LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Acanthamoeba keratitis: first recorded case from a Palestinian patient with trachoma

Keratitis due to Acanthamoeba is a potentially sight threatening condition if unrecognised, or if inappropriate medical therapy is used. The infection is being recognised worldwide. It is often associated in Europe and in the USA with contact lens wear; elsewhere, particularly in the tropics, it occurs most often in rural communities and can be associated with trauma and mud splashing. We present here the first recorded isolation of Acanthamoeba in a Palestinian patient with keratitis, not associated with either contact lens wear or the patient’s recollection of trauma. The patient suffered from previous trachoma (Fig 1). Herbert’s pits were clearly observable on the superior limbus, although there was no evidence of trichiasis.

CASE REPORT

A 65-year-old female UNRWA refugee presented with a 2 day history of diminished vision (counting fingers) in the right eye. The cornea was hazy and a small (2 mm) central abscess was present. Fundal examination revealed an old central retinal vein occlusion. Initial treatment was topical cephalothin (10%) and topical gentamicin (1.5%) both 2 hourly, and topical homatropine (2%). Local microbiology laboratory investigations proved unhelpful. The condition improved and she was discharged after 4 days.

On first review, 1 week later, there was a significant improvement. Treatment was changed to chloramphenicol (0.5%) ointment and topical Liquifilm three times daily. The patient re-presented 6 weeks later with a 3 day history of severe pain; vision had decreased to light perception. There was an elevated central lesion with surrounding ring abscess. The intraocular pressure was 35 mm Hg. A stromal biopsy was performed the following day and the tissues forwarded to the Tennent Institute in Glasgow, for microbiological analysis.

Meanwhile, with a high index of clinical suspicion of Acanthamoeba infection of the cornea, novel therapy with chlorhexidine (0.02%, 2 hourly) was instituted, in combination with topical dexamethasone (0.1%, four times daily), atropine (1%, twice daily), and topical Polycidin (polymyxin B, neomycin, gramicidin). The combination of chlorhexidine digluconate and propamidine isethionate (as Brolene), known to be effective against Acanthamoeba both in vitro and in vivo, was not used initially because of local unavailability of the diamide. Neomycin was likewise unavailable as a single agent. The treatment brought about a rapid improvement in the appearance of the cornea. The patient was discharged 4 days later, having been taught the procedure for self-administration of the antiprotozoal chemotherapy.

The corneal samples were incubated in a defined medium. After 1 week at 32°C there was prolific growth of Acanthamoeba. No bacteria or fungi were isolated. Drug sensitivity tests were performed (Table 1). Two weeks later, the patient was readmitted with ocular pain. There was marked corneal oedema secondary to rubecious glaucoma, the pressure being 55 mm Hg. Initially the pressure was reasonably well controlled with oral acetazolamide (250 mg, four times daily) and topical Timolol (0.5%, twice daily). There was considerable fluctuation over the following 5 weeks, however, and vision dropped to no perception of light. Ultimately, pressure reduction and comfort were achieved through use of cyclotherapy. By this time, Brolene had been read ministered from Glasgow. At this point there was no perceptible progression of the ring abscess, but the rubecious glaucoma remained problematic.

After discharge 4 weeks later, the patient attended clinically monthly. She was not altogether compliant with the fairly demanding schedule required for self administration of the chlorhexidine–Brolene therapy. The corneal infection, however, appeared to be well controlled using this combination. Two months later, the patient re-presented with an area of central corneal staining and hypopyon. A deep corneal scrape was performed but rigorous microbiological examination failed to reveal any organisms, including Acanthamoeba. The patient was, however, treated with topical chlorhexidine (0.02%, 2 hourly), Brolene (2 hourly), dexamethasone (0.1%, four times daily), and chloramphenicol ointment (twice daily). Within 4 days, there was resolution and the patient was once again discharged. There has been no subsequent recurrence of the ocular disease.

COMMENT

Antibiotic therapy alone was unsuccessful for treatment of the keratitis in this case, resolution being accomplished only when a combination of a cationic antiseptic (chlorhexidine) and an aromatic diamidine (propamidine isethionate) was used. It was considered fortunate that corneal scrapings were forwarded to a centre with expertise in cultivation and identification of Acanthamoeba, since the clinical features in this case were not especially reminiscent of those generally recorded for such an amoebal infection. Traumatic injury is likely to predispose the then compromised cornea to Acanthamoeba infection. For the contact lens wearer, there is now irrefutable evidence to demonstrate that the protozoa are derived from contaminated tap water, which is used as part of the cleaning disinfection procedures for the lenses and associated paraphernalia.

The observations from this case indicate that chronic trachomatous disease may also compromise the corneal surface such as to facilitate invasion by Acanthamoeba, and that this should be considered where other predisposing factors cannot be readily identified. Further, the clinical appearance of the Acanthamoeba infection, as in this case, may not be typical.
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Table 1 Drug sensitivity of Acanthamoeba isolate from cornea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Trophastatin</th>
<th>Cyst</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chlorhexidine</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyhexamethylene</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biguadine</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaxadine</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propamidine</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentamidine</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexamidine</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neomycin</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cytomegalovirus retinitis associated with long term oral corticosteroid use

BOSTON—We examined a patient with a small area of peripheral retinitis in her left eye. She had been using oral corticosteroids continuously for 17 years for asthma. Despite treatment with intravenous acyclovir and laser photocoagulation in one recurrence and a second, the patient developed further exudative retinitis and still had significant Visual field loss. CMV DNA was detected in the aqueous humour using the polymerase chain reaction. She was HIV negative with normal T cell function.
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