Phenotypic variations in a family with retinal dystrophy as result of different mutations in the ABCR gene
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Abstract

Aims—To describe two phenotypic variations of autosomal recessive retinal dystrophy occurring in a consanguineous family in a pseudodominant pattern, resulting from mutations in the ATP binding cassette transporter (ABCR) gene.

Methods—Patients of this family underwent an extensive ophthalmic evaluation, including fundus photography, fluorescein angiography, and electroretinography (ERG). Genetic analysis comprised sequence analysis of the retina specific ABCR gene.

Results—Five patients presented with decreased visual acuity in the second decade, central chorioretinal atrophy associated with a central scotoma, and severely decreased photopic and scotopic ERG responses. This clinical picture, which in our opinion resembles a cone-rod dystrophy (CRD), was associated with compound heterozygosity for IVS30+1g→t and IVS40+5g→a mutations in the ABCR gene. The four remaining patients presented with night blindness in the first decade because of a retinitis pigmentosa-like (RP-like) dystrophy. In addition to a pale “waxy” optic disc, attenuated retinal vessels and bone spicule deposits, a widespread chorioretinal atrophy was observed. The scotopic ERG was extinguished and the photopic ERG was severely diminished. Genetic analysis revealed a homozygous 5’ splice mutation IVS30+1g→t in the ABCR gene.

Conclusion—Mutations in the ABCR gene can cause clinical pictures resembling autosomal recessive RP and autosomal recessive CRD.

(Br J Ophthalmol 1999;83:914–918)

Stargardt’s disease (STGD) presents in the first or second decade of life with a bilateral gradual diminution of vision due to progressive atrophy of the macular retinal pigment epithelium and the choriocapillaris in combination with degeneration of the photoreceptors of the posterior pole.1 STGD is caused by mutations in the retina specific ATP binding cassette transporter (ABCR) gene, which also has been shown to be involved in age related macular degeneration (AMD), although the latter finding has been disputed.2–4 We describe a single consanguineous family with two different phenotypes: cone-rod-like dystrophy (CRD-like) and a retinitis pigmentosa-like dystrophy (RP-like). These phenotypes co-segregated with DNA markers flanking the ABCR gene and subsequent sequence analysis revealed compound heterozygosity (CRD-like) and homozygosity (RP-like) for ABCR mutations.

Patients and methods

PATIENTS

Patients of a consanguineous family with hereditary retinal degeneration originally described by Deutman1 as centroperipheral tapetoretinal degeneration resembling STGD were reevaluated. All members of the family were examined by one of the authors (CBH). Two different phenotypes could be distinguished based on the clinical findings only. After consulting with the department of human genetics we collected blood samples for molecular genetic analysis, after informed consent was obtained. Patient IV-3 had to be examined at home because of her poor general condition. Unfortunately she died 3 months later.

CLINICAL AND ELECTROPHYSIOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

After the ophthalmic history was taken, all patients underwent a standard ophthalmological evaluation including detailed fundus examination. In addition, fundus photography, fluorescein angiography, and electroretinography (ERG) were performed. In ERG tests, the patients faced a modified sphere of a Goldmann–Weekers adaptometer, lit by two 40 W incandescent lamps in order to furnish a Ganzfeld adaptation. After a scleral contact lens, equipped with measuring electrodes, was inserted, a reference electrode was placed on the forehead and the patient was earthed by means of two earclip electrodes. A xenon flashlight was used for flash responses (flash luminance 6.85 cd/m²/s photopic and 0.85 cd/m²/s scotopic). Measurements were taken at photopic and adaptation levels. The scotopic ERG was a rod isolated dark adapted scotopic response with white (12 minutes of dark adaptation) and blue stimuli (15 minutes of dark adaptation). This ERG technique6 does not precisely meet the ISCEV standard, but for reasons of comparison over a long period of time (patient V-9, follow up 1969–95) the same method was applied. The lower limit of normality with this technique was 100 µV for the photopic b-wave, 150 µV for the scotopic b-wave with a white stimulus, and 170 µV when a blue stimulus was used.
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DNA was extracted from leucocytes as described previously. D1S406 (UT2069) and D1S236 (AFM205ta11) marker analysis was carried out as described elsewhere. Sequence analysis of the ABCR exons was performed as described by Allikmets et al and Gerber et al.

