Detection of herpes simplex virus DNA in atypical epithelial keratitis using polymerase chain reaction

Noriko Koizumi, Kohji Nishida, Wåkako Adachi, Mamoru Tei, Yoichi Honma, Atsuyoshi Dota, Chie Sotozono, Norihiko Yokoi, Shuji Yamamoto, Shigeru Kinoshita

Abstract

Aim—To study herpes simplex virus (HSV) DNA in tears from patients with atypical epithelial keratitis of unknown aetiology.

Methods—Tear samples were collected from 17 affected eyes of 17 consecutive patients suffering from epithelial keratitis in whom HSV keratitis was suspected but whose diagnosis was difficult on the basis of clinical manifestations alone. Using reduced sensitivity polymerase chain reaction (PCR), tear samples were tested for HSV DNA. Tears from the unaffected eyes of the 17 patients were also examined, along with 38 tear samples from 19 normal volunteers. Southern blot analysis was performed to confirm that amplified DNA bands were specific for HSV. Clinical correlation with photographs of corneal lesions was also investigated.

Results—HSV DNA was detected in tears from the affected eyes of eight of the 17 patients with suspected HSV keratitis. Tears from the affected eyes of the other patients were PCR negative, as were tears from the unaffected eyes of all 17 patients, and from the 38 normal eyes. There was no correlation between PCR results and clinical manifestation of keratitis.

Conclusions—Based on the sensitivity of the PCR system, eight of 17 suspected HSV keratitis patients were confirmed as suffering from HSV keratitis. HSV keratitis should therefore be considered as a possible diagnosis in atypical epithelial keratitis.

(Br J Ophthalmol 1999;83:957–960)

Herpes simplex virus (HSV) epithelial keratitis, a leading cause of corneal blindness, is typified by dendritic or geographical corneal ulcers, which usually have dendritic edges with terminal bulbs and epithelial infiltration. This enables them to be differentiated from corneal ulcers due to other causes, and their clinical diagnosis as herpetic keratitis. Sometimes, however, such factors as duration since onset, previous medication, systemic diseases (atopic dermatitis, for example) or history of corneal transplantation can make the epithelial lesions appear different from classic HSV lesions. The initial diagnosis is very important, since it decides the use of antiviral drugs, such as aciclovir. In atypical cases, however, it is often difficult to make a correct diagnosis based solely on the clinical presentation.

Since Saiki et al’s 1985 report on the usefulness of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for diagnostic purposes, it has often been used for diagnosing viral diseases of the eye. Previouisly, we established a reduced sensitivity PCR for diagnosing HSV epithelial keratitis, and HSV related uveitis. Using this PCR system, we are able to detect HSV DNA in tears from patients with clinically diagnosed HSV epithelial keratitis. The sensitivity of this system is higher than that of viral culture, while being low enough not to give a positive PCR result in normal tears.

In the present study, we used the reduced sensitivity PCR system to investigate HSV DNA in tears from suspected epithelial keratitis patients in whom a clear diagnosis was difficult on the basis of clinical presentation alone.

Subjects and methods

Aims
At our hospital, if we cannot easily diagnose a patient as having herpetic keratitis on the basis of clinical manifestations alone, we perform a PCR assay on tears to search for HSV (see below). In the present study we performed PCR for HSV DNA on tears from 17 consecutive patients who visited our hospital over a 22 month period (August 1994 to May 1996). All had unusually shaped epithelial defects or ulcers, and the aetiology could not be easily diagnosed.

To ensure that these cases were not typical herpetic epithelial keratitis (and were not clearly another disease), two anterior segment photographs (one diffuse light photograph and one fluorescein stained photograph (Fig 1)) were shown to 14 ophthalmologists (with diploma in ophthalmology recognised in Japan), who were asked whether they thought the lesions were herpetic keratitis.

Polymerase chain reaction

With proper informed consent, we performed PCR to amplify and detect HSV DNA in tears taken from the 17 affected eyes of the suspected HSV keratitis patients, along with tears from their unaffected contralateral eyes and tears from 38 eyes of 19 healthy volunteers. Sample collection and PCR testing were performed in accordance with our previous report. We had confirmed that the method was sufficiently sensitive to detect HSV DNA in eyes with clinically diagnosed herpetic keratitis or conjunctivitis, and reliable enough not to give false positive results in normal subjects. In brief, tear samples were collected by placing Schirmer’s strips on the inferior temporal...
Figure 1  Fluorescein stained anterior segment photographs of 17 patients with atypical epithelial keratitis. Photograph numbers match patient numbers.
Results

HSV DNA was detected by PCR in eight of the affected eyes of the 17 patients, but in none of the unaffected eyes, nor in any of the 38 eyes of normal subjects, but were able to successfully detect HSV DNA in tears from 100% of patients examined who had been clinically diagnosed with HSV epithelial keratitis.

