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Abstract

Aims—To study the long term results of vitrectomy and silicone oil injection in AIDS patients with retinal detachment due to viral retinitis.

Methods—A consecutive series of 83 eyes in 75 AIDS patients who were treated with vitrectomy and silicone oil for retinal detachment due to viral retinitis was studied prospectively and followed until the last patient in the series had died.

Results—Median postoperative survival was 6 months, but 20% of patients survived 12 months or more. Whereas best corrected visual acuity was 20/100 or better in over half the patients 1–2 months after operation, there was a distressing decrease in acuity by 6 months, owing to a variety of factors. Patients operated on before macular detachment did not have significantly better postoperative vision than those operated within a week after macular detachment. Almost all patients who had already lost vision in the fellow eye and many who had cytomegalovirus retinitis in the fellow eye with retention of good vision had their quality of life improved by the surgery in that the operated eye eventually became the better seeing eye. In no patient whose fellow eye was normal and free of retinitis, however, did the operated eye ever become the better eye.

Conclusions—Although the majority of patients recovered macular vision in the first 1–2 months after operation, there was a gradual decline in acuity thereafter, sometimes without obvious cause. The results of this series suggest that it may be reasonable to postpone surgery until the macula detaches and that patients whose fellow eye is free of retinitis with normal vision are unlikely to have their quality of life improved significantly by the surgery.

Reports have differed, however, regarding the visual results. Initial problems with early postoperative visual loss have improved with changes in technique. These have included the recognition that these patients are unusually susceptible to central retinal artery occlusion by increased intraocular pressure, so that the height of the infusion bottle during surgery and the pressures induced during and immediately after silicone oil injection are kept relatively low. Also important has been the use of a laser barrier and/or more complete silicone fill to prevent spread of recurrent inferior detachment into the fovea.

While the problem of immediate or early postoperative visual loss has diminished, the question of later visual loss remains. A recent large, multicentred trial of the efficacy of silicone oil in AIDS detachments looked only at anatomic reattachment and the retention of ‘ambulatory vision’, and did not address lesser changes in visual acuity during the postoperative course. Those reported series which have followed their patients until death, rather than including patients still alive and only a few months after operation and which have followed the course of visual acuity, have been relatively small. They have, however, frequently shown that after reaching rather good ‘best postoperative acuity’ at 1 to 2 months, their patients had a discouraging later drop in acuity, after 3 to 6 months. This has seemed in excess of what could be accounted for by cataract or obvious macular pathology and has led some to question whether there is progressive optic atrophy due either to the cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection or to possible silicone oil retinal toxicity. This question of late visual loss must be included in any evaluation of the indications for this surgery. The present series of patients is the largest yet reported when all patients have been followed until death.

Materials and methods

Eighty three consecutive eyes of 75 AIDS patients who underwent vitrectomy and intra-vitreal silicone oil injection for detachment due to viral retinitis between October 1989 and December 1993 were evaluated. At the time of statistical analysis the last of these patients had died. The patients were part of a prospective...
study on the efficacy of silicone oil in AIDS patients. The study was approved by our local institutional review board as well as by the FDA, and all patients were adults who had signed an informed consent document. A standard three port pars plana vitrectomy was performed, in which we tried to peel the posterior hyaloid away from the healthy retina if it was not spontaneously detached, but not peeling hyaloid nor membranes from atrophic retina if they did not come away spontaneously. The retina was retatched by endodrainage and air-fluid exchange, and in most cases, endolaser was used to create a barrier below the inferior temporal arcade and coming up above the horizontal meridian nasal to the optic nerve and temporal to the macula, to prevent postoperative recurrent inferior detachment from coming into the macula. A silicone fill was achieved, as completely as possible, by slowly releasing the air through a 27 gauge needle placed through the most superior pars plana while the eye was rotated downwards and the silicone was injected through the inferior cannula. The patients were kept in strict face down position for the first night after operation. Six of the eyes operated on in the early portion of this series underwent encircling scleral buckling at the time of vitrectomy and silicone injection.

