Aqueous contamination during small incision cataract surgery: a lesson in study design

Endophthalmitis remains a small but definite risk during all forms of intraocular surgery and considerable effort has been and still is being expended by ophthalmologists throughout the world to minimise this risk because of the serious visual morbidity associated with such infection. The origin of the infecting organisms is predominantly from commensals on the ocular surface and, to a lesser extent, from airborne micro-organisms or other endogenous sources such as the genitourinary tract. It has been clearly demonstrated that viable organisms are introduced into the eye during cataract surgery, and bacteria may be isolated from aqueous in a quarter or more eyes undergoing extracapsular procedures, even when there has been surface disinfecation with povidone iodine or preoperative topical antibiotics have been administered. The reasons for the high rate of anterior chamber contamination during extracapsular surgery include the relatively large size of the wound, which is not self-sealing, and generation of negative intraocular pressure, which lead to an influx of the surrounding, potentially contaminated irrigating fluids. The introduction of small incision cataract surgery should theoretically reduce these opportunities for intraocular contamination, but is this really the case in practice?

In this issue of the *BJO*, Manners et al (p 878) report a 20% incidence of aqueous contamination in small incision cataract surgery, which is similar to their previous study of extracapsular surgery. The authors have, therefore, concluded that small incision surgery confers 'no proved advantage' over extracapsular surgery in reducing bacterial contamination of the aqueous. However, in two larger studies of 200 and 230 patients respectively, Egger et al found that aqueous contamination was significantly less with phacoemulsification than with conventional extracapsular surgery. It is very likely, therefore, that the study described here did not have the power to detect a small but real difference in contamination rates with the different surgical techniques. A 60% reduction in contamination – that is, only five positive cultures, would have been required in this study to achieve statistical significance at the 5% level.

How important are these levels of aqueous contamination and are they clinically relevant? In the series described by Egger et al and Manners et al, the numbers of bacteria detected were very small. In only one of the 10 positive cases in the current series reported by Manners et al was more than a single colony of bacteria grown on a culture plate, and in their previous study, only two of the nine positive culture plates had two or more bacterial colonies. The clinical significance of these low levels of contamination with regard to the risk of subsequent endophthalmitis is very difficult to determine, but it is evident that the majority of eyes successfully eradicate the low levels of intraoperative contamination through the bactericidal properties of aqueous humour which we do not yet fully understand.

Prophylactic antibiotics in irrigating fluids have been shown to reduce the incidence of positive aqueous cultures during phacoemulsification surgery. Although the contamination rate of 5% in the control groups of this latter study is much lower than other reports of extracapsular surgery, this finding does lend further indirect support for small incision surgery.

How do these observations translate into better clinical practice? There are now several very large but poorly controlled series describing the use of antibiotics – for example, gentamicin or vancomycin either alone or in combination, in irrigating solutions in cataract surgery where endophthalmitis rates of 1 in 10 000 or lower have been reported. The incorporation of antibiotics into irrigating solutions for all patients undergoing intraocular surgery inevitably results in many patients receiving apparently unnecessary treatment, with obvious financial implications, but what price are we prepared to pay to reduce the risk of endophthalmitis to the absolute minimum?
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