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Abstract

**Aims**—To examine the extent of anterior capsule contraction as well as intraocular lens (IOL) decentration and tilt following implant surgery in eyes with pseudoexfoliation syndrome (PE).

**Methods**—53 eyes from 53 patients with PE and 53 control eyes from 53 age-matched patients, undergoing phacoemulsification and implant surgery, were recruited. The anterior capsule opening area and the amounts of IOL decentration and tilt after undergoing continuous curvilinear capsulorhexis were measured using the Scheimpflug videophotography system at 1 week and 1, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months postoperatively.

**Results**—The mean area of the anterior capsule opening in the PE group was significantly smaller than that in the control group at 1 month postoperatively and later. The percentage reductions in the PE group were approximately 25%, while they were less than 10% in the control group. The degree of IOL decentration and tilt after undergoing continuous curvilinear capsulorhexis were measured using the Scheimpflug videophotography system at 1 week and 1, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months postoperatively.

**Conclusions**—The contraction of the anterior capsule opening was more extensive in the PE eyes than in the control eyes, thus resulting in a high Nd:YAG laser anterior capsulotomy rate. The IOL tilt was also greater in the PE eyes than in the control eyes.

(Br J Ophthalmol 1998;82:1429–1432)

It has been demonstrated that the anterior capsule opening after continuous curvilinear capsulorhexis gradually constricts to some extent in any eyes. More importantly, the contraction of the anterior capsule opening tends to occur excessively in some kinds of pathological eyes and often impairs the patient's vision. Researchers have demonstrated diabetes mellitus and retinitis pigmentosa to be predisposing conditions for extensive contraction and fibrosis in the anterior capsule. Davison also reported eyes with pseudoexfoliation (PE) syndrome, which showed a marked constriction of the capsulorhexis opening and therefore required a neodymium (Nd):YAG laser anterior capsulotomy. Since the zonules have been demonstrated to be weak in PE syndrome, the anterior capsule contraction due to capsular shrinkage may be stronger than that observed in the healthy eyes.

Similarly, the dislocation of the implanted intraocular lens (IOL) is also considered to be greater in some pathological eyes than in healthy eyes. We found the decentration and tilt of the IOL in the eyes with retinitis pigmentosa to be larger than those in healthy eyes. In the PE eyes reported by Davison, the IOL also showed a marked displacement due to extensive capsule shrinkage.

The objective of this study was to investigate the contraction of the anterior capsule opening and the extent of IOL decentration and tilt in PE syndrome. We also examined the incidence of the PE eyes which had to undergo an Nd:YAG laser anterior capsulotomy.

**Patients and methods**

Fifty three eyes from 53 patients with PE as well as 53 control eyes from 53 age-matched patients, which were all scheduled to have phacoemulsification and IOL implant surgery between 1 June 1996 and 30 March 1997, were recruited for this study. Of the 53 eyes with PE, 24 eyes had pseudoexfoliative glaucoma, while 29 eyes did not. When we operated on the bilateral eyes, only the first operated eye was included in the analysis. For each eye from the patients with PE, a control eye from a non-PE patient was also selected within the 5 year age group. The preoperative exclusion criteria were (1) cataracts due to aetiology other than age-related changes, (2) a history of prior ocular surgery or inflammation, and (3) ocular pathologies such as diabetes mellitus and retinitis pigmentosa. All eyes had soft acrylic IOLs implanted with a 6.0 mm round optic (MA60BM; Alcon Surgical Inc, Fort Worth, TX, USA). Informed consent was obtained from all patients included in this study.

