The success rate of those cases with less than three lashes per lid was 75-6% while the overall success rate was 62.6%. This is in accord with other authors' findings. Sharif explained this by the number of laser burns per lash. Our finding shows the association between lower recurrence rate and higher number of burns per lash: with no recurrence there were 16-7 burns per lash and with recurrence there were 14-7 burns per lash. However, this association cannot be proved statistically. Another possible explanation is that in those lids with high number of aberrant hairs, the disease leading to trichiasis is still active and the hairs regrow after treatment.

YAT-MING YEUNG
Tuen Mun Hospital,
Hong Kong


Electroretinogram as indicator of prognosis of central retinal vein occlusion

EDITOR,—Matsui and colleagues report on ERG b/a wave ratio changes in central vein obstruction and conclude that retinal ischaemia in ischaemic central retinal vein occlusion (CRVO) can be reversible. This result is surprising, as the natural history of ischaemic CRVO has been clearly documented correlating the degree of retinal ischaemia with the development of neovascularisation — a process that may only be reversed by panretinal photocoagulation (PRP). Their findings are based on investigations including fluorescein angiography (FA) and electroretinogram (ERG), both of which assess retinal function.

Firstly, with respect to the FAs in the good prognostic groups which supposedly illustrate resolution of retinal ischaemia. In case 3, the initial FA at 1 month was masked by retinal haemorrhages and the degree of ischaemia cannot be determined owing to the absence of peripheral photographs. Therefore, the FA at 5–5 months, said to show significant improvement, merely shows the predictable changes expected in a well-perfused CRVO. Despite inaccuracies in statements regarding the timing of treatment and photography in case 1 (Fig 4), we feel there is no evidence of improvement of ischaemia (in accordance with the caption) the recovery FA at 5–5 months; this clearly demonstrates marked capillary dropout and macular ischaemia. It also demonstrates PRP which was supposedly not performed until 11 months.

Secondly, different ERG techniques were used with only one set of normal values and no affirmation that each individual participant was always examined using identical techniques.

Thirdly, the ERGs in Figure 2 show a speculative b-wave identification on an ERG with no replication, and result in a markedly lower amplitude of the b-wave than when initially the b-wave were taken at the first visible peak, a very different amplitude value would be obtained. What were the criteria for b-wave identification?

Finally, following PRP, if case 1 stabilised, how do the authors explain such marked trial to trial variations in a- and b-wave amplitude (Table 1)? What is the expected interobserver variability for their laboratory (normally about 10%)?

We therefore suggest that Matsui et al reconsider their results, or repeat the study with greater scientific stringency and reassess the validity of their original conclusion.

M F CORDEIRO
J STANFORD
J SHILLING
Greenwich District Hospital,
London SE10
G E HOLDER
The Brook Hospital,
London SE18


Reply

EDITOR,—We appreciate the comments of Cordeiro and others.

Firstly, they questioned our interpretation of the ischaemic CRVO in case 3, whose FA could not be interpreted because of blood covering the retina. Our interpretation of the ischaemic retina came mainly from the ERG findings of low b/a amplitude ratio with normal a-wave. The blood in front of the retina is expected to lower the b/a ratio. It will not necessarily increase the ratio instead. Non-ischaemic CRVO would not show decreased ERG b/a ratio. However, the level of retinal ischaemia in case 3 would be moderate because the decreased of the b/a ratio is not as marked as that usually seen in complete occlusion of the central retinal artery.

The fundus photograph in case 3 shows extremely dilated retinal veins, extensive retinal haemorrhages, and some capillary dropout in the area not covered by the blood in the FA. Cordeiro et al mentioned that the clearing of the haemorrhage and normalisation of the veins, as documented in case 3, was a predictable outcome to disagree. Predicting the final outcome of CRVO from the initial fundus appearance alone is difficult because one cannot judge the degree of retinal ischaemia if the retina is covered by blood. The ERG plays an important role in such cases.

There was an error in the caption for Figure 4. The FA in the middle of Figure 4 was taken after 11 months just before the PRP instead of 1 month. And the FA in the bottom was taken at 62 months instead of 5·5 months. The captions of Figures 4 and 2 were mixed for which I apologise. Cordeiro et al feel that there is no evidence of improvement of the ischaemic retina after PRP (bottom Fig 4). The FA taken sometime after PRP was magnified in the posterior pole and shown in the bottom of Figure 4. It is clear that the central non-perfused area is decreased after PRP compared with that before PRP. The spot in the fovea in the bottom of Figure 4 is a pigmented scar spontaneously developed after the macular oedema subsided.

