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Abstract

Background—The challenge with the surgical repair of upper eyelid retraction is to obtain a more predictable and satisfactory postoperative eyelid contour and height, and to minimise the need for further surgery.

Methods—A retrospective analysis was performed on all patients treated surgically for eyelid retraction at Moorfields Eye Hospital over a 13 year period.

Results—In this study, good postoperative results were obtained in 10 of 13 (77%) eyelids using adjustable sutures, compared with 56 of 148 (38%) eyelids using non-adjustable sutures (p<0.01). With non-adjustable sutures, good results were achieved more often for non-thyroid compared with thyroid related eyelid retraction (26/55 versus 31/93 eyelids respectively, p<0.05). Previous eyelid surgery did not affect outcome.

Conclusion—We recommend the use of adjustable sutures for the repair of upper eyelid retraction, particularly in patients with thyroid disease.

(Br J Ophthamol 1995; 79: 658–660)

Upper eyelid retraction is difficult to treat surgically, as postoperative eyelid position is highly unpredictable, particularly in patients with thyroid disease. Numerous surgical procedures have been devised1–6 in an attempt to improve postoperative results. Although the adjustable suture technique has recently been reported in the repair of ptosis7–9 and upper eyelid retraction,10–12 this technique has not gained widespread use. We report significantly better results using adjustable sutures compared with non-adjustable sutures, and suggest their use particularly for thyroid related upper eyelid retraction.

Patients and methods

The medical records of patients surgically treated for eyelid retraction at Moorfields Eye Hospital between January 1980 and October 1993 were reviewed. Patients were included in the study if there were two or more consistent preoperative measurements of the palpebral aperture in primary position and one of the following: marginal reflex distance (MRD), measurement of the distance from the corneal light reflex to the upper eyelid in primary position), an accurate drawing/photograph or documentation of millimetres of superior cornea covered by the upper eyelid. Values for the same measurements were required at postoperative day 2, week 1, week 6, month 3, between 3 and 6 months, and longest follow up. All patients with thyroid ophthalmopathy had stable eye disease, including exophthalmometer readings, for at least 6 months before their eyelid surgery, and during the follow up period. The outcome of surgery was evaluated when a stable palpebral aperture was achieved, defined as three consecutive readings all within 1–5 mm at three independent follow up visits. Surgical correction of the eyelid retraction was defined as good if (1) documentation existed that the eyelid covered between 1–0 to 3–0 mm of superior cornea, or the MRD was between 2 and 4; (2) the palpebral aperture was within 1 mm of the normal side (unilateral cases) or the second involved eye (bilateral cases); and (3) the eyelid margin contour was smooth. An acceptable result was designated if there was an asymmetry of 1–2 mm between the eyelids, (2) no further surgery was required, and (3) the eyelid margin contour was smooth.

The methods we used for adjustable levator recession using both the anterior and posterior approach have been described in detail in a recent publication.12 The technique used for non-adjustable surgeries was similar with the exception of the lack of an adjustable suture. For adjustable surgery, 5/0 or 6/0 polyglactin sutures (11 eyelids) or 5/0 or 6/0 polyglycolic acid sutures (two eyelids) were used. For non-adjustable surgery, no sutures were used with the posterior approach; where sutures were placed in the anterior approach 6/0 polyglactin or polyglycolic acid sutures were used (six eyelids). All sutures were adjusted the next day using topical anaesthesia. The details of the adjustment technique have been described by Collin and O'Donnell.12 Adjustments were made where necessary to achieve the desired height and/or contour. The MRD was set between 1–5 and 2–5 mm in the presence of severe postoperative swelling, and between 2–5 and 3–5 mm when only mild to moderate swelling existed. Adjustments were also made in the presence of good eyelid height to obtain smooth contour of each eyelid and eliminate lateral flare. Statistical comparisons were carried out using χ² analysis and Fisher's exact tests.

Results

Adjustable sutures were used for the repair of eyelid retraction in 13 eyelids of 10 patients (seven females and three males) ranging in age between 19 and 71 years, with a mean of 48 years. Good results were obtained in 10 of the 13 eyelids (77%). Non-adjustable sutures were used in 148 eyelids of 108 patients (85 females...
and 23 males) ranging in age between 5 and 78 years, with a mean age of 42 years. A good result was obtained in 56 of the 148 eyelids (39%). The mean preoperative palpebral aperture of all eyelids was 12.4 (SD 2.9) mm with a median of 12.0 mm and postoperatively 9.2 (2.5) mm with a median of 9.0 mm. In the patients with unilateral retraction, the mean increased eyelid height compared with the normal side was 2.6 (1.5) mm preoperatively and −0.5 (0.32) mm postoperatively. The mean preoperative eyelid retraction was comparable in both the non-adjustable and adjustable suture groups. The time to eyelid height stabilisation postoperatively was between 7 and 180 days (mean 30 days; median 21 days). Sixty-six per cent of eyelids achieved a stable height within 1 month postoperatively. Significant postoperative swelling did not affect the time to stabilisation. There was no difference in time to stabilisation between adjustable and non-adjustable methods. Fifty one of the 161 eyelids (32%) required further surgery, 38 (74%) to further lower the eyelid and in 13 (26%) to raise the eyelid. The overall mean preoperative levator function was 13.5 (3.4) mm and postoperatively 12.7 (3.4) mm.

