Reproducibility of topographic measures of the glaucomatous optic nerve head
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Abstract

Aims/background—Laser scanning tomography provides an assessment of three dimensional optic disc topography. For the clinical purpose of follow up of glaucoma patients, the repeatability of the various measured variables is essential. In the present study, the reproducibility of morphometric variables calculated by the topographic scanning system, TopSS (Laser Diagnostic Technology, San Diego, CA) was investigated.

Methods—Two independent measurements (30 minutes apart) each consisting of three complete images of the optic disc were performed on 16 eyes of 16 glaucoma patients using a TopSS. The instrument calculates 14 morphometric variables for the characterisation of the optic disc.

Results—From the two tailed paired tests, all variables were seen to have good reproducibility. However, correlation and regression analyses showed that only the three variables, volume below, half depth area, and average cup depth, are acceptably reproducible.

Conclusion—The TopSS provides three variables which describe the physiological shape of the optic disc that have high reproducibility. These three variables might be useful for following the progression of optic disc changes in glaucoma patients.

(Br J Ophthalmol 1998;82:14–17)
drawn contour area, is calculated from the average diameter and is therefore also strictly dependent on operator choice. In addition, AD and, as a consequence, TA are held fixed by the instrument from first to second measurement. Hence, the question of repeatability does not arise for the two variables, AD and TA.

The remaining 12 TopSS variables are defined as follows. Height variation (HV) is the difference between the maximum height and the minimum height values along the perimeter of the user drawn contour area, relative to the reference plane. Modulation (M) is the height variation divided by the sum of the maximum height and the minimum height values along the perimeter of the user drawn contour area, relative to the reference plane. Effective area (EA) is the cup area located 100 µm below the total area. Neuroretinal rim area (NRA) is the area at a height located half way between the average height along the perimeter of the user drawn contour area and the deepest points of the cup. Half depth volume (HDV) is the volume of the cup below the half depth area. Maximum cup depth (MCD) is the average depth of the lowest 5% of all height values. Average cup depth (ACD) is the average of all height values located half way between the average height and the deepest points of the cup. Cup to disc ratio (CDR) is the ratio between the effective area and the total area. The units of measurement of all 14 variables are mm, mm², mm³ or unitless for distances, areas, volumes, or ratios, respectively.

**PATIENTS**

Sixteen eyes of 16 subjects with glaucoma were enrolled in the study. Although there is no need for the eyes to be glaucomatous to assess the reproducibility of the TopSS, the instrument is ultimately aimed at measurements on this group and it is appropriate to use the instrument to address the progression of optic disc properties of these patients. Their mean age was 70.3 (SD 14.9) years. There were six women and 10 men, all of whom had primary open angle glucoma with characteristic glaucomatous optic disc cupping and corresponding visual field loss. All eyes were close to emmetropia (plus or minus 3 dioptres), had non-astigmatic spherical cornea, had clear media, and had intraocular pressure less than 20 mm Hg on medications. Because the group tested consisted of glaucoma patients who were receiving glaucoma medications that may affect pupil size, all pupils were dilated to have uniformity in the tests (tropicamide 0.5% and phenylephrine hydrochloride 10%). The minimum pupil diameter following pharmacological mydriasis for inclusion in the study was 5 mm. The worse eye (larger cupping and greater visual field defect) from each subject was selected. All eyes were close to emmetropia (plus or minus 3 dioptres), had non-astigmatic spherical cornea, had clear media, and had intraocular pressure less than 20 mm Hg on medications. Because the group tested consisted of glaucoma patients who were receiving glaucoma medications that may affect pupil size, all pupils were dilated to have uniformity in the tests (tropicamide 0.5% and phenylephrine hydrochloride 10%). The minimum pupil diameter following pharmacological mydriasis for inclusion in the study was 5 mm. The worse eye (larger cupping and greater visual field defect) from each subject was selected. Informed consent was obtained from all patients.

**STUDY DESIGN**

The following protocol was followed for each patient in the study. All images were obtained by the same operator. A first measurement, comprising a set of three sequential images, was obtained with the TopSS creating a first baseline image. Intervisit conditions were