Secondly, we would like to clarify why two different ERG techniques were used with only one set of normal values. This report is a retrospective study from data collected over many years. At one point we had to change the ERG system in our laboratory because of new recommended standardisation, and for other reasons. However, the majority (6/8 cases) had ERG recorded with the old system with description of the obtained values. Only two cases were recorded with the new system. ERG data used in those two cases were those recorded with bright single flash ERG long enough to record oscillatory potential. The intensity was comparable with that of the old system. Only ERG b/a ratio was used in those two cases.

Thirdly, Cordeiro et al mentioned that the b-wave identification in Figure 2 was speculative. This criticism would not have been taken if we had to measure the b-wave from this recording only. We routinely record ERG on EEG recording paper simultaneously with the cathode-oscilloscope. In the reading on the EEG paper, whose paper speed is much slower, identification of the b-wave peak is easy. We recorded the amplitude from both these findings.

Finally, Cordeiro et al questioned if case 1 was stabilised, why was there a marked a- and b-wave amplitude variation after PRP. It would be wishful thinking that the retinal function would be stabilised after PRP. Obviously, it was not. The improvement of the fundus appearance after PRP besides the scar formation on the laser spots, such as haemorrhage or vascular anastomosis during a long observation period after PRP, as described in the text. Vision fluctuated too. Therefore, it is not surprising to see some fluctuation of the ERG findings. In spite of this fluctuation, there was a trend in which the a-wave declined and the b-wave remained. As a result, the b/a amplitude was improved. The suggestion was made to repeat the study with greater scientific stringency. Such a study requires a long time if done by one institute. A nationwide study in CRVO has been recently completed in the United States in which multiple medical centres participated. This study included ERG and FA. The results of the study may disclose a similar case. Namely, ischaemia in CRVO may not be permanent and may be reversible in some.

T HIROSE
KATSUMI
Shapens Eye Research Institute,
100 Charles River Plaza,
Boston, MA 02114, USA

Effect of trabeculectomy on pulsatile ocular blood flow

EDITOR,—We read with interest the paper by James.

An increase of pulsatile ocular blood flow (POBF) was found in the standing position following trabeculectomy. This was attributed to an increase in perfusion pressure which is expected with reduction of intraocular pressure (IOP) assuming autoregulation was absent.

In the lying position, however, POBF was unchanged following trabeculectomy despite similar magnitudes of IOP lowering. It was suggested that in this group, because of the extremely high IOP in the lying position preoperatively, the POBF was somehow maintained at an elevated level by autoregulatory mechanisms which masked any improvements due to POBF after surgery. This was also felt to be responsible for the regaining of the usual postural changes following trabeculectomy.

TIHROSE
KATSUMI
Shapens Eye Research Institute,
100 Charles River Plaza,
Boston, MA 02114, USA
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The suggestion of an autoregulatory mechanism in which a postural change in POBF occurs was that the IOP is very high seems plausible but it is curious that this effect was not seen in the standing position preoperatively when IOP was also high. We agree with the author that changes in ocular rigidity happen the ocular pulse but in this study ocular rigidity was found to be unaltered as the usual postural changes in IOP were observed following surgery. We feel that the reduced pulse amplitudes found in both positions following trabeculectomy when systemic pressure was unaltered are worthy of comment. As the ocular pulse is a pressure pulse due to an afferent bulus of blood to the eye, the pulse amplitude is higher when the globe is less distensible.2 Ocular rigidity is reduced and the globe is more distensible, the pulse amplitude is reduced as the pressure pulse is converted to a volume pulse. This is seen in high myopia, nearly perforated corneal ulcer, and staphyloma.3 This fall in pulse amplitude is likely to be due to a reduction in ocular rigidity following trabeculectomy rather than as a consequence of a lowered IOP as pulse amplitude has been found to be unaffected when IOP is lowered in medically treated glaucomatous eyes.4 Furthermore, the smaller IOP rise on supine posture following surgery also suggests a reduced ocular rigidity. In cataract patients, following surgery the magnitudes of the IOP changes on supine posture are reduced and the pulse amplitude changes are much less pronounced. This implies that the change in ocular rigidity is likely to be related to the drainage bleb.

It is important to note Krakau's observation that a reduced pulse amplitude does not imply a reduced blood flow; POBF does not depend on the amplitude of the pulse wave but the slope of the steepest part of the pulse volume wave. The pulse volume wave is intrinsic to the POBF calculation and is derived from the pneumotonometrically measured continuous IOP (pressure) wave and predetermined standard data on the pressure/volume relations of intact human or veterinary glaucomatous eyes. The wave following trabeculectomy must therefore be interpreted with care.

