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Abstract

Background—Retinal detachment associated with atopic dermatitis, one of the most common forms of dermatitis in Japan, has markedly increased in Japan in the past 10 years. To clarify pathogenic mechanisms of retinal detachment in such cases, we retrospectively studied clinical characteristics of retinal detachment associated with atopic dermatitis.

Methods—We examined the records of 80 patients (89 eyes) who had retinal detachment associated with atopic dermatitis. The patients were classified into three groups according to lens status: group A, eyes with clear lenses (40 eyes); group B, eyes with cataract (38 eyes); and group C, aphakic or pseudophakic eyes (11 eyes).

Results—No significant differences were noted in the ratio of males to females, age distribution, refractive error, or characteristic of retinal detachment among the three groups. The types of retinal breaks, however, were different in eyes with and without lens changes. While atrophic holes were dominant in group A, retinal dialysis was mainly seen in groups B and C.

Conclusion—These findings suggested that anterior vitreoretinal traction may play an important role in the pathogenesis of retinal breaks in eyes with atopic cataract and that the same pathological process may affect the formation of vitreous traction and retinal breaks in patients with atopic dermatitis.

(Br J Ophthalmol 1996; 80: 54–57)

Atopic dermatitis, one of the most common forms of dermatitis in Japan, has recently increased in incidence. As many as 30% of the students in Japan are reportedly affected with some degree of atopic dermatitis. The adult type of atopic dermatitis especially (older than 10 years) has markedly increased in the past 10 years. The number of affected patients aged from 11 to 30 years was three times higher in 1985 than in 1975, while the number of children younger than 11 years with atopic dermatitis has not increased.

Atopic dermatitis is associated with many ocular complications such as conjunctivitis, keratoconus, iritis, cataract, and retinal detachment. Case reports of retinal detachment associated with atopic dermatitis have offered several ideas about its pathogenesis, including trauma, inflammation, and shock organ theory (the tissue of mesodermal origin served as the shock organ).

To clarify what types of retinal breaks are characteristic of the atopic related retinal detachment, and to clarify pathogenic mechanisms of retinal detachment in such cases, we studied, retrospectively, clinical characteristics of retinal detachment associated with atopic dermatitis.

Materials and methods

We examined the records of 89 eyes from 80 patients, with retinal detachment associated with atopic dermatitis, who were treated at Kyoto University Hospital from January 1970 to July 1993. All patients were previously diagnosed as having atopic dermatitis by dermatologists. They were also suffering from the facial dermatitis for more than a year before the first examination in our clinic. All patients were followed up for at least 3 months. The patients were classified into three groups according to lens status: group A, eyes with clear lenses; group B, eyes with cataract; group C, aphakic or pseudophakic eyes. Characteristics of retinal breaks and retinal detachments were investigated in each group.

Retinal breaks were classified into four types: atrophic retinal holes, tractional retinal tears, retinal dialysis, and breaks in the ciliary epithelium of the pars plana.

Configuration of the retinal detachment was divided into two types: bullous and shallow detachments. The extent of retinal detachment was expressed by the number of affected quadrants.

Diathermy or cryoretinopexy was applied to seal retinal breaks, and a scleral buckling procedure was performed. Closed vitrectomy was combined with scleral buckling in cases with complicated retinal detachment that had vitreoretinal traction or total retinal detachment without apparent breaks. Five eyes received vitrectomy at the first operation. The operation was considered anatomically successful if the retina was still reattached at the final examination at least 6 months after the operation. Two eyes that had been initially treated at another hospital were excluded.

The relations between categorical variables were examined using the Kruskal-Wallis test. The surgical results were examined using χ² analysis. Differences were considered significant when the probability of their occurrence by chance was less than 5%.

Results

The numbers of retinal detachments associated with atopic dermatitis have increased...
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Figure 1 Numbers of retinal detachments associated with atopic dermatitis treated at Kyoto University Hospital from 1970 to 1993.

Remarkably since 1984 (Fig 1), while the number of retinal detachments treated in our department has not changed and was around 300 each year.