---

**Table 1 Comparison of first and second measurement of topographic variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>First*</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Second*</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Paired test†</th>
<th>Absolute change‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average diameter</td>
<td>1.741</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>1.741</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total area</td>
<td>2.353</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>2.353</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height variation</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean contour height</td>
<td>-0.039</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>-0.041</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective area</td>
<td>0.931</td>
<td>0.416</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroretinal rim area</td>
<td>1.422</td>
<td>0.543</td>
<td>1.418</td>
<td>0.491</td>
<td>0.836</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume below</td>
<td>-0.273</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>-0.275</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume above</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.465</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half depth area</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half depth volume</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>-0.053</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.399</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum cup depth</td>
<td>-0.675</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td>-0.670</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average cup depth</td>
<td>-0.244</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>-0.249</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.690</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cup to disc ratio</td>
<td>0.404</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>0.404</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean values for first and second measurements are given in units of mm, mm², mm³ or unitless, as appropriate.
†Paired test (p value) compares first and second measurement on the same patient.
‡Average of the absolute value of the change from the first to second measurement on the same patient.
mimicked by removing the patient from the instrument and resetting the controls. A second measurement, comprising an additional set of three images, was then obtained after an interval of 30 minutes, creating a second baseline image. One contour area was defined by the operator for use in analysing both the first and second baseline images. The two baseline images constitute the first and second measurements, respectively, as described throughout the remainder of this work. Since the TopSS is making a non-invasive optical measurement on the eye, an interval of 30 minutes is sufficient to ensure that the second measurement is a procedure that is independent of the first measurement, and that the eye being measured has not changed in the interval. The criteria of this study are aimed at finding variables that have stable and reproducible enough values that they would be useful for following the progression of a disease. All morphometric variables calculated by the TopSS for each of the two measurements on each patient were statistically evaluated for paired differences, percentage absolute change, correlation coefficients, and linear regression analysis. Determining what statistical measures to use to test for reproducibility is an open topic. None of the statistical tests taken alone is sufficient to use as a criterion for reproducibility; however, each of these tests is a necessary condition. Taking all mentioned tests gives some reasonable semblance of assurance that a variable is reproducible.

### Results

Mean values and standard deviations of the 14 variables are presented in Table 1 for the measurements taken on all the patients. All 14 variables have good reproducibility (p>0.05) as derived from Table 1. The inhomogeneity in the patient to patient results for the 14 variables can be seen (95% confidence intervals) in Figure 1. However, MCH and HDV show poor reproducibility (more than 10% change) as derived from the analysis of absolute change (percentage absolute change: 100 times half the absolute change divided by the average of the first and second mean value) as shown in Table 1 and Figure 2. The absolute change, as defined here, is a quantity close in concept to that propounded by Bland and Altman for assessing agreement between two methods of measurement or for reproducibility between repeated measurements. The average of the percentage average change is used in Table 1 and Figure 2 as a measure of this type of reproducibility.

Despite the apparent good repeatability from the paired test, correlation and regression analyses impose additional requirements for repeatability. Nine variables (MCH, EA, NRA, VB, VA, HDA, MCD, ACD, and CDR) appear to have good repeatability from the Pearson correlation coefficient (>0.9). Only four variables (MCH, VB, HDA, and ACD) were reproducible from the linear regression slope (within plus or minus 0.1 of unity). All but two variables (EA and NRA) were reproducible.
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The three variables that satisfy all five statistical criteria simultaneously are volume below, half depth area, and average cup depth as displayed in Table 2.

Discussion

There has been considerable previous work on the subject of the reproducibility of computerised methods of measuring optic nerve head topography. These works focused on the repeatability of signals at the pixel level, and other technical reproducibility issues. A different approach is taken in this work—namely, we evaluated the instrument as it would be used in a clinical setting and examined the output variables that the instrument has intended for clinical assessment of the optic disc.

On the basis of the present results, the TopSS may provide three variables—average cup depth, volume below and half depth area—that might be reproducible enough to be used for following the progression of optic disc changes in a patient. These three variables are of clinical use for glaucoma since they describe the overall geometry of the cup—namely, its depth (ACD), volume (VB), and cross sectional area (HDA). In an eye with glaucoma, the bundles of axons of the optic nerve are destroyed causing focal or concentric thinning of the neural rim and consequently focal or concentric horizontal enlargement of the cup. In other cases, loss of optic nerve axons manifests itself as deepening of the cup, either one of these change the cup volume. These changes are detected with the TopSS by following the variables HDA, which represents the horizontal disc enlargement, the ACD, which represents the cup depth, and VB, which represents the cup volume and is a function of both the above variables. It appears from our study that these three variables are the most reproducible ones and therefore useful to detect changes in optic nerve head topography of glaucoma patients.

Given the criteria chosen here for repeatability of the morphometric variables, a physiological change would need to be larger than the expected “measurement spread” to be noticed as a real change in the morphology of the optic disc. It needs to be determined whether physiological changes in these variables will be great enough during the time interval between patient visits to be detected relative to the inherent uncertainty in the measurement of these variables. Nevertheless, the TopSS provides three variables that are highly reproducible and thus could be clinically useful for following the progression of optic nerve head change in the group of glaucoma patients.
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