Results
The pedigree of the RP-like/CRD-like family is depicted in Figure 1. Nine members of the family were affected, two sibs in generation IV and seven sibs in generation V. No abnormalities were found in individuals IV-1, V-1, V-2, V-4, V-6, and V-7. After the ophthalmic history was taken and a full ophthalmic examination was performed (as summarised in Table 1), two different phenotypes could be distinguished.

Five individuals (IV-3, IV-7, V-5, V-10, V-11) presented with initial complaints of decreased central vision or a grey spot in the central field of vision in the second decade. At the time of our examination the visual acuity of these five patients was reduced to counting fingers. Funduscopy disclosed a circumscribed area of central chorioretinal atrophy. Waxy pallor of the optic disc, attenuated retinal vessels, and bone spicule pigment deposition in the mid-periphery were not observed in these patients (Fig 2A, B, C). Contrary to the report of Deutman in 1971 we did not find the temporal paleness he described in patients IV-3 and V-10. Goldmann kinetic visual fields of patient IV-3, performed in 1967 when she was 54 years of age, showed a large central scotoma and contracted isopters. The visual field of patient V-5 at age 27 revealed a central scotoma and restriction of the temporal periphery, at that age the photopic ERG was still normal but the scotopic ERG was already moderately decreased. At the time of our investigation the photopic ERG responses were moderately subnormal in patient V-11 and severely subnormal or extinguished in the other four patients. The scotopic ERG was extinguished in all patients in this group, except for patients IV-7, V-5, and V-11 when the blue stimulus with 15 minutes of dark adaption was used (Table 1).

The clinical picture of the other affected sibs (V-3, V-8, V-9, V-12) was altogether different. Initially they presented with complaints of decreased night vision at about the age of 6 years. Gradually the visual acuity of these patients decreased and at the time of our examination their visual acuity was light perception, except for the youngest patient (V-12) who could still perceive hand movements. Ophthalmoscopically they all demonstrated classic aspects of RP, with a waxy optic nerve head, attenuation of the retinal vessels, and pigmentary retinopathy with bone spicule deposits in the (mid) periphery. Furthermore, large areas of poorly demarcated chorioretinal atrophy were noted in these patients, also in the posterior pole (Fig 2D, E, F). Goldmann perimetry of patient V-3 showed a central scotoma and peripheral restriction at the age of 22. Patient V-8 demonstrated restricted visual fields at age 13, the visual field of patient V-9 revealed a constriction nasally at age 21 and patient V-12 showed a central scotoma and concentric impairment of sensitivity at the age of 22. Patient V-8 demonstrated restricted visual fields at age 13, the visual field of patient V-9 revealed a constriction nasally at age 21 and patient V-12 showed a central scotoma and concentric impairment of sensitivity at the age of 22. Patient V-8 demonstrated restricted visual fields at age 13, the visual field of patient V-9 revealed a constriction nasally at age 21 and patient V-12 showed a central scotoma and concentric impairment of sensitivity at the age of 22.
HTZ = compound heterozygosity for IVS30+1g→t and IVS40+5g→a mutation; HMZ = homozygosity for IVS30+1g→t mutation; CF = counting fingers; HM = hand movements; LP = light perception; NP = not performed.

