Global cataract blindness: the unmet challenge

One of the greatest challenges facing world ophthalmology today remains the unacceptably high prevalence of operable cataract blindness in the developing world. The establishment of national and international cataract programmes, frequently funded and supported by international agencies, has achieved a steady increase in the number of cataract operations performed, but current levels remain too low to tackle the backlog of cataract blind, estimated to be 16–20 million, and to stem the rising world incidence consequent on the aging population.

As understanding grows of the dynamics of the problem of cataract blindness, it is increasingly realised that solutions resting on cataract numbers alone are insufficient, and that strategic planning is needed to effect change across a complex web of interrelated constraints if the goal of a high volume sustainable cataract programme is to be achieved.

Fundamental are questions of quality of surgical outcome and cost. As eloquently illustrated by Pokharel et al in two papers in this issue of the journal (pp 600 and 606), cataract programme strategies based on intracapsular cataract extraction (ICCE) and the provision of aphakic spectacles, even when the service is free, fail to significantly affect the blindness prevalence. This is not only because cataract coverage is insufficient, but also because an estimated 10–15% of patients remain socially blind (visual acuity <3/60) after the surgery. Apart from the failures due to incorrect diagnosis (a patient blind with cataract but not because of cataract) and operative complications, this can be attributed to the difficulties of the refractive correction of aphakia. When visual outcome includes an assessment of quality of vision and visual function, a more encompassing and realistic measure than Snellen visual acuity, it is even more apparent that the results of ICCE/spectacle correction are inadequate. It has been estimated, for example, that to function as well as a pseudophake with 6/18 vision, a corrected aphake must have a visual acuity of 6/6 or better. Postoperative aphakia is furthermore inappropriate in the unilaterally blind. The visual outcome failure of traditional cataract programmes to correct blindness is reflected by the estimated 30–50% of aphakic patients who chose not to wear the glasses provided free nor to replace them when they became lost or damaged. 1,1

Given the importance of quality of outcome on the effectiveness of the cataract surgery to correct blindness, there are now strong advocates for the introduction of treatment strategies that involve insertion of an intraocular lens (IOL). Many workers have reported the efficacy and safety of high volume cataract surgery with IOL insertion, both anterior and posterior chamber, in clinic and outreach eye camp settings in the developing world. Previous constraints of cost and affordable technology that impeded the application of this technique, long adopted in the Western world, to the developing world have now been largely overcome. High quality one piece posterior chamber intraocular lenses are now available at a unit cost of US$10 as are sturdy portable microscopes. Of fundamental importance are skilled medical staff, be they doctors or cataract surgeon “technicians”, and priority should be increasingly given by national health services and international organisations on training and skill transfer. This can be achieved most effectively by programmes which “train the trainers”, local medical staff, who can then provide ongoing supervision and support.

Fundamental to the sustainability of cataract programmes and to overcoming patient barriers to the surgery are questions of cost. There are now several highly successful models of cataract programmes based on cost recovery, particularly that in Aravind, India. These models take into consideration the unit cost of surgery including transport and disposables, as well as operational fixed costs, and function through the charging of a scale of patient fees and incorporating subsidies for the destitute. They aim to cure cataract before severe blindness has developed and while the patient is economically productive with some resources to afford the surgery. For these models to work, operational and infrastructure aspects must be addressed, most importantly the management of cataract services to optimally utilise existing resources.

Patient barriers to cataract surgery are also important. Although some of these barriers, such as availability and proximity of surgical facilities, can be addressed through a cataract programme which includes outreach, the chief barriers of ignorance, low motivation, and impoverishment remain difficult to overcome. Educative and advertising drives are important but marketing relies on quality of surgical outcome: perhaps the most effective motivator is a previously blind patient following a successful vision restorative operation who educates and motivates others.

The challenge remains in cataract blindness programmes to increase operation numbers, while improving the quality of the visual outcome and decreasing the cost. It is a challenge worth undertaking, by ophthalmologists in the Western world, as well as those in the developing world.
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Do patients with age related maculopathy and cataract benefit from cataract surgery?

“When I consider how my light is spent, Ere half my days, in this dark world and wide” wrote Milton in the sonnet “On His Blindness” when life expectancy was much shorter than it is as we approach the millennium. By the end of this century 6.5% of the population of the European Union will be aged 75 and over. Evans and Wormald have shown an increase in blind registrations attributed to age related maculopathy (ARM) in the order of 30–40% from 1950 to 1990. The Melton Mowbray study has shown prevalence rates for any ARM of between 82% and 86% with drusen found in 72.8% of the population aged 77–90. This is the same age group that develops cataract, and to complicate matters further the Beaver Dam study showed that nuclear sclerosis was associated with increased odds of early ARM. Pollack et al. found that progression of ARM occurred more often in eyes after cataract surgery compared with fellow eyes, with the risk factors identified those of male sex and soft drusen. They have postulated along with others that the presence of a cataract may protect the eye from phototoxic injury in the presence of ARM but van der Schaft and colleagues did not find anything to confirm this.

