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Abstract

Aims/Background—This study was performed to ascertain the diagnostic accuracy rate of non-invasive tests in patients with presumed uveal melanomas scheduled to be treated with either irradiation or cyclochoiodectomy.

Methods—One hundred consecutive patients who had non-invasive tests followed by fine needle aspiration biopsy (FNAB) as prior alternative treatment were analysed retrospectively.

Results—In 86 cases the diagnosis of uveal melanoma was confirmed on FNAB. In five cases false negative results were obtained. In nine patients a diagnosis other than a uveal melanoma was made on the basis of cytopathology. No significant morbidity and no evidence of tumour spread occurred.

Conclusion—In presumed uveal melanomas eligible for treatment with alternative therapies, the diagnostic accuracy of non-invasive tests is not as good as with larger tumours that require enucleation. Fine needle aspiration biopsy data resulted in correct management of 96% of cases that were thought to have uveal melanomas on non-invasive tests, but had other lesions on cytopathological evaluation.


The accuracy of diagnostic tests in patients with presumed uveal melanomas is uncertain. In tumours that require enucleation, the diagnostic accuracy reported by most ocular oncology units has been over 98%.1–5 In smaller tumours, including those that are treated with charged particles, brachytherapy, or eye wall resection, the sensitivity of diagnostic tests is less certain.

In choroidal lesions less than 10 mm in diameter and less than 3 mm in thickness it is often impossible to differentiate a uveal melanoma from a stationary, pigmented lesion.4–7 A number of investigators have reported larger benign or metastatic uveal masses that were inadvertently mistaken for melanomas.8–10 In some series as many as 30% of anterior uveal tumours treated with modified cycloectomies, a histological diagnosis other than a uveal melanoma was found.20

The concept of uveal tumour biopsy is not new. Early attempts in the first portion of the 20th century were problematic.21–23 Approxiately 20 years ago ocular oncologists, including our group, began studies with fine needle aspiration biopsy for a variety of intraocular neoplasms.24 More recently many groups have reported on diagnosis of choroidal melanomas with these techniques as well as ancillary data such as cell type, cell cycling, tissue culture propagation, ultrastructure, and measurement of nucleolar variation.25–32 In masked histology cytopathology studies we have been able to differentiate those melanomas that have or have not epithelioid tumour cells in over 95% of cases.30,32 No reports of severe ocular morbidity or tumour spread have been reported, although theoretical concerns have been raised.33,34

We have managed several ciliochoroidal neoplasms that had characteristics of a uveal melanoma, on the basis of non-invasive tests, but were shown to be non-melanoma simulating lesions on cytopathological examination. Histopathological evaluation after ciliochoroidectomy confirmed these diagnoses.18 In this study we report a consecutive series of 100 patients with a presumed diagnosis of a uveal melanoma (on the basis of clinical, ultrasonographic, and fluorescein angiographic studies); a minority of these patients would have been incorrectly treated if only non-invasive diagnostic tests had been used to establish a presumptive diagnosis.

Materials and methods

We retrospectively reviewed the data from 100 consecutive patients, who had a presumptive diagnosis of uveal melanoma on the basis of non-invasive tests, and then had fine needle aspiration biopsies (FNABs) before planned insertion of an 125I brachytherapy source, tantalum marker rings for charged particle treatment, or a cyclochoroidectomy. All patients were managed before July 1992 and the last follow up was obtained in September 1994.

Patients were managed in the ocular oncology unit and had given written and oral consent before all studies. All patients were initially examined with multiple observer clinical, fluorescein angiographic, and ultrasonographic techniques. On the basis of those studies a presumptive diagnosis of a uveal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Cytopathological diagnoses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False negative</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-melanoma</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanocytoma</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic tumour</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolated carcinoid</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPE proliferation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1  Equator plus (A) and conventional fundus photographs (B) of a large pigmented, peripapillary tumour with apparent retinal invasion. This was demonstrated to be a melanocytoma on cytopathological examination and on follow up the tumour has not been shown to grow.

