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Abstract

Aims—Ophthalmodynamometry has been used extensively since the last century; however, controversy surrounds what it actually measures. This study was set up to determine the relation between ophthalmodynamometric (ODP) and systemic blood pressures.

Methods—Aortic pressure was continuously monitored and altered by phlebotomy in six anaesthetised dogs, while ophthalmodynamometry was performed, by directly altering intraocular pressure. Maxillary artery pressure was monitored in two animals. All pressure transducers were zeroed at eye level.

Results—Mean ODP was 96.6% (1.6%) (95% confidence interval, n = 49) of aortic pressure. Mean maxillary artery pressure was 95.7% (5.5%) (95% CI, n = 16) of aortic pressure. ODP was 1.9 (0.6) mm Hg (95% CI, n = 33) higher than maxillary artery pressures.

Conclusion—ODP was only slightly below aortic pressure and not significantly different from maxillary artery pressure, the analogue of the internal carotid artery in humans. These results also suggest a retinal artery collapse pressure of at least 1.9 mm Hg.

(Br J Ophthalmol 1998;82:821–825)

Ophthalmodynamometry produces blood pressure information; however, controversy surrounds how this relates to the arterial pressure supplying the eye and brain. It has been claimed to estimate central retinal artery,8–11 ophthalmic artery,12–14 or internal carotid artery9–12 pressure. In spite of its extensive use clinically and for research into ocular and neurological disorders, adequate validation has not been performed.

Clinically, ophthalmodynamometry has been most extensively used for the detection of haemodynamically significant carotid artery stenosis, and has a sensitivity of 71–80% and specificity of 75–90%.6–9 In the past 10 years, duplex carotid Doppler scanning has become much more widely employed principally because of its higher sensitivity (up to 96%).15 However, ophthalmodynamometry still has some use because of its low cost.

Ophthalmodynamometry is a technique in which the intraocular pressure (IOP) is elevated while observing the central retinal artery (CRA). Diastolic ophthalmodynamometric pressure (ODP) is said to be the minimum IOP at which the first CRA collapse occurs, this collapse being intermittent—that is, pulsating. Systolic ODP is said to be the minimum IOP at which continuous CRA collapse occurs. Different methods are used to raise and measure the IOP, from direct cannulation2 7 to external calibrated compression.18 10–13 15

A number of authors have speculated upon the relation of ODP to the value of CRA pressure before raising IOP and performing ophthalmodynamometry. Grunwald and Furubayashi1 have said that ODP is only slightly higher than CRA pressure. Hedges et al2 concluded that ODP was equal to CRA pressure. Van der Werf15, using a theoretical model of flow from the ophthalmic artery to the CRA, calculated that systolic ODP is 14–17 mm Hg higher than CRA systolic pressure. Others have claimed that ODP is initially equal to CRA pressure for a few seconds before rising to be equal to ophthalmic artery pressure.1

Few workers have attempted to verify what ophthalmodynamometry actually measures. In 1926, Duke-Elder,7 limited by the technology of the time, by direct cannulation found mean ODP to be 92% of mean aortic pressure. Only systolic aortic pressure was measured, the diastolic pressure being assumed. Mean pressures were calculated by adding one half the pulse pressure to the diastolic pressure. Duke-Elder claimed that ophthalmodynamometry estimated ophthalmic artery pressure. He justified this claim by citing the hydrodynamic principle, that pressure in an occluded vessel is equal to that of the nearest upstream branch point. Under conditions of ophthalmodynamometry, the CRA and choroidal circulation are occluded within the eye, so that the exact branch point within which the pressure theoretically corresponds to ODP is not clear. Hedges et al2 measured ophthalmic and femoral artery pressure while performing ophthalmodynamometry in the rhesus monkey. They found that ODP and ophthalmic artery pressures were in the same range, but were 16–25 mm Hg lower than femoral artery pressure. Others claim that within the carotid artery there is already a drop from aortic pressure of 20–25%.13

In other vascular beds, relatively little flow resistance down the arterial tree is encountered, with little accompanying pressure drop until arterioles with 100 µm wide lumens are reached.17 In cat mesentery the pressures in 120 µm wide arterioles is 80% of systemic
that the systolic ODP is slightly less than aortic systolic pressure. It can be seen that diastolic ODP is almost equivalent to aortic diastolic pressure, and ODP systolic versus aortic systolic pressure, with regression and 95% confidence interval shown.

Figure 2 Ophthalmodynamometric (ODP) diastolic versus aortic diastolic pressure and ODP systolic versus aortic systolic pressure, with regression and 95% confidence interval shown. It can be seen that diastolic ODP is almost equivalent to aortic diastolic pressure, and that the systolic ODP is slightly less than aortic systolic pressure.

Figure 1 Typical traces of aortic and maxillary artery (max A) pressures. First CRA pulsation (diastolic ODP) was seen when IOP was elevated to a level equal to aortic and maxillary diastolic pressure. Complete CRA closure (systolic ODP) was seen when IOP was elevated to a level above maxillary artery but below aortic systolic pressure.

