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Abstract
The clinical course in 50 eyes was analysed after pars plana vitrectomy for progressive diabetic fibrovascular proliferations. Patients were assigned to pars plana vitrectomy if progression of proliferations occurred despite a photocoagulation treatment with a mean number of 3500 burns and additional peripheral cryoablation. All cases had visual impairment because of fibrovascular tissue covering the macula without detachment of the macula. Flat proliferations were present in all eyes without retinal elevation, vitreous detachment, or vitreous haemorrhage. The follow up intervals ranged from 13 months to 39 months (mean interval 24 months). Twelve months postoperatively, 36 eyes (72%) showed improved visual acuity, five eyes (10%) were worse, and nine eyes (18%) were unchanged. Thirty two eyes (64%) achieved a final visual acuity of 0·2 or better, and 45 eyes (90%) gained 0·05 or better. In only two eyes could reproliferation be observed. The postoperative course indicates that pars plana vitrectomy for diabetic fibrovascular proliferations covering the macula can preserve socially useful visual acuity of at least 0·05 in most cases.
(Br J Ophthalmol 1994; 78: 433–436)

Panretinal photocoagulation (PRP) is an effective treatment for advanced stages of diabetic retinopathy. However, the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) showed that even after panretinal photocoagulation almost 20% of eyes developed a high risk proliferative retinopathy.1

If no regression of fibrovascular proliferations occurs, it is widespread clinical practice to apply further photocoagulation and/or cryoablation. Despite an additional laser photocoagulation of up to 7500 burns 11% to 22% of these eyes deteriorated in visual acuity to counting fingers or less.23 Vine concluded that eyes without a satisfactory response after PRP of 3000 burns are presumably not going to respond to treatment.

Consequently we started to vitrectomise such eyes with proliferative diabetic retinopathy if progression of fibrovascular tissue could be observed after a PRP of a mean number of 3500 burns and additional peripheral cryotherapy. We did not wait for macular detachment or vitreous haemorrhage to occur.

Materials and methods
Patients with proliferative diabetic retinopathy were entered into this study if the fibrovascular proliferations progressed despite PRP with an average spot number of 3500 (2800–4100) and peripheral cryoablation. The indication for PRP was severe non-proliferative or proliferative diabetic retinopathy according to the definitions of the ETDRS.1 In fluorescein angiography before PRP, five eyes (10%) showed non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy, but non-perfusion areas were pronounced in the mid-periphery. According to the treatment protocol of the ETDRS full scatter photocoagulation with a total of 1200 to 1600 argon laser burns was applied.4 Despite PRP neovascular proliferations developed in the five eyes which had shown only severe non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy before PRP. In the other cases progression of the neovascular networks occurred. Therefore, additional photocoagulation, with a minimum of 2800 burns of spot size 0·5 mm and exposure time 0·1 second as well as peripheral cryocoagulation, was performed. The laser power was adjusted to obtain moderately intense white burns that do not spread to become appreciably larger than 500 μm.

In all cases included into this study progression of new vessels occurred. Consequently these eyes were assigned to pars plana vitrectomy.

Apart from progression of neovascularisations and despite extensive laser photocoagulation treatment and peripheral cryotherapy, further entry criteria had to be fulfilled: eyes were eligible for entry if flat fibrovascular tissue covering the macula had led to visual impairment without significant detachment of the macula. Also absence of posterior vitreous detachment, traction retinal detachment, and vitreous haemorrhage were mandatory. Decrease in visual acuity was not due to tractional detachment of the macula but was caused by the fibrovascular tissue. This may be explained by the opacity of the proliferative membranes and/or impairment of the blood-retina barrier in the macular area. All removed proliferations consisted of active new vessels and fibrous tissue. Progression of fibrovascular tissue was given if two observers (RG and UM) congruently observed an enlargement of the fibrovascular tissue, documented at two consecutive visits. Photodocumentation was not performed routinely.

The technique for surgical management consisted of en bloc resection of the posterior hyaloid and vasoproliferative tissue. Scissors were used as a pick to elevate the posterior hyaloid. In all cases the posterior hyaloidal membrane was still adherent to the retina and could be removed together with the neovascular network. This surgical technique accomplishes complete removal of the posterior hyaloid because no small circumscribed remnant islands remain.
Figure 1 Scattergram showing visual acuities before and 12 months after pars plana vitrectomy for progressive diabetic proliferations.

Results

The study involved 50 consecutive eyes that underwent pars plana vitrectomy for progressive fibrovascular proliferations between 3/90 and 1/92. The overall rate of pars plana vitrectomies for complications of diabetic retinopathy performed during this period was 412.

