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Abstract

Aims—To describe the impact of cataract surgery in terms of visual function (functioning in everyday life with respect to vision dependent activities) and health related quality of life.

Methods—An observational, longitudinal study of patients undergoing cataract surgery was carried out at three district general hospitals in outer London districts of North Thames Region with follow up at 4 and 12 months postoperatively for a clinical assessment and a standardised administered interview. Patients were admitted for surgery to the first eye for age-related cataract between 1 May 1993 and 31 August 1994. Visual functioning was assessed by the VF-14, health related quality of life was assessed by the sickness impact profile (SIP), and vision related quality of life was assessed by VR-SIP (a modification of the generic SIP).

Results—Significant gains in all the outcome measures were demonstrated at 4 months postoperatively. No significant change (gain or loss) was observed between 4 and 12 months after surgery to the first eye. Postoperatively, the mean visual function (VF-14) scores, and health related (SIP) and vision related (VR-SIP) quality of life scores, indicated less reported trouble with vision dependent activities and better perceived quality of life, respectively. The average gains in visual function and quality of life (health and vision related) were apparent in groups with good visual outcome and poor visual outcome. Significant additional gains were seen at 1 year in patients who had second eye surgery in the interval between the postoperative assessments.

Conclusions—Gains in visual functioning and quality of life (health and vision related) have been demonstrated following cataract surgery. These gains were sustained at 1 year after surgery to the first eye, with additional gains being conferred if second eye surgery had been performed. Assessment of the outcomes of cataract surgery by clinical indicators alone may underestimate the overall benefits of surgery, particularly in patients with poor visual outcome.

The aim of cataract surgery is to improve visual acuity and thereby improve visual function, with the implicit assumption that this will also improve overall quality of life. The gains from cataract extraction are usually demonstrated clinically, by the change in Snellen visual acuity in the eye that had surgery. The impact on visual function (that is, functioning in everyday life with respect to vision dependent activities), or quality of life has not usually been considered as a separate issue, partly as these have been assumed to follow the improvement in visual acuity, and also because the methods and instruments required to make these types of assessments have not been readily available for use in patients with visual disorders. The length of time taken to complete these types of measures, and their mode of administration combined with the lack of familiarity (particularly among clinicians) with the scores produced and their interpretation, are some of the factors that have inhibited their use in routine practice. With the current trend in outcomes assessment placing equal importance on patient perceived outcomes, these are now being included in the evaluation of outcomes of surgical interventions. While clinical measures can provide an objective assessment of health outcomes as a result of an intervention, patient perceived measures provide a subjective assessment of health outcomes. These latter are based on the patients' interpretation of the impact of the intervention on their daily life and circumstances.

This combined approach has been adopted in a series of studies evaluating the outcomes of cataract extraction within the National Health Service. The first of these, the National Cataract Surgery Survey, has quantified the clinical outcomes (Snellen visual acuity and surgically related complications), achieved nationally, from current surgical practice. Its findings clearly demonstrate the clinical gains obtained in terms of visual acuity. Eighty per cent of patients had good visual outcome (Snellen visual acuity of 6/6 to 6/12), at 3 months postoperatively, in the eye that had surgery, irrespective of preoperative visual acuity in that eye. Ocular comorbidity (other than cataract), was identified as a risk factor for poor visual outcome (less than 6/12 at 3 months postoperatively), with increasing severity of ocular comorbidity being associated with increased risk of poor visual outcome.

Following on from this, we conducted the Cataract Outcome Study to evaluate the impact of cataract and cataract surgery on visual acuity, visual function, and health related quality of life, and the relations between these different outcomes, so that appropriate meth-
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Odds and indicators for assessing the outcomes of cataract surgery could be identified. We have used instruments for the assessment of visual function and health related quality of life for the first time in the UK on cataract patients, in collaboration with the Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA. This allowed for the collection of comparable data on visual function and health related quality of life for cataract patients that may be used for making international comparisons of the outcomes of cataract surgery.

This paper reports from the Cataract Outcome Study, on the overall gains from cataract surgery in terms of visual function and health related quality of life both in the short term (at 4 months) and at 1 year postoperatively.