It was this background of confusion concerning what ophthalmodynamometry actually measures, which led us to design the following experiments in order to determine how ODP is related to aortic pressure. We used an in vivo animal model so that the eye and aorta could be directly cannulated, allowing both IOP and aortic pressure to be directly measured and varied over a large range, eliminating the calibration problems that external ocular compressive devices induce. From these measurements we hoped to infer the relation between ODP and internal carotid artery and CRA pressure.

Methods

ANIMAL PREPARATION

Six mixed breed dogs were used in accordance with the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council animal ethics committee code of practice. The animal set up was the same as has been described previously, with the following differences. The animals were anaesthetised using halothane inhalation for induction only, followed by a continuous intravenous infusion of a 50:50 mixture of pentobarbitone and thiopentone through the femoral vein, while being ventilated with nitrogen 80% and oxygen 20%.

The animals were suspended in the prone position with the head held in a maxilla bite clamp. The aorta was cannulated via the femoral artery. The left anterior chamber was cannulated and connected to a pressure transducer. A second cannula was inserted into the anterior chamber, being connected to Krebs solution reservoirs allowing IOP to be controlled.

In two animals the maxillary artery was cannulated as it came out of the infraorbital foramen to form the infraorbital artery. The infraorbital artery is the end artery of the maxillary artery. The cannula tip was inserted pointing directly against maxillary artery blood flow. This cannula was connected to another pressure transducer.

All pressure transducers (Cobe, Arvada, CO, USA) were connected via conditioning modules (Analog Devices, USA) to a Yokogawa (Tokyo, Japan) LR8100 chart recorder and thus femoral artery, vein, and intraocular pressures were continuously recorded. All transducers were held and calibrated at the level of the left eye, so that all pressures are measured with respect to this location. Note that the maxillary artery, being similar to the internal carotid artery of humans, gives rise to the ophthalmic artery.

A planoconvex contact lens was applied to the left cornea. The left retina including retinal arteries were observed with an operating microscope (Zeiss OPMI-1, Oberkochen, Germany).

OPHTHALMODYNAMOMETRY

Ophthalmodynamometric pressures were determined as follows. The IOP was elevated rapidly to 80% of aortic diastolic pressure over 3–5 seconds by pressurisation of the Krebs solution connected to the anterior chamber. The IOP was then elevated more slowly, over approximately 20 seconds while the retinal arteries on the optic disc were observed, until diastolic ODP was recorded. The lowest IOP at which complete collapse of the retinal arteries on the optic disc was observed was recorded as diastolic ODP. The retinal arteries were seen to pulsate at this level because the collapse was intermittent. IOP was elevated slowly until the collapse became continuous throughout the cardiac cycle. The lowest IOP at which pressure is recorded.

Table 1 Means of the ophthalmodynamometric versus aortic pressure linear regression results in six animals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slope y Intercept CI r n</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>y Intercept CI r n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic 0.971 0.030</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic 0.956 0.077</td>
<td>−3.99</td>
<td>7.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean 0.967 0.043</td>
<td>−0.68</td>
<td>3.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated from the six linear regression results. The correlation coefficients were calculated from all the data grouped together. The total number of data points is given in n column.
Systolic and diastolic pressures are shown. Both systolic and diastolic maxillary artery versus aortic pressure in two animals. The aortic pressure was varied by phlebotomy.

Figure 3 Ophthalmodynamometric (ODP) and maxillary artery (maxill) pressures
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The correlation coefficients (“r”) were calculated from “n” number of grouped data points.

Results

A typical trace of aortic and maxillary artery pressures is shown in Figure 1. First CRA pulsation (diastolic ODP) was seen when IOP was elevated to a level equal to aortic and maxillary diastolic pressure. Complete CRA closure (systolic ODP) was seen when IOP was elevated to a level above maxillary artery but below aortic systolic pressure. The diastolic and systolic ODP did not vary in any measurements, and in particular, no ODP was seen to rise during any measurement.

Figure 2 shows the recordings of diastolic ODP versus aortic diastolic pressures and systolic ODP versus aortic systolic pressures. It can be seen that diastolic ODP is almost equivalent to aortic diastolic pressure, and that the systolic ODP is slightly less than aortic systolic pressure.

Table 2 Means of the maxillary artery versus aortic pressure linear regression results from two animals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>y Intercept</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic</td>
<td>0.895</td>
<td>−1.43</td>
<td>0.985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.899</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.994</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The linear regression results of ODP versus aortic pressures are given in Table 1. Student's two tailed t test was used to calculate 95% confidence intervals for the slope and y intercept.

One can see that all ODPs have a very strong linear relation with their aortic pressure counterparts. ODP is almost equivalent (97%) to aortic diastolic pressure. The systolic ODP is 96% of systolic aortic pressure and mean ODP is 97% of mean aortic pressure.

The relation between maxillary artery and aortic pressures (Table 2) was similar to that between ophthalmodynamometry and aortic pressures. Both systolic and diastolic ODP were slightly greater than the respective maxillary artery systolic and diastolic pressures (Fig 3). The difference between ODP and maxillary artery pressure was calculated for each measurement, the 95% confidence interval calculated for the average of the difference in each animal using Student’s two tailed t test.