Postoperative follow up was often difficult owing to the severe illness of these patients. Whenever possible, they were seen in the surgeon's office, but data were also obtained from referring physicians, from hospital bedside visits, and occasionally from the patients' friends and family. In four eyes of three patients, we failed to obtain a postoperative visual acuity. These were very ill patients who presented only when their second eye detached. A total of 397 post-treatment visual acuity measurements were taken.

For statistical analysis, the time to onset of significant cataract was estimated by the Kaplan–Meier product limit method. The effects of covariates (age, pretreatment refraction, macular status, preoperative visual acuity, and degree of retinal detachment) on the time to visual decrease were examined using multivariate Cox models. The effect of macular status on visual outcome was also examined by non-parametric Mann–Whitney tests at various follow up times. The probability at all postoperative times of having visual acuity at least as good as a given threshold (20/50, 20/100, 20/200, 20/400) were estimated non-parametrically by counting process methods. These estimates were then smoothed for visual presentation. All statistical analyses were performed with S–PLUS for Windows (Mathsoft, Inc, Seattle, WA, USA) supplemented with user written macros.

Results
Survival
The median survival was 6 months, but the distribution had a wide spread. Twenty five per cent of the patients died within 2 months of surgery while 20% lived 12 months or more after operation (Fig 1). For purposes of calculating survival, in those eight patients who underwent bilateral surgery, we utilised only the time from operation on the first eye.

Vision
In 81% of the eyes the macula was detached at the time of surgery and, in a few cases, retinitis involved the macula before the detachment. Of the 79 eyes for which postoperative acuities were obtained, 32% of patients achieved a best postoperative vision of 20/20–20/50, 34% achieved 20/60–20/100, 22% reached 20/200–20/400, and only 13% achieved less than 20/400. This best acuity was usually achieved by 1–2 months after operation. The disappointing finding was how rapidly the acuity dropped thereafter. By 6 months, the numbers of eyes in the 20/20–20/50 and the 20/60–20/100 range had been cut by about half (Fig 2). There were a number of causes for the decrease in acuity. Of 30 eyes which had a best postoperative vision better than or equal to 20/100 and which were followed 6 months, only nine maintained vision in the 20/100 or better range at 6 months. In six eyes, spread of retinitis into the fovea explained the decrease in vision, and in two eyes recurrent retinal detachment crossed into the fovea from below. In another six eyes the vision of 20/200 or worse was felt to be consistent with the cataract. In the remaining seven eyes no cause other than optic atrophy was recognised.

The macula was detached in 67 eyes and attached in 16 eyes at the time of surgery. Like Kupperman et al, we found that postoperative vision was not significantly affected by whether the macula was detached preoperatively. Almost all macula off cases were operated on within 4 days of the time the macula detached. Like Freeman et al, we have been surprised by the rapid and excellent return in acuity in patients with CMV detachments whose macula had been off for up to a week. Freeman et al suggested that the youth and relatively solid vitreous in these patients may have kept
the macular detachments shallow and this accounted for the rapid visual return.

A postoperative refraction was recorded in 52 of the 77 eyes which did not undergo scleral buckling at the time of vitrectomy. The change from preoperative to first postoperative refraction was relatively uniform with the great majority showing between a 5 and 7 dioptre hyperopic shift and a mean change of 6.1 dioptres. Preoperative refraction was taken from the patients’ spectacle prescription before the detachment in cases with macular detachment.

The mean time from onset of CMV retinitis until retinal detachment surgery was 5.5 months for the eyes with greater than 3 dioptres of myopia, 6.5 months for those with 1–3 dioptres of myopia, 8 months for those with less than 1 dioptre of myopia, and 6.5 months for those with 1 dioptre of myopia, 6 months for those with 3 dioptres of myopia, and 8 months for those with 0.5 dioptres. Preoperative refraction was taken from the patients’ spectacle prescription before the detachment in cases with macular detachment.

The mean time from onset of CMV retinitis until retinal detachment surgery was 5.5 months for the eyes with greater than 3 dioptres of myopia, 6.5 months for those with 1–3 dioptres of myopia, and 8 months for those with less than 1 dioptre of myopia, supporting the concept that myopia may be a predisposing factor to detachment in these patients.