The general characteristics of the 89 eyes of 80 patients included in this study have been listed in Table 1. In nine patients, both eyes were involved. A greater proportion of males (56 eyes, 63%) was observed. The patients ranged in age from 7 to 65 years (average 23.2 years). There were 40 eyes without cataract (group A), 38 eyes with cataract (group B), and 11 aphakic eyes (group C). The proportion of males to females within each group (Table 1) was not statistically different (p=0.422). The mean ages (Table 1) of each group were 23.3 (SD 13.1) years (group A), 21.1 (9.1) years (group B), and 20.9 (8.0) years (group C). There was no statistically significant difference in age distribution among the three groups (p=0.6161). No apparent differences were observed between the three groups with regard to the severity of the atopic dermatitis. Table 2 shows the configuration of the retinal detachment of each group. The proportion of bullous and shallow retinal detachments was not different between groups A and B. The bullous detachment was predominant in group C, but the difference was not statistically significant (p=0.0866). The extent of the retinal detachment (Table 2) was wider in group C, but the difference was not significant (p=0.0583).

The characteristics of retinal breaks for each group have been listed in Table 3. Atrophic holes were dominant in group A (22 eyes, 54%), but not in group B (six eyes, 16%) or group C (0 eyes).

Retinal dialyses were mainly seen in groups B (12 eyes, 32%) and C (six eyes, 55%), but not in group A (two eyes, 5%). The difference between the distributions of retinal break types of each group was statistically significant (p=0.0006).

Retinal reattachment was obtained in 65 eyes (75%) at initial surgery and in 84 eyes (97%) eventually (Tables 4 and 5). All retinal detachments caused by atrophic holes, tractional tears, or retinal dialysis were eventually reattached. On the other hand, retinal detachment with tears in the ciliary epithelium showed a significantly lower final success rate (p=0.0006). Retinal detachments in eyes without cataract (group A) had a 100% success rate. A significant difference was found in the success rate at the initial operation among the three groups (p=0.0060).

**Discussion**

Our findings correlated with the recent increase of retinal detachments associated with atopic dermatitis that have been reported in Japan.20–24 This growth may have been directly related to the marked increase in the adult population with atopic dermatitis in Japan.2 It was interesting that male patients accounted for two thirds of the retinal detachments in this study, while the population of male to female patients with atopic dermatitis was almost the same.2

Several theories have been given about the pathogenesis of retinal detachment associated with atopic dermatitis. Cordes and Cordero-Moreno reported four cases they thought were caused by trauma.5 Ideta and others reported cases in which trauma was highly suspicious.14 20 24 Some patients actually suffering from dermatitis on the face had a habit of slapping themselves on the eye as a result of severe itching. The breaks at the most peripheral retina resembled those caused by obvious trauma. Many patients, however, did not have this habit. It is possible that trauma was a contributing factor but it probably was not the entire explanation.

Balyeat thought that the retinal detachment resulted from oedema of the retina,4 and Mylius thought the cause was vascular change.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient group</th>
<th>Male (%)</th>
<th>Female (%)</th>
<th>Age (SD) (years)</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>27 (68)</td>
<td>13 (32)</td>
<td>23-3 (13-1)</td>
<td>40 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>21 (55)</td>
<td>17 (45)</td>
<td>21-1 (9-1)</td>
<td>38 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>8 (73)</td>
<td>3 (27)</td>
<td>20-9 (8-0)</td>
<td>11 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>56 (63)</td>
<td>33 (37)</td>
<td>23-2 (10-9)</td>
<td>89 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Configuration and extent of retinal detachments in study patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration (%)</th>
<th>Affected quadrants (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bullous</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>18 (45) 22 (55) 14 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>18 (47) 20 (53) 11 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>9 (62)   2 (18) 2 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>45 (51) 44 (49) 27 (30)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** Characteristics of retinal breaks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient group</th>
<th>Atrophic hole (%)</th>
<th>Tractional tear (%)</th>
<th>Break in CB epithelium (%)</th>
<th>Breaks not found (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>22 (55) 11 (27)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>5 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>6 (16) 9 (24)</td>
<td>12 (32)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>9 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0 (0) 2 (18)</td>
<td>6 (35) 6 (37)</td>
<td>2 (37)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>28 (31) 22 (25)</td>
<td>20 (22)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>17 (19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CB=ciliary body.
in the peripheral retina. These authors considered the pathogenesis to exist in the retina itself. Coles and Laval thought that the detached retina resulted from a diseased vitreous, because of its common embryonic origin with the skin, lens, and vitreous. This idea was called shock organ theory. The authors believed that eyes in patients with atopic dermatitis had abnormal vitreous that did not firmly hold the retina in place.

Katsura and Hida thought the uvea also could be a 'shock organ' and that chronic uveitis due to atopic reactions possibly produced traction on the ciliary epithelium of the pars plana. Also, Jutte and Lemke thought chronic uveitis was a possible cause for the retinal detachments.