The mean difference between diastolic pressures was 2.0 (0.9) mm Hg (95% CI, n = 16), and between systolic pressures was 1.9 (1.1) mm Hg (95% CI, n = 16). Combining the diastolic and systolic pressure differences resulted in a mean difference of 1.9 (0.6) mm Hg (95% CI, n = 33).

Discussion

Mean ODP was found to be 97% of mean aortic pressure. There is known to be a 2.5% drop in mean pressure along the whole length of the aorta in dogs, with relatively little drop in mean blood pressure until 100 µm arterioles are reached. The tapering of the elastic arterial tree, combined with its relatively low flow resistance compared with the micro-circulation, is thought to allow for the small loss of pressure, and retention of a large pulse pressure wave throughout the arterial tree.

Our results are in agreement with these circulatory principles, and are similar to those of Duke-Elder who was limited technically to measuring aortic systolic pressure only. Using his mean pressure definition, our mean ODP was 96% of aortic pressure compared with his 92%. It is unlikely that the induced optic disc hyperaemia significantly altered the aortic or maxillary artery pressures, as the proportion of blood flow through these vessels to the eye is very small. These results are consistent with experiments in humans’ finding no significant difference between ODP and brachial artery pressure when the upper arm and cuff are held at eye level. Our results are at variance to those of Robinson et al., who related ODP to brachial arterial pressure, while varying the systemic blood pressures in three sitting humans, finding a slope of 0.61 with a y intercept of −8.8 mm Hg. If ODP is similar to
systemic arterial pressure, one would expect to see a slope close to 1.0, and a y intercept similar to the hydrostatic pressure drop between the eye and brachial artery cuff, as a result of gravity. Their technique involved the use of a Ballistark external compressive ophthalmodynamometer, which has been shown to underestimate the induced IOP, and hence may partly explain the apparent dissimilarity to our results. This also illustrates the problem of calibration in external compression ophthalmodynamometers. Our results highlight the importance of noting the vertical height difference between brachial artery cuff and eye when performing ophthalmodynamometry clinically. The hydrostatic pressure effect of this height difference should be subtracted from the brachial artery pressure reading to allow a meaningful comparison between ODP and blood pressure to be made. Ocular pneumoplethysmography, a related technique in which a suction cup is applied to the eye, to alter and measure IOP, while an external pressure transducer detects initial ocular vascular pulsation, has been shown to produce measurements with a mean difference of 4.6% from carotid artery pressure in humans.

In the dog the ophthalmic artery branches off the maxillary. Both the distalost and systolic ODP were found to be slightly higher than maxillary artery pressure. In order to occlude the retinal arteries, IOP must be raised above the CRA intraluminal pressure and also overcome the transmural pressure required to collapse the vessel. The ophthalmic artery branches off the maxillary artery and its major branches, the anterior and posterior ciliary arteries mainly supply the retinal and choroidal circulation as well as the rectus muscles. Blood in the ophthalmic artery still flowing to non-ocular orbital structures such as the rectus muscles during ophthalmodynamometry is likely to have a pressure less than that of the maxillary artery. Hence, the transmural pressure required to collapse the artery will probably be greater than the 1.9 mm Hg mean difference between ODP and maxillary pressures measured here. The transmural pressure required to collapse the brachial artery has been estimated theoretically to be 2 mm Hg. The retinal artery collapse pressure has not been previously measured, although Best et al. estimated the critical closing pressure of the whole ocular vascular bed to be 6 mm Hg. The analogue of the maxillary artery in humans is the internal carotid artery, so it is likely that ophthalmodynamometry gives pressure results close to or just above those within the internal carotid artery.

It is reasonable to assume that the retinal and optic disc vasculature will dilate in response to the induced ischaemia during ophthalmodynamometry. A dilatation downstream from the large retinal arterioles will tend to lower the retinal artery pressure. Direct measurements of retinal artery pressure in dogs with micropipettes over a range of aortic blood pressures but with a steady mean IOP of 19 mm Hg, have shown that it is 72% of systemic blood pressure. Thus, in the dog, a far greater pressure drop from the aorta to the retinal arteries is found, suggesting that at least 25% of the total pressure drop occurs from the internal carotid artery to the retina through the eye wall where it is approximately 100 μm in diameter. Together, these results show that ODP is quite different from retinal artery pressure.

If we extrapolate to the human situation, then in normal healthy subjects, ophthalmodynamometry can be expected to give results similar to internal carotid artery pressure. These pressures are only 3% below aortic pressure and hence ophthalmodynamometry should give results similar to systemic blood pressure, allowing for the height difference between the eye and brachial artery. A major problem limiting the clinical utility of ophthalmodynamometry is the accurate measurement of ODP while it is elevated. In spite of this problem ophthalmodynamometry and related techniques remain useful for detecting carotid artery stenosis and significant cross filling via the circle of Willis in cases of carotid artery stenosis. In normal subjects, ophthalmodynamometry is no more useful in estimating retinal artery pressure than measuring systemic blood pressure.
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