spouses who have shared a common lifestyle for more than two decades suggests that environmental factors alone are unlikely to have a major impact on theogenesis of the disease. However, they cannot be ruled out, particularly if they are important in early life since siblings share a similar early environment. It is also possible that the variation in lifestyles among the patients included in this study was insufficient for its influence to be manifest.

That the development of change in Bruch’s membrane with age is influenced more by genetic than environmental factors in Western society is in accord with the view expressed by others.26 27 29-41 We have not sought to identify which inherited factors may be important in theogenesis of drusen to date but the data suggest that such a study may be fruitful. In addition we have not undertaken any correlation of visual loss and familial predisposition which would reflect the factors important to the reaction of the eye to deposits in Bruch’s membrane in addition to those which determine the quantity and chemical composition of the deposits.
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