This study shows that trabeculectomy reduces pulse amplitude and IOP without the change in ocular blood pressure (which can accompany loss blockade). Any consequent ocular blood flow changes, however, must be evaluated by a method which does not involve the ocular rigidity factor.

Y C YANG
M F HULBERT
St Paul's Eye Unit,
Royal Liverpool University Hospital,
Preston Street,
Liverpool L7 8XP


Reply

EDITOR,—I thank Yang and Hubert for the interest that they have shown in this paper and reply to the points they have raised. It was hypothesised that the absence of the postural changes in pulsatile flow before surgery, previously reported in glaucoma and other conditions,1 may at these high pressures be due to autoregulation. The study does not enable me to comment on whether or not autoregulation was present in the standing position before surgery.

The ocular pulse amplitude will be influenced in an individual by, among other factors, changes in scleral rigidity and ocular volume.2 Changes in scleral rigidity following surgery were of obvious concern in this study. Surgery itself did not appear to increase or decrease rigidity in the cataract group in terms of the calculation of the pulsatile ocular blood flow. A reduction in scleral rigidity following trabeculectomy may, however, occur as the authors suggest. Such a reduction would reduce the amplitude of the ocular pressure pulse at a given pressure thereby underestimating the pulsatile ocular blood flow derived from it. Nonetheless, in the standing posture following trabeculectomy pulsatile ocular blood flow was found to increase.

The authors comment that the postural change in intraocular pressure was maintained in cataract patients following surgery whereas it was reduced in the patients undergoing trabeculectomy. This difference may be explained by the large reduction in intraocular pressure following trabeculectomy and not necessarily an independent change in scleral rigidity.

In the paper by Bosern et al3 there was no change in the ocular pulse volume (not amplitude) despite a reduction in intraocular pressure. Pulsatile ocular blood flow did increase. The results on pulse amplitude are not given.

I agree with the authors that even in a longitudinal study an alteration in the ocular pressure pulse amplitude does not imply a change in blood flow. This may also be affected by, for example, alterations in heart rate and intraocular pressure.4 It is for this reason that the pressure pulse must be converted into an ocular pulse with the inevitable interpretation. It would indeed be extremely useful to have a technique of clinical ocular blood flow assessment that was free of these and other problems. In the context of glaucoma, one that enabled the anterior optic nerve–head blood flow to be measured. As yet such a technique awaits development.

The ocular pulse pressure undoubtedly results from the flow of blood into the eye during cardiac systole and its interpretation may thus yield information about ocular blood flow. The results of this and other techniques of determining ocular blood flow must always be interpreted with caution and knowledge of the limitations of the methods employed.

C B JAMES
Oxford Eye Hospital,
Oxford


NOTICES

Wellcome General Overseas Travelling Research Fellowships 1994–95

The purpose of these fellowships is to allow postdoctoral scientists and medical graduates to gain further research experience by working in leading laboratories in the UK or the Republic of Ireland. Applications are invited from such workers who wish to undertake a research project in any branch of the natural or medical sciences, which has a bearing on human or veterinary medicine, with the exception of cancer.

Applicants may be from any country outside Europe, with the exception of New Zealand and the USA for whom special schemes are available. Awards will be made on the basis of the research proposal. The research proposed should be relevant to the research interests of the candidate in his/her own country. Awards are made for one year in the first instance, although requests for an extension may be considered. Fellowships provide a stipend within the range from £13 941 to £27 869 per annum, depending on age and experience. They also include the cost of travel to and return travel.

Candidates must be nominated by a sponsor in the UK or the Republic of Ireland, through whom all initial inquiries should be made. A preliminary proposal should include a one or two page outline of the research proposed, the curriculum vitae of the candidate, and a letter indicating that he/she has a position to return to at the end of the fellowship. There are no special deadlines for this scheme and applications may be submitted at any time during the year.

Requests for application forms should be addressed to: Dr J M Wilkinson, The Wellcome Trust, 183 Euston Road, London NW1 2BE. Tel: 0171–611 8407.

Candidates from New Zealand and the USA should contact the Health Research Council of New Zealand, Auckland, NZ or the Burroughs Wellcome Fund, Montserrat, NC 27500, USA, respectively, for details of appropriate schemes.

Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology

The annual meeting of the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology (ARVO) will be held on 14–19 May 1995 at the Fort Lauderdale Marriott Convention Center, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA. Further details: Anne Metzler, the ARVO Central Office, 9650 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, MD 20814–3998, USA. (Tel: (301) 571–1844; Fax: (301) 571–8311.)
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