Fifty four per cent of the patients were men. The mean age was 49 years (25–71 years). The duration of diabetes was between 2 and 35 years (mean 20 years); 26% of the patients were classified according to the Diabetic Retinopathy Vitrectomy Study as having type I diabetes, 46% type II diabetes, and 28% the intermediate type. Twenty four per cent showed demonstrable renal deterioration; 4% were dependent on dialysis; 20% had renal insufficiency in a compensated state. The creatinine varied between 62 and 221 µmol/l (mean 103).

Ten per cent of the eyes had mild neovascularisation of the iris preoperatively. Another 10% demonstrated wide angle glaucoma before vitrectomy.

Three eyes (6%) were pseudophakic. In 6% of the cases the lens was clear, 8% showed mild and 80% moderate lens opacities. Results are evaluated for an average follow up of 24 months (range 13–39 months). Visual acuities are given for a specific postoperative follow up of 12 months.

During pars plana vitrectomy (three port system) endodiathermy was used in 26% to stop bleeding from the neovascularisations. An intravenous retinal break occurred in one eye (2%) and was successfully managed by sulphur hexafluoride gas tamponade and additional laser coagulation, applied with the indirect ophthalmoscope. In only two eyes (4%) were mild new retinal vessels at the disc present at the final examination. No non-vascularised reproliferation could be observed during follow up. Temporary bleeding into the vitreous cavity after pars plana vitrectomy occurred in 15 eyes. A lavage procedure was performed in five eyes. The interval between vitrectomy and lavage was 3 months in two cases and 5 months in the other three cases. One patient with non-resolving haemorrhage deferred the necessary lavage. A relavage procedure had to be performed in one eye, combined with intraocular silicone oil tamponade to prevent rebleeding. In 36 eyes (72%) final visual acuity was, at 12 months postoperatively, better than preoperatively. The visual acuity worsened in five eyes (10%) and was unchanged in nine eyes (18%). Thirty two eyes (64%) achieved a final visual acuity of 0·2 or better, and 45 eyes (90%) achieved a socially useful visual acuity of 0·05 or better. Detailed information of pre- and postoperative visual acuities 12 months after pars plana vitrectomy is given in Figure 1. Reasons for deterioration of visual acuity in the five eyes were atrophy of the optic nerve (one eye), acceleration of exudative maculopathy (two eyes), and macular ischaemia (one eye); one patient with persistent rebleeding into the vitreous cavity refused a lavage procedure.

Cataract formation progressed during follow up in five eyes (10%). In one case (1/5) phacoemulsification with posterior lens implantation in the capsular bag became necessary 8 months after pars plana vitrectomy. One eye showed a mild ruberosis at the final examination. No new glaucoma developed during follow up.

Discussion

All patients in our group showed progression of new vessels despite PRP preoperatively. Even additional photocoagulation up to 3500 burns and peripheral cryocoagulation did not stop the growth of fibrovascular proliferations. In these cases it is widespread clinical practice to apply further photocoagulation.37 Aylward et al.3 reported a final visual acuity of 6/18 or better in 89% of eyes treated with a mean number of 7225 burns. In contrast to these results 11 of 23 eyes showed no satisfactory response after an average of 7550 Goldmann burns applied by Vine:45% of these eyes had a severe decrease in visual acuity to counting fingers or less. Vine concluded that eyes that had not shown a satisfactory response after initial PRP of approximately 3000 burns were presumably not going to respond. Further inevitable side effects of confluent laser photocoagulation are the loss of visual field and the loss of colour discrimination.38

We got the impression that eyes resistant to laser coagulation of about 3500 burns and additional peripheral cryoablation may undergo visual deterioration secondary to persistent vitreous haemorrhage, traction retinal detachment, or combined tractional/rhegmatogenous detachment as the vitreous cortex contracts. Consequently we started to vitrectomise eyes with proliferative diabetic retinopathy if the growth of fibrovascular tissue could not be stopped after a PRP of a mean number of 3500 burns and peripheral cryoablation.

As early as 1980 the Diabetic Retinopathy Vitrectomy Study (DRVS) included patients with very severe proliferative diabetic retinopathy who still retained useful vision in a randomised trial.39 The advantage of vitrectomy tended to increase with increasing severity of new vessels. Two thirds of the eyes in the DRVS showed retinal elevation or preretinal haemorrhage. Other data concerning early vitrectomy in diabetic eyes have been published by Blankenship and Macher,11 de Bustros et al.,12 and Shea.4 In contrast with these studies preoperative visual acuity was not an eligibility criterion.
in our patient group. Moreover, no signs of partial retinal or vitreous detachment were present. Because of these special entry criteria our results are only partially comparable with previous studies.