All surgeries were performed by a single surgeon (KH). The surgical procedures have all been previously described. In brief, an approximately 3.5 mm scleral pocket incision was made for the soft acrylic IOL implantation. After the incision, continuous curvilinear capsulorhexis measuring about 5.5 mm in diameter was accomplished using a bent...
Table 2  Mean area of the anterior capsule opening (mm²) and the percentage reduction in the PE and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postoperative interval</th>
<th>Area (mm²) (SD)</th>
<th>PE group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>25.4 (4.4)</td>
<td>26.5 (3.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3185*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>21.1 (6.3)</td>
<td>25.1 (4.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0124†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>19.4 (7.0)</td>
<td>23.3 (5.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0219†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>20.1 (7.2)</td>
<td>24.2 (5.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0260†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 months</td>
<td>19.9 (7.5)</td>
<td>24.6 (4.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0143†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>19.7 (7.8)</td>
<td>24.8 (4.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0082†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postoperative interval</th>
<th>Percentage reduction (SD)</th>
<th>PE group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>17.5 (16.4)</td>
<td>5.6 (8.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0002†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>24.7 (20.4)</td>
<td>12.7 (13.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0067†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>23.9 (20.4)</td>
<td>9.4 (13.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0006†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 months</td>
<td>23.9 (21.8)</td>
<td>7.3 (11.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0005†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>22.3 (19.8)</td>
<td>6.7 (11.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0008†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not significant; †statistically significant.

Figure 1  Comparison of the mean area of the anterior capsule opening between the PE and control groups. No statistical difference was observed in the capsulorhexis area at 1 week after surgery between the two groups. However, at 1 month and later, the mean area in the PE group was significantly smaller than that in the control group. *Not statistically significant; †statistically significant.

Figure 2  Comparison of the mean percentage reduction of the area of the anterior capsule opening between the PE and control groups. The percentage reduction in the area in the PE group was significantly greater than that in the control group throughout the follow up period. †Statistically significant.

Rately placed in the capsular bag using a push and pull hook.

When the capsulorhexis opening was constricted to such an extent that the patient’s vision was impaired, an anterior capsulotomy using an Nd:YAG laser was performed.8,17 We determined the basic criterion for the anterior capsulotomy to be a reduced opening area of less than 10 mm².8 When the capsulorhexis area became less than 10 mm², the anterior capsule edge usually covered a portion of the pupillary area, thus leading to visual acuity loss or blurred vision. The surgical procedures of the Nd:YAG laser capsulotomy have all been previously described.8 In brief, an approximately 1 mm length of the anterior capsule edge with subcapsular fibrotic tissue was cut in four cross directions by the Nd:YAG laser burst.

Periodic examinations to quantify the anterior capsule opening area as well as the decen- tration length and tilt angle of the IOL were performed at 1 week, and 1, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months after surgery using the Anterior Eye Segment Analysis System (EAS 1000; Nidek Inc, Gamagori, Japan). The measurement methods to quantify the anterior capsule opening area, as well as the decen- tration length and tilt angle with the EAS-1000 system have all been described in previous studies.2,18 The best corrected visual acuity was also measured on decimal charts at all intervals. All measurements with the EAS-1000 system were carried out by three ophthalmic technicians, who were not informed of the purpose of this study.

Statistical analyses were performed to compare the differences in the measurement data between the PE and control groups using the Mann-Whitney U test. The categoric variables were compared using the χ² test. Any differences showing a p value of less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Table 1 demonstrates the patient characteristics. The average patient age was 73.6 (SD 6.0) years old, with a range from 60 to 92. No statistically significant differences were found between the PE and control groups regarding age, sex, or the ratio of the left and right eyes. In the first examination after full mydriasis at 1 week after surgery, all IOLs were confirmed to be placed in the capsular bag.