Discussion

In recent years, we have demonstrated the use of a diagnostic PCR strategy\(^4,5\) and have reported its clinical use in the diagnosis of herpetic keratitis.\(^6,7\) corneal endothelitis,\(^8\) and uveitis.\(^9,10\) These previous studies employed a reduced sensitivity PCR assay which is approximately 100 times less sensitive than the method used by Boerman and associates in their work on HSV in small volumes of cerebrospinal fluid.\(^24\) In our 1994 report describing the use of this reduced sensitivity PCR system, we reported that we had never detected HSV DNA in tear samples taken from normal subjects, but were able to successfully detect HSV DNA in tears from 100% of patients examined who had been clinically diagnosed with HSV epithelial keratitis.\(^4\)

Table 1  Summarised data of study group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Patient No</th>
<th>Age, sex</th>
<th>Number (%) of ophthalmologists suspecting HSV keratitis</th>
<th>PCR result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affected eyes of patients</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23, M</td>
<td>5/14 (36)</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>42, F</td>
<td>5/14 (36)</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>66, M</td>
<td>8/14 (57)</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>69, M</td>
<td>4/14 (29)</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60, M</td>
<td>9/14 (64)</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24, M</td>
<td>7/14 (50)</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>74, M</td>
<td>12/14 (86)</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29, M</td>
<td>4/14 (29)</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>74, M</td>
<td>4/14 (29)</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>69, M</td>
<td>4/14 (29)</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>82, M</td>
<td>12/14 (86)</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18, M</td>
<td>6/14 (43)</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>62, M</td>
<td>4/14 (29)</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>82, F</td>
<td>5/14 (36)</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>81, F</td>
<td>13/14 (93)</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>73, M</td>
<td>4/14 (29)</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>69, M</td>
<td>4/14 (29)</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaffected eyes of patients</td>
<td>1-17</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal controls</td>
<td>1-19</td>
<td>Average age 69 years</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(38 eyes)</td>
<td>10 males, 9 females</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2  Detection of herpes simplex virus DNA in tear samples. (Top) Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR products. Lanes 1–17 represent tears from 17 eyes of atypical epithelial keratitis patients. P=positive control; N=negative control. Patient Nos 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 17 showed 142 bp amplified bands. (Bottom) Southern blot hybridisation confirms results of agarose gel electrophoresis. Lanes 1–P are same as those at the top.

Figure 3  Distribution of percentages of 14 ophthalmologists suspecting herpetic keratitis in PCR positive and negative patients. Each lozenge matches one patient.

...results of agarose gel electrophoresis. Lanes 1–P are same as those at the top. Suspecting HSV keratitis (%)

...PCR negative (that is, HSV DNA not detected) group, compared with the PCR negative (that is, HSV DNA not detected) group.
Moreover, even though its sensitivity is reduced, this PCR system is more sensitive than a viral culture system.4

In the present study, we used the reduced sensitivity PCR system to investigate HSV DNA in tear samples from 17 consecutive patients with unusual epithelial defects or ulcers that led us to suspect HSV keratitis but which made definitive clinical diagnosis difficult. The results showed that none of the tears from the 17 contralateral unaffected eyes of the suspected HSV keratitis patients was positive for HSV; nor were the tears from 38 normal eyes. However, of the 17 affected eyes of 17 patients with suspected epithelial keratitis examined, HSV DNA was present in almost half (eight of 17). Although viral culture was not performed, on the basis of our PCR sensitivity the eight PCR positive eyes are strongly suspected of having HSV keratitis.

For the purposes of this study, we defined atypical epithelial keratitis as a condition characterised by the presence of unusually shaped defects or ulcers in the cornea, and in which characteristic HSV keratitis findings, such as dendritic or geographical ulcers with terminal bulbs and epithelial infiltrations, are not evident. When we showed clinical photographs to 14 ophthalmologists and asked for a diagnosis, we found little correlation (p=0.220) between the PCR results (positive or negative) and the ophthalmologists’ diagnosis.

The present study, using our reduced PCR system, indicates that some cases of atypical keratitis are in fact HSV keratitis; HSV epithelial keratitis should therefore be considered in cases of atypical epithelial keratitis.
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