Intraoperative bleeding occurred in some of our cases, especially when the posterior hyaloid together with the vasoproliferative tissue were removed from the optic disc. In contrast with our experience with the membrane dissection technique, which we have used in former times, the en bloc resection technique reduces the incidence of intraoperative bleeding. Thus, endoablation had to be used in 26% of the operations only. This may be due to the fact that en bloc resection amputates the new vessels at the site of their origin where the new vessels have muscle cells comparable with the retinal vasculature. This may also explain the observation that bleeding from larger trunks often stops sooner than hemorrhages from smaller extensions of the neovascular network.15

Despite the fact that in our study only two eyes redeveloped new vitreal vessels on the retinal bleeding during follow up, in 15 eyes temporary bleeding in the vitreous cavity occurred. Postoperative haemorrhages occurred predominantly in the first 6 months after vitrectomy and resolved spontaneously in most cases. A lavage procedure had to be performed in only five eyes. One of these cases needed a relavage procedure 8 months later. Focal endolaser photoocoagulation became avoidable after switching from the membrane dissection technique to the en bloc resection technique. Therefore, no focal endolaser photoocoagulation of severed epipodes was necessary.

Rebleeding into the vitreous cavity occurred in 15 eyes; in one case a relavage became necessary. Haemorrhages are a frequent complication after vitrectomy in diabetics.14-15 Any bleeding during the early postoperative course tends to clear rapidly, although the rate of clearing depends on the amount of haemorrhage. A major therapeutic problem is the recurrence of vitreous haemorrhage which is a clinical feature of anterior hyaloidal fibrovascular proliferations (AHFP).14 Lewis et al used panretinal argon laser endophotocoagulation in all patients with recurrent haemorrhages due to AHFP except those who already had extensive panretinal photoocoagulation before surgery.16,17 Because all eyes included in our study had been treated with extensive panretinal photoocoagulation and peripheral cryotherapy preoperatively no further intraoperative photoocoagulation was performed.

Four of five eyes with preoperative rubecosis iridis showed regression after pars plana vitrectomy. Other investigations revealed an increase of iris neovascularisations after vitrectomy, especially in cases with combined lensectomy.18-20

Interestingly, postoperative rubecosis iridis developed in only 2% of eyes with an uncomplicated postoperative course.20 Eyes with mild or moderate rubecosis iridis were also eligible for entry in the prospective diabetic retinopathy vitrectomy study.21 But neither any correlation with the visual outcome nor progression of rubecosis iridis postoperatively are reported. The regression of mild rubecosis iridis in four of five eyes could be independent of the vitrectomy but could also related to the extensive panretinal laser photoocoagulation applied preoperatively. In a prospective study by Doft and Blankenship 36% of eyes that failed to show an initial favourable response by 3 weeks, did show a delayed improvement in low risk characteristics at 6 months.22

The anatomical alteration at the vitreoretinal interface performed by pars plana vitrectomy is comparable with a complete posterior vitreous detachment. Akiba et al reported an incidence of neovascularisations in diabetic retinopathy of only 3% in eyes with complete posterior detachment.23 This low incidence of retinal neovascularisation is comparable with the rate of proliferations after diabetic vitrectomy. Rice and Michels also report a reproliferation rate of 3% after vitrectomy for diabetic retinopathy.24 Fibrovascular proliferation seems to be reduced when the scaffold of the adjacent posterior vitreous surface is absent.25 Only two of our patients (4%) showed neovascularisations at the posterior pole during follow up. The reason for reproliferations in these two cases is speculative because the en bloc resection during vitrectomy has been extended to the extreme periphery using scleral indentation. This operative technique leaves no vascular epicentre islands.

In this study visual acuity improved in 72% of the eyes, worsened in 10%, and was unchanged in 18%. Reasons for deterioration of visual acuity were atrophy of the optic nerve (one), acceleration of exudate (two), macular oedema (one), and rebleeding (one). All these findings can not only be attributed to the surgical procedure but could also occur without vitrectomy.

Our results suggest that pars plana vitrectomy can preserve an economically or at least socially useful visual acuity in 90% of the eyes which fulfill the above mentioned eligibility criteria. We do not believe that these favourable results could be achieved by an extensive confluent laser photoocoagulation. But this could only be proved in a carefully monitored trial.
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