Figure 2  Ultrasound data on case presented in Figure 1. On B-scan (A) there is an internal quiet zone. On A-scan (B) there is medium to low reflectivity with a sharp posterior spike.

melanoma was made and patients were scheduled for non-enucleation treatment.1

All patients had intraoperative tumour localisation before both fine needle aspiration biopsy and treatment. A limbal peritomy was fashioned, the four recti muscles were isolated and controlled with 2-0 sutures. Tumours were localised with both indirect corneal transillumination as well as by direct localisation with a point light source and indirect ophthalmoscopy. All fine needle aspiration biopsies were performed with a 25 gauge needle; most were done through a transscleral route, and some through a transvitreal approach. In all cases the site of needle entry was isolated and, as the needle was withdrawn, the site was sealed with glue.

As previously described, the specimens were all analysed initially in the operating room by a cytopathologist unaware of the clinical diagnosis.30 Material from the needle was expelled with air onto a microscope slide and a smear made in a standard manner. A quick stain was used to establish the diagnosis, and in the case of melanomas, a presumptive cell type. Treatment was then initiated (brachytherapy carrier placement, external beam radiation, surgical resection, or serial observation if a simulating lesion was diagnosed) as appropriate. Final stains, usually with Papanicolaou, were obtained. In four cases when cytological diagnosis was not certain specimens were also analysed with stains for HMB 45, S-100, oestrogen receptors, or lymphocyte markers to confirm the diagnosis.

Results
One hundred consecutive patients were brought to the operating room for treatment of a presumed uveal melanoma and had fine needle aspiration biopsies before planned therapy. These tumours all involved the choroid; 42 were posterior to the equator, 35 bridged the equator, 23 also involved a portion of the ciliary body. The mean maximum tumour diameters (based on indirect ophthalmoscopic measurements) were 12.2×
granular stain; Papanicolaou Cytopathology (original magnification X200) was negative and the patient responded well to 40 Gy of radiation. The mean tumour thickness (based on qualitative echography) was 5.8 mm.

No significant morbidity was observed as a result of fine needle biopsy. A few patients had transient retinal or vitreal haemorrhage. No patient developed either rheumatogenous detachment or evidence of localised tumour spread along the track of the needle. Retrospective review of our entire treated uveal melanoma patient population (approximately 1000 patients) who either did or did not have fine needle aspiration biopsies since the early 1980s has not demonstrated any effect of needle biopsy on tumour related mortality (unpublished data).

Table 1 lists cytological diagnoses; in 86% of the cases the diagnosis of a uveal melanoma, made on the basis of non-invasive tests, was confirmed cytologically. In five cases the cytological specimen was not adequate for diagnosis. Two tumours in this later group were small growing pigmented lesions less than 3.5 mm thick, and the risk of more than one aspirate was felt not to be justified. In the other three cases, inadequate biopsies were obtained even though the lesions were over 4.5 mm in thickness. All cytological diagnoses were concordant with histology in the seven tumours managed with cyclochoroidectomy; three of these were benign tumours with a history of documented growth and four were melanomas.

Two patients with a known history of a systemic malignancy were biopsied. Non-invasive diagnostic test results were inconclusive; both had medium sized, amelanotic uveal tumours of uncertain aetiology; the cytopathological data confirmed the diagnoses of uveal melanomas. In nine other cases the fine needle aspiration biopsy data established a diagnosis different from melanoma.

Four patients had clinical findings of a uveal melanoma, but had a melanocytoma on cytopathological examination of fine needle aspiration biopsy material. Figure 1 shows a clinical photograph of such a very atypical tumour. Similarly, on ultrasound (Fig 2) the pattern was most consistent with a uveal melanoma. On serial evaluation over 3 years this lesion remains stable and visual acuity is unchanged at 20/20.

Two patients had uveal mass lesions with some characteristics of primary uveal melanomas, but some clinical or ultrasound features that were not entirely diagnostic. Both had evidence of metastatic disease on cytopathological evaluation. One patient had typical clinical and ultrasonographic features of a uveal melanoma (Fig 3), but on fine needle aspiration biopsy had a lesion most consistent with an isolated primary carcinoid (Fig 4). Strains for S-100 and HMB-45 were negative, and the 2 year follow up showed no evidence of other systemic neoplasms before his death from a myocardial infarct. One patient had a presumably benign RPE tumour that had grown and was successfully resected; others had melanocytomas or metastases.

