Complex mechanisms are involved in mucosal defence at the air/epithelium interface. There are apparently two lines of protection. The first, which we are interested in here, prevents colonisation by micro-organisms on and through the epithelium. It is a non-inflammatory process, mainly mediated by (i) well known defence factors such as lysozyme and lactoferrin, (ii) specific secretory immunoglobulins A (sIgA), appropriate to surface protection, elicited by both local antigen administration and stimulation at related distal sites, and (iii) factors with cysteine proteinase inhibitor activity such as cystatin. Table 1 indicates the distribution of some of these proteins in several mucosal fluids. Together, these different factors keep potentially harmful micro-organisms and antigens out of the body. The second line of protection triggers an inflammatory response. This takes place when foreign material has penetrated the epithelium. It consists of a non-specific action of various systems and of a specific amplification of humoral and cellular immune response. Depending on the requirement of a particular body site, the composition of each secretion will be tuned appropriately to play numerous roles: wetting of the surface, exchange of gases, intake of nutrition and excretion of waste products, chemical and microbial defence, mechanical entrapment, and removal of potentially harmful micro-organisms and compounds.

The anterior part of the eye is protected by the mechanical action of blinking and the washing action of tears. The tear film maintains comfort and serves as the optical surface. Following the work of many researchers in this field, the classic concept of a three layered, aqueous dominated tear film has been replaced by the more likely concept of a mucin dominated gel, hydrated by aqueous fluid containing electrolytes, small organic compounds, and many proteins. An inflammatory response in healthy eyes is rare and the tear fluid plays a significant role in protecting the eyes.

The first line of protection depends on the nature of the protein components present in the secretion: besides secretory IgA four proteins—lysozyme, lactoferrin, cystatin, and tear lipocalin—make up nearly 50% of the protein content. This site specific secretion composition enables tears to perform their protective function.

Lysozyme and lactoferrin properties have been known for a long time. Lysozyme is a powerful bacteriolytic agent, the specific action of which is the destruction of the cell walls of susceptible Gram positive bacteria; lactoferrin is an iron binding protein that impedes iron utilisation by bacteria and causes bacteriostasis as a result of its iron sequestering properties, but more than mere iron starvation may be involved in the antibacterial action of this protein.

An important cysteine proteinase inhibitor activity is present in tears. Proteinases are classified according to their catalytic mechanisms; serine proteinases, aspartic proteinases, and metalloproteinases are among the recognised mechanistic classes. Different kinds of endogenous proteinase inhibitors are present in tissues and body fluids; their role is to regulate protein metabolism or protect tissues from proteolytic attacks by bacteria or viruses. The physiological activities of cysteine proteinases are controlled by a set of protein inhibitors that constitute the cystatin superfamily. Cystatins, like mucins, constitute a group of small proteins (MW around 14 000) present in all mucosal secretions. In tears (and in saliva) the predominant cystatin is cystatin S, but others are present. This has been clearly demonstrated by both immunological techniques (enzyme linked immunosorbent assay and immunoblots), and titrating papain, a cysteine proteinase of known specific activity, in the presence of tear fluid. Unlike the standard mechanism of action described for serine protease inhibitors (formation of a stable protein/proteinase inhibitor complex, followed by an irreversible hydrolysis at the inhibitor active site) the reaction of cystatin with the cysteine proteinase does not imply the formation of a covalent bond. The members of the cystatin superfamily (for a review see Henskens et al) contain highly conserved domains (among these, QxVxG from 55 to 59, and W at position 106) that participate in inhibition. These domains are located far apart in the amino acid sequence but are spatially contiguous on the surface of the protein, forming a wedge-shaped edge highly

| Table 1 Tear proteins implicated in defence and their corresponding genes: tear lipocalin has recently been demonstrated to be expressed in the secretory cells of the tracheal epithelium. However, no data are at present available on its presence in the bronchial mucus |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Protein | Protein distribution | Corresponding gene | Chromosomal localisation |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Lysozyme | ++++ | LYZ | 12 |
| Lactoferrin | ++++ | LACT | 3 |
| Tear lipocalin (VEGP) | ++++ | LCN1 | 9q 34.3 |
| Secretory IgA | ++++ | SC or PIGR | 14q32.33 |
| Secretory immunoglobulin A | ++ | IGF | 1q31-q42 |
| Secretory component | MUC1 | CST3 | 1q21-24 |
| Secretory component | MUC5AC | MUC5AC | 11p15 |
complementary to the active site of papain. The role of cystatins as proteinase inhibitors is well established.