Table 2 summarises the mean area of the anterior capsule opening and the percentage reduction in the PE and control groups. The data are also displayed in Figures 1 and 2. The Mann-Whitney U test showed no significant difference in the mean capsulorhexis area at 1 week after surgery. However, at 1 month and later, the area in the PE group was significantly smaller than that in the control group. In addition, the percentage reduction in the PE group was also significantly greater than that in the control group. In the seven eyes (13.2%) with PE, the area markedly decreased to less than 10 mm², but no eyes in the control group showed such a remarkable reduction. The visual acuity loss of these eyes from the best

needle. Following a hydrodissection, phaco-emulsification of the nucleus and cortex aspiration were performed. The lens capsule was inflated with 1% sodium hyaluronate, and then the IOL was inserted into the capsular bag. At the end of surgery, the surgeon confirmed whether or not the IOLs were accu-
postoperative acuity on the decimal charts was as follows; (1) two eyes, two lines or more, (2) three eyes, one line, (3) two eyes, no decrease.

Table 3 lists the mean IOL decentration length and tilt angle. The data of the tilt angle are also shown in Figure 3. The mean degree of the tilt in the PE group was significantly greater than that in the control group. However, the mean decentration length was almost the same between the two groups.

Five eyes (9.4%) in the PE group underwent an anterior capsulotomy within 12 months postoperatively. The opening area of these eyes were all less than 10 mm². The average interval between implant surgery and capsulotomy was 6.3 (3.8) months.

When comparing the eyes with and without glaucoma in the PE group, no statistical difference was observed regarding either the area reduction of the anterior capsule opening or the IOL decentration and tilt.

Discussion

Many kinds of pathologies are supposed to be predisposing factors for excessive capsule contraction after extracapsular cataract surgery.⁴ Davison previously reported on eyes with PE, which demonstrated a severe anterior capsule contraction with IOL displacement, and he attributed this complication to zonular weakness in PE syndrome.⁵

Our study clarified that the area reduction in the anterior capsule opening in the PE eyes was greater than that in the control eyes. The percentage reduction of the area in the PE group was approximately 25%, while it was less than 10% in the control group. Furthermore, 13.2% of the PE eyes also showed an excessive reduction in the area to less than 10 mm².

These eyes all demonstrated either visual acuity loss or blurred vision. We therefore performed a radial anterior relaxing capsulotomy using an Nd:YAG laser for 9.4% of the PE eyes. Thus, the incidence of PE eyes which underwent a capsulotomy was considerably high compared with the other predisposing condition such as diabetes.

The IOL tilt was also demonstrated to be greater in the PE eyes than in the healthy eyes, whereas the decentration was almost the same between the two groups. We could not explain the reason why such an inconsistency exists regarding the results between the lens tilt and decentration. However, the greater the extent of the IOL tilt suggested that capsule shrinkage after extracapsular cataract surgery may be stronger in PE eyes than in the healthy eyes.

Many clinical and morphological studies demonstrated that the zonular apparatus in PE syndrome is friable and also occasionally causes spontaneous zonular disruption.⁶⁻⁹ Assia et al reported the stretching capability of the zonules to be weak in PE eyes.¹⁰ Furthermore, PE was also demonstrated to be a risk factor for developing zonular dialyses during extracapsular cataract surgery.¹¹⁻¹² As a result, an excessive degree of postoperative capsule shrinkage can be reasonably attributed to the zonular weakness in the PE eyes, which thus led to severe anterior capsule contraction and a greater extent of the IOL tilt.¹³⁻¹⁵

In this study, the contraction of the anterior capsule opening as well as the IOL decentration and tilt were similar between the eyes with and without glaucoma. These results thus suggest that the capsule shrinkage, which is possibly due to zonular weakness may not be related to the coexistence of glaucoma. Bartholomew also reported that long standing glaucoma plays no part in lens displacement.¹⁶

In conclusion, the contraction of the anterior capsule opening and IOL tilt was greater in the PE eyes than in the healthy eyes. Experienced surgeons are surely aware of the intraoperative risk of zonular dialysis when performing implant surgery for PE eyes. However, we must also be careful to find anterior capsule constriction in the early postoperative period. We usually perform an Nd:YAG laser anterior capsulotomy when the patient’s vision is impaired. However, a careful follow up is necessary to confirm the long term safety of an anterior capsulotomy.
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