Discussion
The accuracy of uveal melanoma diagnosis in many clinical settings is uncertain. While several investigators, including our group, have shown over 99% accuracy in the diagnosis of large tumours that required enucleation, the specificity of non-invasive diagnostic tests in smaller tumours is less certain. In one enucleated series, overly optimistic accuracy rates were reported, since the diagnostically difficult cases with opaque media, were excluded from analysis.

Pigmented choroidal tumours less than 3 mm in thickness and less than 10 mm in diameter often can not be differentiated on the basis of clinical, fluorescein, and angiographic or ultrasonographic studies. Fortunately, most of these cases can be followed serially and do not require therapy unless growth is documented. In medium size tumours the accuracy of diagnosis is less clear cut. A number of reports in the literature document

Figure 3 Equator plus fundus photograph of an isolated uveal carcinoid tumour. Metastatic evaluation was negative and the patient responded well to 40 Gy of radiation.

Figure 4 Cytopathology (original magnification X200) of case shown in Figure 3. Left is Papanicolaou stain; on the right a May-Giemsa-Grunewald stain is shown. The coarsely granular chromatin pattern in this case is most consistent with a carcinoid tumour. An HMB-45 stain was negative.
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...a myriad of simulating lesions that mimic a melanoma pattern on clinical, ultrasonographic, and fluorescein angiographic studies. Some reports of surgical resection of presumed uveal melanomas document the higher rate of false diagnosis in smaller tumours or those in anterior locations.

In this study of presumed uveal melanomas large enough to be scheduled for alternative therapy, at least 9% did not have uveal melanoma diagnosed on cytopathological evaluation of the tumour.

The implications of this study are threefold. Firstly, FNAB results had a significant impact on management. Some patients who require therapy for tumours that are not large enough to require enucleation are not correctly diagnosed on the basis of non-invasive tests. In some of these cases radiation therapy is not required. Radiation morbidity can be avoided in such patients with correct diagnosis. In others, an ineffective radiation dose would have been delivered to a patient whose treatment failure if the results of only non-invasive tests would have been used to plan treatment. Two patients, with presumed metastatic lesions that were shown to be primary melanomas, would have received inadequate radiation. We have seen this misdiagnosis occur previously, with subsequent referral to us when the presumed metastasis continues to grow after receiving approximately 40 Gy of photon irradiation. In two other patients with non-invasive test data most consistent with a melanoma, metastatic choroidal tumours were diagnosed with FNAB. These latter two patients were therefore treated with a lower dose of radiation (40 Gy) and presumably lower complications than had they received a higher dose needed for a melanoma. Secondly, in studies of uveal melanoma mortality after radiation it is likely that a minority of patients thought to have melanoma may not, in fact, have intraocular neoplasms associated with tumour related mortality. It is thus possible that the survival reported after some series of irradiated uveal melanomas may be slightly better because of inclusion of these atypical, simulating lesions. Thirdly, this study and a number of others continue to document the very low observed morbidity associated with uveal melanoma fine needle aspiration biopsy. While some investigators have noted small numbers of tumour cells in the needle track, there are no reports of spread of uveal melanoma as a result of fine needle aspiration biopsy.

No significant complications were noted from needle biopsy in this study; however, several important caveats need to be emphasised. (1) While in this trial we performed FNABs on all patients who required alternative invasive therapy; this is not our routine clinical practice, and patients outside of this study who have classic findings on non-invasive tests do not have needle biopsies. Similarly, patients with very large tumours scheduled for enucleation did not routinely have fine needle aspiration biopsies. (2) The accuracy of FNAB is almost entirely dependent on the skill and techniques used in cytopathology. In our experience, where needle biopsies are immediately analysed in the operating room, the incidence of false negative biopsies is <5%; some centres with delayed processing at an adjacent institution have noted as high as a 25% inadequate cytology. These specimens in anterior locations.

In this study of presumed uveal melanomas large enough to be scheduled for alternative therapy, at least 9% did not have uveal melanoma diagnosed on cytopathological evaluation of the tumour.
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