Our report showed no significant difference in distribution of sex or age among our three groups. The proportion of bullous detachment was slightly higher and the area of the retinal detachment somewhat larger in the aphakic eyes (group C) compared with those in groups A and B. Vitreous degeneration after cataract surgery was thought to be the reason for these differences. The vitreous in the aphakic eye has played a role in holding the retina, preventing detachments from enlarging, but its force is impaired in aphakic eyes.

Interestingly, the types of retinal breaks were different in eyes with and without lens changes. Atrophic holes were dominant in eyes in group A, but retinal dialysis were mainly seen in eyes in groups B and C. The proportion of atrophic holes was high in the order group A, B, and C, while the proportion of retinal dialysis was high in the order group C, B, and A. Since there were no apparent differences in the severity of the atopic dermatitis of each group, this finding suggests that the pathogeneses that cause the retinal breaks differ under the different lens status.

We believe that the atrophic holes in this study are similar to those commonly seen in young patients without atopic dermatitis, and atopic dermatitis associated by chance, or may somehow enhance the pathogenesis. Our results agree with those of a previous report that stated the most frequent ages of patients with retinal detachment with atrophic holes is 20 to 30 years in those without dermatitis.

On the other hand, retinal dialysis, breaks in the ciliary body, and breaks immediately after cataract operations might have pathogeneses that are characteristic of atopic dermatitis. These breaks can be related to the intense inflammation produced by the abnormal immune reaction of patients with atopic dermatitis. The inflammations after cataract surgery were so severe in the atopic patients that they caused contraction of the remaining posterior capsules and the anterior portion of the vitreous. This might produce traction forces in the ciliary epithelium via zonules or to the peripheral retina and result in breaks. In our study, the breaks in the ciliary epithelium were not found in the aphakic patient group. Since the breaks in the ciliary epithelium were hard to find through the remaining posterior capsule, they might not be classified properly.

Retinal dialysis may result directly by slamming the eye, since the force would strongly affect that area of the eye under blunt trauma. However, it is not explained completely by trauma itself because not all the patients have the habit of slapping the eyes. The abnormal immune reaction after chronic blunt trauma, such as rubbing eyes, might cause inflammation in the peripheral retina. For example, chronic cyclitis may result and affect the anterior vitreous, which would lead to contractions that would produce retinal breaks. On the other hand, the inflammation would affect the posterior capsule of the lens and result in cataracts. In this theory it is likely that the formation of cataracts and breaks in patients with atopic dermatitis follows the same pathological process that somehow affect both the lens and the vitreous.

Our study suggested that retinal detachments associated with atopic dermatitis consist of some groups of different pathogenesis. One group has retinal detachments with atrophic holes similar to those commonly seen in young patients without atopic dermatitis. In those patients who have atrophic holes, the atopic dermatitis may overlap by chance and not be associated with the pathogenesis, or the atopic dermatitis may somehow enhance the pathogenesis. There may be a group of patients who have retinal dialysis resulting directly from slapping the eyes. There may also be a group of patients who have retinal tears caused by contraction of the posterior capsule after cataract surgery. However, the most characteristic retinal breaks produced by atopic changes, which can be called 'atopic related retinal breaks', might be tractional breaks at the far periphery of the retina associated with cataract. We speculate that the same pathological process may affect the atopic cataract and the atopic related retinal breaks.

Table 4 Surgical results for each type of retinal break

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgical results</th>
<th>Atrophic hole (%)</th>
<th>Tractional tear (%)</th>
<th>Dialysis (%)</th>
<th>Break in CB (%)</th>
<th>Breaks not found (%)</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>24 (86)</td>
<td>18 (82)</td>
<td>12 (63)</td>
<td>1 (50)</td>
<td>10 (63)</td>
<td>65 (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final</td>
<td>28 (100)</td>
<td>22 (100)</td>
<td>19 (100)</td>
<td>1 (50)</td>
<td>14 (88)</td>
<td>84 (97)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CB=ciliary body.

Table 5 Surgical results in each patient group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgical results</th>
<th>Group A (%)</th>
<th>Group B (%)</th>
<th>Group C (%)</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>35 (88)</td>
<td>26 (70)</td>
<td>4 (40)</td>
<td>65 (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final</td>
<td>40 (100)</td>
<td>35 (95)</td>
<td>9 (90)</td>
<td>84 (97)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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