Commentary

Terminology of sub-RPE deposits: do we all speak the same language?

So called hyaline excrescences on the lamina vitrea (or Bruch's membrane) have been a matter of debate for almost 150 years now. In 1877, Meyer speculates in Graefes Archive 7–9 that “the very first beginning of drusen lies within Bruch’s membrane and is due to tiny refractive nodules or droplets excreted by the RPE”. He considered drusen as being associated not only with age, but also with inflammation and tumours and with the state of nutrition of the retina. It is amazing how little additional fundamental information concerning pathogenesis and evolution is available more than 100 years later.

Today, there is little doubt that sub-RPE (retinal pigment epithelium) deposits are somehow involved in the pathogenesis of age related macular degeneration (ARMD). However, the classical term “druse” is used by clinicians and histopathologists to describe a variety of excrescences on Bruch’s membrane that differ morphologically as well as biochemically and ophthalmoscopically. The more the information has been added to this subject, the more confusing the terminology has become.

There are three major methods of classifying extracellular sub-RPE material. Ophthalmoscopically one evaluates not only the clinical picture, but also the patient’s age, any family history, and additional investigations such as angiography with fluorescein or indocyanine green. Histopathologically, the tissue abnormalities are evaluated by conventional light or electron microscopy, and, thirdly, deposits can be investigated by various immunohistochemical or biochemical techniques. The common aim, of course, is to correlate all these findings in order to help our understanding of the development of ARMD and, thereby, to find better and earlier treatment modalities compared with the symptomatic treatment that is currently available. Unless, however, the same terminology is used to compare and correlate data, any analysis will only describe a very small aspect of a complex disorder and is likely to cause confusion rather than help to find a solution to the problem.

One of the most important criteria in classifying these deposits is, of course, related to their significance in neovascular membrane formation.

A clinicofunduscopic classification of sub-RPE deposits has been given by Bressler et al 2 in 1994 and by the International ARM Epidemiological Study Group in 1995, 5 and large drusen and focal hyperpigmentation have been shown to be a serious clinical risk factor. 5 Pauleikhoff and others 6 8 have tried to correlate certain biochemical features such as lipid content and composition with in vitro fluorescein uptake. Other biochemical investigations revealed further components of sub-RPE deposits such as laminin, fibronectin, and glycoproteins. 9 Using immunohistochemistry, the presence of ubiquitin, which is the key protein for ATP dependent proteolysis and a member of the stress protein family, has recently been demonstrated. 10 Bearing in mind that the clinical description of sub-RPE deposits is limited compared with histopathology, how can we still correlate patient data and immunohistochemical findings with morphological classification? And, even more importantly, what terminology do we base our morphological/histopathological classification upon?

Obviously, morphology only represents a single time point in an ongoing evolution within sub-RPE deposits. Therefore, it is not surprising to find transitional stages as well as different types of deposit next to each other. By light microscopy, two major entities of deposits can be distinguished: drusen, by definition, are located within Bruch’s membrane—that is, beneath the basal lamina or basement membrane of the RPE, and can be further subclassified, according to their shape and structure. The majority of drusen are well circumscribed, especially the so called “hard” drusen. The “soft” or “confluent” type tends to be larger and less homogeneous. Quite often partial calcification of drusen can be observed in either variant. An entirely different type of deposit is found between the basement membrane and the cytoplasmic membrane of the RPE.

By light microscopy this deposit usually extends over a larger area than drusen and is in its advanced or “late” stage characterised by a linear palisade-like appearance, hence the original term basal linear deposit. 11 12 There are strands of irregular material bridging Bruch’s membrane and the elevated RPE. Usually, the overlying RPE remains intact, thus making the deposit invisible for the clinician on ophthalmoscopy. In a trichrome stain (particularly the Mallory), the deposit typically adopts the staining characteristics of collagen while in a periodic acid Schiff (PAS) stain it also reveals some staining properties of glycoproteins. By electron microscopy, the material starts as small excrescences on the basement membrane or the basal lamina of the RPE, so that it was logical to describe the abnormality as “basal laminar deposit”. As the deposit progresses, one of the most obvious components is long spacing collagen, 12 13 which is frequently found in aging basement membrane material in other parts of the body and also some ocular tissues (for example, in Descemet’s membrane and in the trabecular meshwork, see also van der Schaft et al 14). With further accumulation of extracellular material between the cell body and its basement membrane, the RPE eventually detaches completely in a shallow configuration over variably sized areas. Unfortunately, even at this stage there is no reliable clinical equivalent for this type of deposit because even advanced deposits still tend to be obscured by the RPE. The descriptive term for this deposit was first introduced by Sarks as basal linear deposit. 15 Sarks and her colleagues as well as others have demonstrated the frequent association of this deposit with choroidal neovascularisation and have stressed the importance in relation to disciform degeneration. 14 15 Some time later for reasons explained above the deposit was renamed basal laminar deposit, while basal linear deposit was introduced as a term for vesicular material beneath the RPE basement membrane by Green and Enger.16 This caused considerable confusion, especially since the abbreviation BLD can represent both entities. In addition, van der Schaft et al equated basal laminar deposit (BLD) with long spacing collagen that only represents one component of the material and can occur on both sides of the RPE.
The identification of the residue of diffuse drusen is much more difficult. Considering the relevance of individual types of sub-RPE deposits for the development of neovascular ARMD, there is general agreement in the literature about the morphology of deposits that are commonly seen in the presence of vascular proliferations beneath the RPE. As Green and Enger have convincingly shown, subretinal neovascularisation and disciform scars are most frequently associated with soft drusen and BMD. In our series, albeit smaller, we found similar results (Lee, unpublished data). There is significant photoreceptor loss associated with soft drusen and even more so with BMD, and the vast majority of disciform degeneration scars are found to contain strips of BMD. This has also been confirmed by ultrastructural studies of surgically excised subretinal membranes. The identification of the residue of diffuse drusen within neovascular scars is much more difficult.

At this point we feel that, in order to further study the pathogenesis of ARMD, the use of an unequivocal terminology of sub-RPE deposits is a prerequisite to any further investigations.
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