If we are going to offer cataract surgery what do we say to the patients to provide them with realistic expectations? I was greatly helped with the answer to this question by reading the paper by Shuttleworth et al in this issue of the BJO (p 611). I now tell patients with coexisting ARM that, following surgery, there is a two thirds chance that they will feel the operation has been worthwhile with a one third chance that they will not feel the operation has been worthwhile. Shuttleworth and colleagues’ paper shows that 17% had mixed feelings and 17% thought it not worthwhile. We know from other work on patient satisfaction with visual function following cataract surgery that approximately 10% in all series of patients undergoing cataract surgery are not entirely happy with the outcome. If this study is looked at compared with these figures then it certainly does seem worthwhile to operate on patients with cataract and ARM. As a cataract surgeon I have frequently been confronted with patients who were told 10 years previously that they must never let anybody touch their cataract as they had ARM. One of my patients went from being registered blind following cataract surgery that approximately 10% in all surveys concerning surgical outcome what do we say to the patients to provide them with realistic expectations?

Should we expect patients with cataract and ARM to undergo an operation when there is a one third chance that they will not feel the surgery has been worthwhile. Shuttleworth and colleagues’ paper shows that 17% had mixed feelings and 17% thought it not worthwhile. We know from other work on patient satisfaction with visual function following cataract surgery that approximately 10% in all series of patients undergoing cataract surgery are not entirely happy with the outcome. If this study is looked at compared with these figures then it certainly does seem worthwhile to operate on patients with cataract and ARM.

As a cataract surgeon I have frequently been confronted with patients who were told 10 years previously that they must never let anybody touch their cataract as they had ARM. One of my patients went from being registered blind to returning to drive following cataract surgery having been put off surgery for years! This is unusual but while the jury is out on cataract surgery in the presence of ARM do we as surgeons “also serve who only stand and wait”. I would suggest that we do not serve our patients well by standing and waiting but rather we should discuss honestly the available facts and figures; in this regard the work of Shuttleworth et al is most helpful and I look forward to their next study.
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Deviant axons and glaucomatous damage

The course of ganglion cell axons from the retina to the optic nerve is predictable and has been known accurately for over a century. The accurate arrangement of the axons temporally and the presence of the median raphe were first described by the anatomists Michel and Dogiel in the latter part of the 19th century. Vogt correctly deduced the arrangement of the superficial nerve fibres in the retina when, in 1913, he observed the retinal nerve fibre layer ophthalmoscopically with the aid of red-free light. In contrast, the anatomical orientation of retinal nerve fibres within the optic nerve head has been the subject of considerable debate. Before 1930, the common view was that nerve fibres from the peripheral retina entered the central portion of the optic nerve head. Loddoni established that the reverse was true: nerve fibres from the far retina entered the peripheral optic nerve head while more central fibres occupied a central position in the nerve. However, the anterior-posterior relation within the retinal nerve fibre layer remained unclear. The work of Wolff and Penman supported the view of the older literature that long retinal axons occupied a scleral position in the nerve fibre layer, while more central axons occupied a vitreal position. More recent work has supported this view.

Ogden employed highly localised intraretinal injections of horseradish peroxidase in monkey eyes, and elegantly demonstrated that long retinal fibres actually occupy a more vitreal position in the retina and shorter retinal fibres are more scleral in location. Consequently, at the margin of the optic disc, short and long fibres decussate to match the topographic arrangements of the retinal nerve fibre layer and the anterior portion of the optic nerve head.

The work of Morgan and colleagues, which is reported in this issue of the BJO (p 680), provides new information about the anatomy of retinal ganglion cell axons as they course through the lamina cribrosa. In their careful study of two human eyes, they found that while the majority of axons took a direct course through the pores of the lamina cribrosa, approximately 10% deviated from this course and passed between adjacent cribrosal plates. Thus, a substantial minority of axons change trajectory within the lamina and take a more tangential (and perhaps more precarious) course. They found, with the retrograde tracer horseradish peroxidase applied to the freshly cut stump of the retrobulbar optic nerve, that this pattern was present in both central and peripheral portions of the optic nerve head. The existence of such a population of axons highlights the distinction between the apparently precise topographic arrangement of nerve bundles and the rather meandering course that can be taken by individual axons.

This finding suggests the possibility that axons which deviate from a straight intralaminar course might be particularly susceptible to compressive effects because of their tangential trajectory between laminar plates. These deviant axons could thus represent a subgroup of retinal ganglion cells that are selectively damaged in early glaucoma. Other studies have suggested that the special anatomy of the lamina cribrosa region could make humans particularly vulnerable to compressive effects because of their tangential trajectory between laminar plates. These deviant axons represent an anatomical subpopulation that is particularly vulnerable to compressive glaucomatous damage? Histological studies to answer this question may prove difficult to interpret: if fibres are selectively vulnerable, they may appear less frequently in damaged optic nerve heads, or not at all.

The embryological explanation for axon deviation within the optic nerve head becomes relevant in light of this report by Morgan and coworkers. It compels us to reconsider the issue of retinotopic projection of optic nerve fibres and the intermingling of axons from the superficial and deep fibres in the anterior optic nerve head. This intermingling apparently continues throughout the lamina cribrosa, perhaps in an attempt to achieve the correct topographic orientation in the retrolaminar portion of the optic nerve.

This report is a good example of the value of careful observation, even if in only a few specimens. It will undoubtedly stimulate consideration of whether deviant axons represent an anatomical subpopulation that is vulnerable to compressive effects in human glaucoma.
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