Method
This longitudinal, observational study was located within North Thames Region, in three district general hospitals, and conducted after ethical approval had been granted from the local district ethics committees. Patients admitted for surgery for age-related cataract, for the first eye, and (subsequently for the second eye during the study period) were invited to participate in the study. Both standard extracapsular cataract extraction and phacoemulsification were performed in the participating hospitals and aphakic correction was achieved by posterior chamber intraocular lenses. The recruitment period was from 1 May 1993 to 31 August 1994 and written, informed consent was obtained before patients were recruited. Patients having combined procedures (for example, cataract surgery and filtration surgery), or surgery for other types of cataract (for example, congenital, traumatic) were excluded. Patients were followed up at 4 months and 12 months after surgery.

All data were collected on standardised proforma. Clinical data (on the process of care provided and related clinical outcomes) were collected by the ophthalmologists concurrently with routine preoperative assessments and at dedicated postoperative follow up clinics at 4 and 12 months. Data pertaining to visual function and quality of life were obtained from a standardised administered interview preoperatively, at 4 months, and 12 months after surgery. Interviews were conducted in the patients’ homes by experienced trained interviewers.

The sample obtained was compared with the national sample from the National Cataract Surgery Survey to assess whether any effects from selection bias had been introduced.

Visual Function
This was assessed using the VF-14, a new instrument designed to provide a specific measure of visual functioning in cataract patients. It was developed by the Cataract PORT team and contains 14 items that include a broad spectrum of vision dependent activities performed in everyday life that may be affected by cataract. Patients were asked whether, even with their most recent glasses, they had any difficulty in performing the task. The responses allowed were ‘yes’, ‘no’, or ‘do not do that activity for reasons unrelated to vision’. For each activity for which patients responded to as ‘yes’, they were asked how much difficulty they currently had with that activity—‘a little’, ‘a moderate amount’, ‘a great deal’, or ‘unable to do’ because of their vision. The score was based on all applicable items and the amount of reported difficulty experienced in performing those activities. An item was not included in the scoring if patients did not do that activity for a reason other than their vision—for example, if patients had never cooked for themselves. No minimum number of applicable items was required. The final score produced by this index ranges from 0 (unable to do all applicable activities because of vision) and a maximum of 100 (able to do all applicable items without difficulty).

Health Related Quality of Life
This was assessed using the sickness impact profile (SIP). This is a well established, standardised, generic measure of perceived health related quality of life. It has been extensively tested for reliability and validity, and widely used among different patient groups. The SIP measures sickness related dysfunction in 12 categories: work, recreation and pastimes, emotional behaviour, alertness behaviour, home management, sleep and rest, eating, body care and management, ambulation, mobility, communication, and social interaction. Only those items which apply to respondents on the day of completion and are related to their health are endorsed. Scores are calculated using predetermined weights based on estimates of the relative severity of the dysfunction associated with the items. The total SIP scores range from 0 to 100. The lower the score (towards zero), the better the respondents’ health related quality of life.

Vision Related SIP
The vision related SIP (VR-SIP) represents a modification of the SIP for patients with problems with their vision, developed by the Cataract PORT team. Its purpose was to quantify how much of their general dysfunction patients attributed to their vision, providing a measure of vision related quality of life. Each time patients responded positively to an item contained in the SIP, they were asked whether they thought the statement applied because of their vision. Responses to the latter questions were used to calculate a total vision related SIP score in the same manner as for SIP.

Statistical Methods
As the VF-14 was a new instrument and not previously used in the UK, an assessment of its reliability and validity was performed. Reliability, in terms of the internal consistency, and criterion validity of the VF-14 are reported here. Internal consistency was assessed by cal-
calculating Cronbach’s alpha coefficient. Criter-
ion validity of the VF-14 was assessed by
examining the Pearson and Spearman correla-
tion coefficient between the preoperative
VF-14 score and preoperative measures of
visual acuity and quality of life. The VF-14 was
expected to have better correlations with visual
acuity and vision related quality of life
(VR-SIP).

The significance of the change in scores postoperatively was assessed by performing
both paired t tests and equivalent non-
parametric tests (Wilcoxon matched pair
signed rank test and the sign test).

Results
A total of 337 patients were recruited into the
study. Follow up data were available for 316
(93.8%) patients at 4 months and 278 (83%) patients at 12 months; 273 patients
completed SIP preoperatively, with follow up data on 213
(78%) patients at both 4 and 12 months; 86
patients had surgery to the fellow eye between 4 and 12 months after surgery to the first eye.

SAMPLE CHARACTERISTICS
The study sample was not found to be atypical
of cataract patients in terms of age and sex
on admission when compared with the national sample from the National Cataract Surgery Survey1
(Table 1).