Early this year, another protein, the tear lipocalin, was unexpectedly described by a Dutch team as playing a role in the immunological defence against microorganisms and viruses. Tear lipocalin (TL; MW 17 000, no glycosylation, tertiary structure unknown at present), is a protein present in large amounts in tears (about 20% of total protein content), and long considered tear specific. Further interest was aroused when it was discovered that this protein, for which no function was clearly described, belonged to the lipocalin family. This family is composed predominantly of secreted proteins, with similar subunit molecular weights (around 20 kDa); comparison of the three dimensional structure of some of the members (their archetype is retinol binding protein, RBP) reveals striking secondary and tertiary structure homologies: a protein fold forming a β barrel, consisting of two sets of four antiparallel β strands and an α helix near the C terminus, provides a hydrophobic pocket. The available data indicate that their action, if not their sole function, is the binding of small hydrophobic molecules. One of the characteristics shared by the lipocalin family members is a very low level of sequence homology (25–30%). This level might seem marginally significant, but allows different ligand specificities to be achieved by changing the residue types in the central cavity. Molecules with potentially hazardous biological effects can be safely transported from one cell to another: thus retinol and retinoids (bound to RBP, purpurin, β lactoglobulin, α1 microglobulin, γ chain of protein C8 of the complement cascade), cholesterol and pregnenolone (bound to apolipoprotein D), pheromones (bound to urinary proteins in mouse and rat), and odorants (bound to odorant binding protein). The nature of these ligands suggests a role in cell regulation. However, many lipocalins have still to be assigned a function, in particular the recently discovered ones, for which little information is yet available (three dimensional structure unknown, physiological ligand unknown).

The homology of one protein with a group of proteins of known biological function or activity can often shed light on its own function or activity in the cell where it is expressed. A possible role, transport of lipid molecules, was suggested for tear lipocalin in view of the observed relation between structure and function: what then was the ligand, unable to survive in a hostile hydrophilic environment, that used this shell for transport to a physiological receptor? Retinol was proposed. At the same time tear lipocalin was found to be expressed in minor salivary glands, von Ebner's glands, located around the circumvallate and foliate papilla of the human tongue. In this tissue, tear lipocalin was named VEGP. The possible ligand might be a lipophilic rapid molecule, such as a bitter compound, able to be transported by the protein to the taste buds or a fatty acid. However, studies on the expression of the TL gene in different organs lent further insight into the biological function of TL/VEGP. An expression was observed in the submandibular gland, in the secretory units of the tracheal epithelium and in the prostate. These results already suggested a possible role of the protein as a protection factor for the epithelia.

Given all these results, attention was focused on the cavity to try to find the molecule that would best fit inside. The recently published paper of Van’t Hof et al attributes a convincing physiological function to this protein: cysteine proteinase inhibitor.

This function is cleverly hypothesised and nicely demonstrated.

(i) Among the few stretches of sequence strongly conserved in the members of the lipocalin family, the first stretch, located near the N terminus, contains the sequence Q x V x G proposed as a part of the ligand binding site. The amino acids found in positions 11 to 18 are as follows: QDVSGTWY. This sequence includes Q x V x G, a motif also encountered in the cystatin family.

(ii) As previously indicated, the cystatin superfamily members contain highly conserved domains participating in the active site, located far apart in the amino acid sequence but spatially contiguous on the surface of the protein (among these, Q x V x G from 55 to 59, and W at position 106).

Studies with two chemically synthesised peptides, one containing residues 3–21 of TL and one containing the three papain binding domains of cystatin C demonstrated the involvement of the 3–21 amino acids of TL in protease inhibition. The antiprotease activity of TL was demonstrated on purified frations of lacrimal fluid and the complementary experiments (amino acid analysis, N terminal sequencing, western blot analysis) suggest that the antiprotease effect was not caused by any potent contamination that had been co-purified. These results question the evolutionary process leading to two unrelated groups of proteins able to perform very similar functions. The lipocalins and the cystatins not only display different three dimensional structures but have in common a domain (like two unrelated groups of proteins able to perform very similar functions. The lipocalins and the cystatins not only display different three dimensional structures but have in common a domain (like two unrelated groups of proteins able to perform very similar functions. The lipocalins and the cystatins not only display different three dimensional structures but have in common a domain (like two unrelated groups of proteins able to perform very similar functions. The lipocalins and the cystatins not only display different three dimensional structures but have in common a domain (like two unrelated groups of proteins able to perform very similar functions. The lipocalins and the cystatins not only display different three dimensional structures but have in common a domain (like two unrelated groups of proteins able to perform very similar functions. The lipocalins and the cystatins not only display different three dimensional structures but have in common a domain (like two unrelated groups of proteins able to perform very similar functions. The lipocalins and the cystatins not only display different three dimensional structures but have in common a domain (like two unrelated groups of proteins able to perform very similar functions. The lipocalins and the cystatins not only display different three dimensional structures but have in common a domain (like two unrelated groups of proteins able to perform very similar functions. The lipocalins and the cystatins not only display different three dimensional structures but have in common a domain (like two unrelated groups of proteins able to perform very similar functions. The lipocalins and the cystatins not only display different three dimensional structures but have in common a domain (like two unrelated groups of proteins able to perform very similar functions. The lipocalins and the cystatins not only display different three dimensional structures but have in common a domain...
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