Table 1  Minimal values for ERG recordings: 100 µV for the photopic ERG, 150 µV for the scotopic ERG with a white stimulus after 12 minutes of dark adaptation, 170 µV for the scotopic ERG with a blue stimulus after 15 minutes of dark adaptation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Age of onset (years)</th>
<th>Initial symptom</th>
<th>Visual acuity (1995)</th>
<th>Funduscopy</th>
<th>Photopic ERG (µV)</th>
<th>Scotopic ERG (µV) white</th>
<th>Scotopic ERG (µV) blue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IV-3</td>
<td>HTZ</td>
<td>84 12</td>
<td>Decrease in visual acuity</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>Marked central chorioretinal atrophy and mild chorioretinal atrophy in the mid-periphery. No abnormalities of the vessels and the optic disc. No bone spicules.</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV-7</td>
<td>HTZ</td>
<td>74 20</td>
<td>Decrease in visual acuity</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>Marked central chorioretinal atrophy and irregular hyperpigmentation of the pigment epithelium in the posterior pole. Patchy chorioretinal atrophy in the mid-periphery. Temporal paleness of the optic disc.</td>
<td>20 30 0 0 75 30</td>
<td>20 30 0 0 75 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-3</td>
<td>HMZ</td>
<td>35 6</td>
<td>Night blindness</td>
<td>LP</td>
<td>Central and mid-peripheral chorioretinal atrophy, mid-peripheral bone spicules, narrow vessels, and a &quot;waxy&quot; pale optic disc.</td>
<td>NP NP NP NP NP NP</td>
<td>NP NP NP NP NP NP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-5</td>
<td>HTZ</td>
<td>56 15</td>
<td>Decrease in visual acuity</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>Central chorioretinal atrophy with irregular hyperpigmentation of the retinal pigment epithelium. No mid-peripheral abnormalities.</td>
<td>30 20 0 0 50 30</td>
<td>30 20 0 0 50 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-8</td>
<td>HMZ</td>
<td>51 7</td>
<td>Night blindness</td>
<td>LP</td>
<td>Marked central chorioretinal atrophy, mid-peripheral bone spicules and diffuse chorioretinal atrophy. Severe narrowing of the retinal vessels and temporal paleness of the optic disc.</td>
<td>20 40 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>20 40 0 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-9</td>
<td>HMZ</td>
<td>50 7</td>
<td>Night blindness</td>
<td>LP</td>
<td>Marked central and mid-peripheral atrophy, with central hyperpigmentation and mid-peripheral bone spicules, narrow vessels, and paleness of the optic disc.</td>
<td>0 80 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 80 0 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-10</td>
<td>HTZ</td>
<td>48 12</td>
<td>Decreased visual acuity</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>Central chorioretinal atrophy with focal hyperpigmentation. No bone spicules and no abnormalities of the vessels and the optic disc.</td>
<td>30 50 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>30 50 0 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-11</td>
<td>HTZ</td>
<td>46 12</td>
<td>Grey spot in the central field of vision</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>Central chorioretinal atrophy with focal hyperpigmentation. Small round patches of chorioretinal atrophy with mild hyperpigmentation in the mid-periphery. Mild temporal paleness of the optic disc.</td>
<td>80 80 0 0 75 30</td>
<td>80 80 0 0 75 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-12</td>
<td>HMZ</td>
<td>43 6</td>
<td>Night blindness</td>
<td>HM</td>
<td>Central chorioretinal atrophy with patchy atrophy in the mid-periphery. Bone spicules, narrow vessels, and a pale optic disc.</td>
<td>20 55 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>20 55 0 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion
In this study we describe a consanguineous family in which the affected members display one of two distinctly different phenotypes, either RP-like or CRD-like. These different disease phenotypes completely match two different genotypes. Apparently, different combinations of gene defects in the ABCR gene result in different phenotypic effects. The four patients who are homozygous for the IVS30+1g→t mutation present with a clinical picture resembling RP, with an age of onset of approximately 6 years. Although this retinal dystrophy harbours several aspects of a classic RP (night blindness, peripheral restricted visual fields, the ERG findings, and attenuation of the retinal vessels, bone spicule deposits, and a waxy optic nerve head on funduscopy), there are some aspects of this phenotype which are not typically associated with RP. The extensive chorioretinal atrophy and pigmentary changes in the posterior pole, the central scotomas in patients V-3 and V-12, and the substantively more reduction in visual acuity than is normally noted in patients with RP lead us to refer to this entity as RP-like.

The patients displaying compound heterozygosity for the IVS30+1g→t and IVS40+5g→a mutations develop a retinal dystrophy which occurs later in life, with an age of onset varying from 12 to 20 years. In these patients decrease of visual acuity and/or a central scotoma is the presenting symptom and at the time of examination the visual acuity did not exceed counting fingers in all five patients. Ophthalmoscopically, all patients show a circumscribed area of chorioretinal atrophy, especially located in the posterior pole. No ophthalmoscopic abnormalities were observed that could be attributed to RP. Strikingly, the central localisation of the retinal dystrophy in

