Information fidelity in corneal topography

The refinement and computerisation of the keratoscope and the introduction of the colour coded contour map of corneal surface powers over the past decade have made videokeratography the standard of medical care for anterior segment specialists. The present clinical applications are significant and numerous. They include classification of corneal shape (normal, astigmat, ectasias, and so forth), screening and evaluation in keratorefractive surgery, assessment in cataract intraocular lens procedures, follow up after corneal transplantation, and contact lens fitting. With the growing trend toward applying videokeratography to contact lens fitting, the issue of the accuracy of corneal shape measurement becomes acutely important as Douthwaite points out in this issue of the BJO (p 797).

While an accurate measure of corneal shape over a wide area is of prime importance for contact lens fitting, for the clinician concerned with the correlation of corneal shape to visual potential and early detection of certain pathologies, the direct examination of corneal shape without amplification is of limited value. Commonly, the necessary amplification is provided by the colour coded contour map of corneal surface powers. For the diagnostician, information fidelity, or the accuracy with which the instrument plays back corneal topography, becomes the overriding concern. The information content of the video image is related to the number of pixels that represent the corneal surface. A device that would use every available pixel could achieve the highest fidelity. In keratoscopy this is achieved by projecting as many closely spaced mires as can be resolved over the greatest corneal surface area. While good peripheral coverage is essential for contact lens fitting, good central corneal coverage is important for quality of vision evaluation.

Information fidelity

While an accurate measure of corneal shape over a wide area is of prime importance for contact lens fitting, for the clinician concerned with the correlation of corneal shape to visual potential and early detection of certain pathologies, the accuracy of corneal shape measurement becomes acutely important as Douthwaite points out in this issue of the BJO (p 797).

However, no less important than instrument accuracy for measuring shape is the need for information fidelity in representing the optics of the cornea with power maps that are useful in routine clinical diagnosis, a distinction upon which this editorial will focus.

Accurate measurement of corneal shape relies upon a sophisticated mathematical approach because there is no exact mathematical solution to the general problem of reconstructing surfaces from reflection keratoscopy (placido disc imagery). The simplest approximations for reconstruction that were originally devised for use with the keratometer constrain corneal shape to spherical or even elliptical geometry. The most rigorous (and accurate) approaches involve extensive computations that require a considerable amount of time, even with the current computational power of personal computers. More efficient, highly accurate algorithms are likely to be developed as future generations of more powerful computers become available.

Shape accuracy

For the contact lens fitter, a videokeratoscope must be accurate in reporting exactly the true shape of the cornea; the reconstruction algorithms used must create sagittal height or depth numbers that closely match the actual corneal shape. The device must do this with a precision or tolerance that should be specified over the large distances covered by a worn contact lens. The reproducibility of the measurements should be within the needed precision so that repeated measurements do not lead to different recommendations regarding lens fit. The resolution or ability of the device to distinguish important shape changes between two points must be established so that data smoothing or low sampling density do not mask features such as peripheral corneal ‘knees’ or other anomalies. Finally, the device should gather data and report measurements for all of the corneal surface area over which a contact lens might ride. The accuracy, precision, reproducibility, and resolution of a particular videokeratoscope require independent evaluation, such as is carried out in the article by Douthwaite. It is from such beginnings that standards will evolve to provide an assurance of performance level among manufacturers and technologies in the field.
been used successfully to detect all topographic features of clinical significance.

**Power calculations**

The general question of instrument accuracy in videokeratography has been the focus of a number of validation efforts that aim to probe the limits of the measurements obtained (p 797). There are two separate issues that are often incorrectly interchanged when instrument accuracy is discussed. One is the true accuracy of a videokeratoscope, which is measured in terms of its ability to report a set of three dimensional coordinates from a calibrated reference object that is exactly the same as the set of coordinate determined by independent measurement. The second issue, often confused with instrument accuracy, concerns the formulas used to convert the mire coordinates into shape, curvature, and/or power, which may vary from instrument to instrument. Currently, we find ourselves without a consensus on either terminology (some even use the term topology for topography) or the method for calculating corneal power. I continue to recommend that corneal power, \(D_c\), be calculated from the measured local radius of curvature from the relation:

\[
D_c = \frac{K}{R_c}
\]

where \(D_c\) is the corneal power in dioptres, \(R_c\) is the local radius of curvature in mm, and \(K\) is the keratometric index (not refractive index) usually taken as 337.5 mm/dioptre. The term \(R_c\) can be calculated from successive mires as pointed out some years ago; the proper term for this is the 'instantaneous radius of curvature' and this calculational method has received renewed attention of late.

**Topographic standards**

No matter what the specific application, there is a need to formulate definitions and to set standards for corneal topography that manufacturers can use as guidelines and that clinicians can use to evaluate the numerous options among corneal topography instruments. A committee of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) has been formed for this purpose. Independently, the International Society for Refractive Surgery has embarked upon a parallel course to establish guidelines. The former committee has a strong engineering component while the latter committee has a strong clinical component. Crossover between the work of these committees could help to establish the groundwork that would be evaluated by the International Standards Organisation. Nearly a decade has passed since the colour coded map was first presented; it is time for the topography community to come together to ensure that corneal topographic devices will have the fidelity necessary to provide the best medical care possible.

There continue to be nay sayers who claim that corneal topography analysis is outmoded ('give me a sturdy keratometer and I'll show you the Complete Diagnostican'). Certainly the technology to measure corneal shape has not reached an evolutionary plateau; raster stereography and light interference techniques, in addition to refined keratoscopy based devices, could provide delightfully surprising improvements. Based upon current use, however, I believe that computer automated analysis of corneal topography is here to stay because it provides a richness of diagnostic information that cannot, at this time, be obtained in any other way.
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