Eighty one per cent of patients completed
SIP on recruitment. No important differences
were identified between these patients and
those who did not complete SIP, in terms of
visual acuity, age, sex, marital status, whether
living alone or not, and type of admission
(Table 2).

The internal consistency of the VF-14 given
by Cronbach’s alpha was 0.74. Criterion validity of the VF-14 given by its correlations (Spear-
man) with measures of vision and quality of life
were in the directions expected. (Spearman
correlation coefficients are presented because
the distribution of the preoperative VF-14
score was highly skewed.) Significant correla-
tions with visual acuity were demonstrated
with the VF-14 having better correlation with
visual acuity in the better eye (r = 0.48), than
with visual acuity in the surgery eye (r = 0.21).
Similarly, significant correlations were demon-
strated with measures of quality of life, but
with better correlations with vision related SIP
(r = 0.7) than with the generic SIP (r = 0.37).

POSTOPERATIVE GAINS IN VISUAL FUNCTION AND
QUALITY OF LIFE
Overall, significant gains in visual function and
quality of life were observed postoperatively in
both the short term (4 months) and long term
(12 months), compared with baseline preopera-
tive values. The impact of surgery across all
measures was evident at 4 months, with no sig-
ificant difference in mean scores being
demonstrated between 4 and 12 months. The
higher mean visual function scores postopera-
tively indicated less reported difficulty with
vision dependent activities. The lower mean
health related quality of life (SIP) and vision
related quality of life (VR-SIP) scores after
surgery indicated less perceived dysfunction.
(Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Sample characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) on admission:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Sample from the National Cataract Surgery Survey.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>† Comparison between participants (study sample) and the national sample.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Table 2 Sickness impact profile (SIP) : responders and non-responders |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Marital status:             |                             |
| Married                     | 50.2                        |
| Not married                 | 49.8                        |
| Living alone                |                             |
| Not living alone            |                             |
| Type of admission:          |                             |
| Inpatient                   |                             |
| Day case                    |                             |
| Preoperative visual acuity in surgery eye: |
| 6/6 to 6/12                 | 23.6                        |
| 6/18 to 6/24                | 44.8                        |
| 6/36 to 6/60                | 16.8                        |
| Less than 6/60              | 14.8                        |

| Table 3 Visual function and quality of life scores: before and after cataract surgery |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Preoperative scores                         | 4 Months after surgery | 12 Months after surgery |
| Mean (95% CI)                                | Mean (95% CI)              | Mean (95% CI)           |
| Visual function: VF-14 (n=316)               | 68.7 (66.2 to 71.1)         | 88.5 (86.6 to 90.4)     | 91.1 (89.4 to 92.8) |
| Quality of life:                             |                             |                            |                        |
| Sickness impact profile (SIP) (n=213)        | 12 (10.8 to 13.3)           | 9 (7.8 to 10.2)          | 8.1 (7.1 to 9.1)      |
| Vision related SIP (VR-SIP) (n=213)          | 1.6 (1.2 to 2)              | 0.3 (0.23 to 0.43)       | 0.2 (0.12 to 0.26)    |

* All postoperative scores are significantly different from preoperative values (paired t test and equivalent non-parametric tests gave p values <0.001).
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Figure 1  Visual function. VF-14 scores pre- and postoperatively.

Figure 2  Health related quality of life. Sickness impact profile (SIP) scores pre- and postoperatively.

Figure 3  Vision related quality of life. Vision related sickness impact profile (VR-SIP) scores pre- and postoperatively.

Table 4  Gains from cataract surgery by visual outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual outcome</th>
<th>Mean gain by 4 months after surgery (SD)</th>
<th>Visual function (VF-14)</th>
<th>Quality of life (SIP)</th>
<th>Quality of life (VR-SIP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good (6/6 to 6/12)</td>
<td>20.6 (21.1)</td>
<td>2.8 (5.1)</td>
<td>1.2 (2.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor (less than 6/12)</td>
<td>16.1 (20.2)</td>
<td>5.8 (5.8)</td>
<td>2.9 (3.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>19.9 (20.9)</td>
<td>3.2 (5.2)</td>
<td>1.4 (2.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All were significant gains compared with baseline values: p value < 0.001. No significant differences in mean change were demonstrated between visual outcome groups: p>0.05.