(IV-1) indicated by a black bar in Figure 1. Since the mother of these sibs (IV-3), as well as an aunt (IV-7) also harbour this chromosomal segment, the corresponding mutation must have been present in this family at least since generation I. In addition, another genetic defect is present in the mother and the aunt (Fig 1, shaded bar). Four sibs of generation V (V-3, V-8, V-9, V-12) inherited the same haplotype from both parents and consequently are homozygous for this chromosomal region. Sequence analysis revealed a severe 5’ splice site mutation IVS30+1g→t at ABCR nucleotide position 4539+1. The other three affected sibs in this generation (V-5, V-10, V-11) received the haplotype from their mother that was not present in their father’s DNA, rendering them compound heterozygous, with a genetic profile of the ABCR gene resembling their mother and affected aunt. Sequence analysis revealed the heterozygous presence of the IVS30+1g→t mutation, as well as another 5’ splice site mutation (IVS40+5g→a) at nucleotide position 5714+5.
patients with compound heterozygosity is not reflected in their ERG recordings, which in general demonstrate a severely affected cone system but an even more affected rod system. This cannot simply be attributed to the fact that most patients have reached an end stage, since early ERG recordings of V-5, at 27 years of age, show the same phenomenon—that is, the scotopic ERG is more affected than the photopic ERG. These ERG responses are very similar to the ERG findings in sibs with homozygous mutations and the resulting RP-like phenotype. It must be mentioned, however, that the measurement of the scotopic responses was unconventional, for reasons stated earlier, and the 12 minute period of dark adaptation when a white stimulus was used, may not have disclosed the entire potential for the development of a rod response. Although the chorioretinal atrophy is far more widespread in this group compared with the central atrophy observed in the compound heterozygous patients, the results of functional tests such as the ERG are virtually identical. Thus, the retinal disorder in the compound heterozygous patients is more difficult to classify. Since perifoveal yellow spots were never observed and the choroidal fluorescence was never obscured in fluorescein angiography, it is our belief that the original diagnosis of STGD disease is not appropriate. Nevertheless, all these patients initially presented with blurred central vision rather than nyctalopia and the fundus of patient V-5 at 28 years of age shows a well demarcated oval shaped depigmentation of the retinal pigment epithelium in the posterior pole. The visual fields of patients IV-3 and V-5 show a central scotoma or decreased central sensitivity in combination with a mild peripheral restriction. The ERG findings are atypical
and suggest severely affected cone and rod systems with a slight emphasis on damage to the latter. In view of these findings the most likely diagnosis in our opinion is CRD, although the ERG recordings make it difficult to fit this phenotype into previous subtypes of CRD.11 12 We therefore have referred to this type of dystrophy as CRD-like. When classified as CRD this phenotype would fit best in the group described by Szlyk et al as type 2a CRD.10 It is also possible that these patients have a form of RP which is mainly located centrally, so called central RP or inverse RP,13 14 although this entity is not very well defined in literature. The fact that this retinal dystrophy cannot be accurately classified underscores that these diseases need to be defined in genetic terms rather than the current subjective and variable phenotypic terminology.

Allikmets et al recently identified mutations in a photoreceptor cell specific ATP binding cassette transporter gene (ABCR) in STGD.3 Another report from the same group describes alterations in one allele of the ABCR gene in some AMD patients, although these findings were not confirmed in a correspondence by Stone et al.4 Our findings suggest that mutations in ABCR not only result in STGD but can also cause autosomal recessive CRD-like phenotypes and autosomal recessive RP-like phenotypes. Compound heterozygosity for IVS30+1g→t and IVS40+5g→a mutations results in a clinical picture resembling CRD, whereas homozygosity for the IVS30+1g→t mutation causes a RP-like phenotype. Based on the greater severity of the RP-like phenotype compared with CRD-like phenotype and STGD the IVS30+1g→t mutation can be regarded as a true null allele. A mutation at the +1 position of a 5′ splice site invariably inactivates the corresponding splice site. The possible effects of this mutation are discussed in more detail elsewhere.15 In accordance with our hypothesis we and others thus far have not found two ABCR null mutations in STGD patients2 (J Kaplan, personal communication; own observation). In addition, Martinez-Mir et al recently identified a homozygous 1-bp deletion in the ABCR gene of six siblings from a consanguineous RP family.16 This deletion results in a frameshift early in the coding region and thus represents a true null allele. The IVS40+5g→a mutation lowers, but probably does not abolish, the splice potential of the corresponding splice site, which may explain the less severe phenotype observed in the compound heterozygous CRD-like patients. The fact that both CRD-like and RP-like phenotypes can be caused by mutations in the same gene is not without precedent. Previously, mutations in the RDS/peripherin gene were associated with a myriad of different phenotypes, including autosomal dominant forms of RP,17 CRD,18 macular dystrophy,19 pattern dystrophies of the retinal pigmented epithelium,20 and central aracal choroidal dystrophy.21
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