Cataract seems an inevitable complication of vitrectomy and complete silicone fill. We graded cataracts semiquantitatively on a 1–4 scale of severity. A grade 3 cataract was defined as that degree of lens opacity which the observer felt might reduce vision to 20/200. The mean time from onset of a grade 3 cataract was 7.3 months. The median time to 3+ cataract was 7.3 months. The estimated median time to 3+ cataract was 7.3 months.

Discussion
Since survival was 6 months or more in half the cases in this series, and 12 months or more in 20%, it seems important to look past the ‘best postoperative acuity’. We found a discouraging decline in vision after the best acuity was reached at 1–2 months. Even the initial best results, however, are a bit misleading, for the silicone oil neutralises the back surface of the lens, thus producing an approximately 6 dioptre hyperopic shift.17 18 If the other eye still sees normally, this produces such anisometropia that the operated eye is usually not corrected. The patient thus gets little benefit from the operated eye, as long as the other eye maintains normal vision. Since cataract appears to be one major cause of postoperative visual loss, one would expect a cataract extraction with intraocular lens implantation (using either a meniscus or a convex plano IOL)19 would allow restoration of both visual acuity and refractive balance between the two eyes.

Unfortunately, the patients we have seen who have undergone cataract surgery, both from this series and those done more recently, none has achieved better than 20/200 following cataract removal.

The poor visual results after cataract surgery and the patients with visual loss with no obvious cause other than optic atrophy, raise the question of whether CMV retinitis can cause an indolent progressive optic atrophy.11 Alternatively the question of possible silicone oil retinal toxicity arises.11 To study the question of postoperative optic atrophy, Kuperman et al graded the optic atrophy photographically and found no difference on masked comparison with the fellow eye.9 Any photographic evaluation of disc pallor comparing one eye with postoperative nuclear sclerosis and the fellow eye with a clear lens seems questionable, however. The only long term clinical studies on silicone oil have involved patients

---

**Figure 2** Non-parametric smoothed estimates for the proportion of eyes retaining visual acuity of at least 20/50, 20/100, 20/200, and 20/400. The proportion of time 0 is equal to the cohort proportion at the time of treatment. The post-treatment improvement in visual acuity decreased to the pre-treatment level at about 6 months.

**Figure 3** Kaplan–Meier estimate of the proportion of patients developing 3+ nuclear cataracts. Patients without known cataract development were censored at their last ophthalmic visit. The first follow up visit with 3+ cataract was used for the time of onset. The 95% confidence intervals (broken lines) are also displayed. The estimated median time to 3+ cataract was 7.3 months.
with proliferative vitreoretinopathy. In those series, very few patients had had postoperative vision better than 20/200, and some authors have stated that the poor vision and complex clinical courses would have made it impossible to detect evidence of retinal toxicity. Thus, we have no data on a significant number of patients with vitreomacular detachment to operate on was in the only eye. Even when the fellow eye had good vision but had retinitis, the operated eye became the better eye, and thus improved the quality of life, in a sufficient number to warrant surgery. When the fellow eye was normal with no retinitis, however, the odds of the operated eye ever becoming the better eye were so insignificant that one must question the value of surgery. It is a psychological blow to go blind in one eye, and one might argue that patients with this terrible disease already suffer enough psychological trauma. None the less, the results of this series indicate that one should at least consider leaving the first eye unoperated if there is no retinitis in the normal fellow eye.

Another guideline the authors have taken from the results of this study has been to delay surgery usually until the macula detaches. Our reasons are multiple. A few patients have had catastrophic visual loss immediately postoperatively. Even successful cases have visual compromise due to the refractive changes, and thus note a decrease in vision postoperatively if the macula was attached before surgery. We found no significant difference in postoperative vision between patients whose macula was attached and those whose macula was detached for a few days. Finally, even the remote possibility of silicone toxicity causes us to prefer to delay surgery until the macula detaches so as to decrease the time silicone will be in contact with the retina in these patients with limited lifespan.
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