Short term gains

The overall shift of the distributions of scores after cataract surgery towards scores of less dysfunction for either visual function, health, or vision related quality of life was most apparent at 4 months (Figs 1–3). Before surgery 36% of patients had a visual function score of 80 or greater, while 81% achieved this score at 4 months after surgery. Preoperatively, 22.5% of patients had SIP scores of less than 5 (associated with general populations of primarily well adults), with 46% achieving this score at 4 months; and 40% of patients had VR-SIP scores of 0 preoperatively (indicating no dysfunction), with 73% having this score at 4 months.

Eight five per cent of patients achieved a good visual outcome at 4 months (Snellen acuity of 6/12 or better in the surgery eye). Significant mean gains in visual function and health and vision related quality of life were achieved in subgroups of patients with good visual outcome and poor visual outcome compared with baseline preoperative values (Table 4).

Long term gains

Although no significant overall gains were demonstrated between 4 and 12 months after surgery, many patients had second eye surgery within this interval. No significant gain in visual function or health related quality of life was observed between 4 and 12 months in those patients that had only first eye surgery. However, patients who had second eye surgery had significant gains across all measures in the interval between 4 and 12 months (Table 5), resulting in a further shift of the distribution of scores at 12 months towards improved status (Figs 1–3).

Discussion

No important selection biases were observed to have been operating in the recruitment of this study sample, which may be regarded as a representative sample of cataract patients. The VF-14 displayed acceptable reliability and validity. 12 13 The findings from this study quantify the gains (short term and at 1 year) following cataract surgery in three further areas: visual function, health related, and vision related quality of life. The
findings indicate that the greatest impact of surgery on visual function and quality of life (health and vision related) is apparent by 4 months, with the mean gains achieved by this time being sustained at 1 year following surgery to the first eye. Recent reports (from the USA), relevant to current surgical practice for cataract, have focused primarily on visual function while the effect of surgery on patients' quality of life has received less evaluative attention. While it may be possible to demonstrate gains from cataract surgery quite early in the postoperative period, it was considered that by 4 months after surgery it was more likely that visual recovery and rehabilitation would have stabilised, irrespective of the type of procedure performed or the postoperative management provided, thereby allowing for a more appropriate assessment of the gains that patients were likely to achieve.

It is estimated that at least 105 000 cataract operations are performed annually within the National Health Service, with at least a third of these being second eye procedures. The data on second eye surgery in this study were from patients who had surgery to the first eye, with subsequent surgery to the second eye. As such, the findings indicate that significant additional gains in visual function and quality of life (health related and vision related), were achieved after second eye surgery. These, together with the other benefits of second eye surgery that have been reported, suggest that the value of second eye surgery should not be overlooked in the management of cataract.

The main aims of cataract surgery are achieved in the vast majority of patients. The findings also indicate that mean gains in visual function and health and vision related quality of life may be achieved in the subgroup of patients with poor visual outcome. The outcomes of cataract extraction assessed on just clinical measures may underestimate the overall benefits of surgery, particularly in the subgroup of patients who do not have a good visual outcome.

Whether these (or similar) measures should be used routinely for the assessment of cataract outcomes, requires further consideration of the meaning and interpretation of the scores provided by these instruments, in light of their relations with clinical and demographic factors. These are the subject of a series of subsequent reports.

**Table 5 Long term gains at 12 months after cataract surgery**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>First eyes only by 12 months</th>
<th>Both eyes by 12 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 Month score Mean (SD)</td>
<td>12 Month score Mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VF-14</td>
<td>89.3 (17)</td>
<td>90.1 (15.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of life**</td>
<td>8.5 (8.2)</td>
<td>8.1 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sickness impact profile (SIP)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.79)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.58)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision related SIP</td>
<td>0.26 (0.55)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.33)§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>88.3 (16)</td>
<td>93.4 (10.2)§</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* VF-14: first eyes n=192; second eyes n=86.  
** SIP and VR-SIP: first eyes n=145; second eyes n=72.  
† Significant gain between 4 and 12 months when first eye surgery only; paired t test, p=0.02.  
§ Significant gain between 4 and 12 months, when second eye surgery performed during this interval; paired t test, p<0.05.

**Key points**

1. Gains in visual function and health and vision related quality of life are observed in most patients after cataract surgery.
2. The mean gains in visual function, health, and vision related quality of life are apparent by 4 months after surgery.
3. The mean gains in visual function, health, and vision related quality of life are maintained at 1 year after surgery to the first eye.
4. Second eye surgery confers additional gain in visual function, health, and vision related quality of life.
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