Adjustable and non-adjustable suture techniques were further analysed within two groups of patients: those with eyelid retraction from thyroid disease, and those with eyelid retraction from all other causes. Table 1 summarises the achievement of good results using adjustable and non-adjustable sutures.

### Table 1  Surgical treatment of eyelid retraction with good results*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non-thyroid</th>
<th>Thyroid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total no of eyelids</td>
<td>No previous eyelid surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustable sutures</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4/4 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-adjustable sutures</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>16/37 (43%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Good result is defined in the Patients and method section.

and remained high but the patients refused further surgery.

Of the 71 eyelids in 43 patients without previous eyelid surgery (15 unilateral, 28 bilateral; 65 posterior, six anterior), good results were obtained in 24 (34%) and an adequate result in five (7%). Of the remainder, 31 (44%) eyelids required further surgery (three of these more than one surgery), 23 to lower and eight to raise the lid. A good height but poor contour was obtained in a further 10 eyelids (14%), and an inadequate height not requiring surgery in one eyelid (1%).

### NON-THYROID EYELID RETRACTION

#### Adjustable sutures

The aetiology of the eyelid retraction in non-thyroid patients treated with adjustable sutures was: following ptosis surgery (three), trauma (one). Using adjustable sutures, all four patients with non-thyroid eyelid retraction had good results (three anterior approach, one posterior approach). None of the patients had significant swelling or required postoperative eyelid massage.

#### Non-adjustable sutures

The aetiologies of the eyelid retraction in non-thyroid patients treated with non-adjustable sutures were: congenital (seven), following ptosis (27), following other eyelid surgery (eyelid retraction and blepharoplasty) (nine), and trauma (12). Non-adjustable sutures were used in 37 eyelids that had previously undergone eyelid surgery, and 18 eyelids that had not. Of the 37 eyelids of patients who had previously undergone eyelid surgery (35 unilateral, one bilateral; 34 posterior, three anterior), a good result was obtained in 16 (43%), and an adequate result in two (5.5%). Of the remainder, 10 (27%) required further surgery (eight to lower the eyelid and two to raise it), and nine eyelids (24-5%) with good height but poor contour did not undergo further surgery. Of the 18 eyelids in 17 patients without previous eyelid surgery (16 unilateral, one bilateral; 17 posterior, one anterior), good results were obtained in 10 (56%) and an adequate result in two (11%). Of the remainder, six eyelids (33%) required further surgery (four to lower and two to raise the eyelids).

### Discussion

The surgical repair of upper eyelid retraction is significantly complicated by the inability to predict postoperative height and contour. In an attempt to obtain better results, numerous surgical procedures have been created involving surgery to weaken the levator aponeurosis and/or Muellers muscle, and the use of various spacers. A range of postoperative results has been reported in the literature, but it is difficult to make comparisons between studies owing to differences in surgical techniques and variability in the definition of
'success'. Puterman reported good results in 81% of 32 thyroid patients with levator recession and a graded excision of Mueller's muscle, although his defined criteria for a good result were poorly defined. Harvey and Anderson suggested 16/24 (66%) with good results using an anterior approach to recession of the levator aponeurosis combined with cutting of the lateral horn and extirpation of Mueller's muscle. However, the definition of 'desired postoperative height' is not given. Levine and Chu reported 87% success in 15 thyroid patients using a cutaneous approach to levator aponeurotic/Mueller's muscle recession; however, only three of their 15 patients (20%) meet our criteria for a 'good' result. Applying strict criteria comparable with our own, Mourits and Koornneef reported only 50% 'good outcome' in patients with Graves' ophthalmopathy after one procedure using upper eyelid sclera. Hence, our results in patients treated with non-adjustable sutures are comparable with other studies where strict criteria for defining a good result were similarly applied. Our goal in this study was to evaluate our results using non-adjustable versus adjustable suture techniques in a group of patients undergoing uniform surgical approaches to the repair of upper eyelid retraction. The strict criteria we applied in our study were necessary to accurately compare our results using the adjustable and non-adjustable techniques. Although a greater proportion of patients were treated via a posterior approach in the non-adjustable suture category, our results remained unchanged when we analysed surgical outcomes using the anterior approach only: 7/10 (70%) surgeries performed via an anterior approach with an adjustable suture technique had good results compared with 5/12 (42%) using the non-adjustable technique. Although we found that small fluctuations in height can occur over a mean of 30 days, following the guidelines we described for the adjustment within 24 hours of surgery led to the significantly higher final 'good' results in this group compared with the non-adjustable surgical group.

Adjustable sutures are not new to thyroid surgery; however, their use has not become popular for the treatment of eyelid retraction. Even with the small number of eyelids treated with the adjustable technique, good results were obtained significantly more often than for non-adjustable surgery (77% versus 38%, p<0.01). The time required for stabilisation of eyelid height postoperatively is not shortened by the use of adjustable sutures. We suggest the use of adjustable sutures in the repair of upper eyelid retraction especially for patients with thyroid disease, where results using non-adjustable sutures are particularly poor.
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