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Abstract

Aim—To perform ultrasound biomicroscopic analysis of pseudophakic pupillary block glaucoma induced by lens capsule and a Soemmering’s ring and its resolution, and to elucidate the pathophysiology of this glaucoma.

Methods—A woman with pseudophakic pupillary block glaucoma underwent successful neodymium:YAG (Nd:YAG) laser photodisruption of the lens capsule through a laser iridotomised coloboma with resolution of the pupillary block. The Humphrey ultrasonic biomicroscope model 840 was employed to observe the anterior segment before and after laser photodisruption.

Results—Ultrasound biomicroscopic examination revealed the intraocular lens (IOL) was displaced forward, shallowing the central anterior chamber. The anterior hyaloid face was relatively posterior to the posterior capsule. The iris was in apposition to the anterior capsule, which was in touch with the IOL optics. A massive Soemmering’s ring, which extended from the IOL optics to the ciliary processes, was displaced anteriorly. The Soemmering’s ring consisted of several tightly packed layers. The ciliary processes rotated anteriorly. After Nd:YAG laser photodisruption of the lens capsule, ultrasound biomicroscopic images showed the resolution of the pupillary block and the anterior rotation of the ciliary process. The Soemmering’s ring moved posteriorly, and the layers in the ring became loose.

Conclusions—A massive Soemmering’s ring may one of potential causes of pupillary block after cataract surgery. Ultrasound biomicroscopy is potentially useful as a non-invasive diagnostic technique for clinical diagnosis and differentiation between Soemmering’s ring induced pupillary block glaucoma and other forms of pupillary block.

Pupillary block is the most common cause of angle closure following cataract surgery and intraocular lens (IOL) implantation. The pupil can become occluded by any surface that lies behind or in front of the iris. The causes of pupillary block after cataract surgery include leaky wound, postoperative iridocyclitis, dense, impermeable anterior hyaloid membrane (malignant glaucoma), adhesion between the pupil and IOL (pupillary block glaucoma), adhesion among the capsule, IOL and iris (posterior pupillary block glaucoma), pupillary block by air or silicone, inadequate iris openings, swollen lens material behind the iris, choroidal detachment and haemorrhage, scleral collapse, free vitreous block, and anterior chamber haemorrhage.

After cataract surgery and IOL implantation, in the peripheral part of the capsular bag, where the remaining anterior capsule rests on the posterior capsule, proliferation of lens epithelium results in a thick structure known as a Soemmering’s ring. It sometimes develops into having the appearance of a string of sausages. However, no Soemmering’s ring has been reported to cause pupillary block.

Conventional methods such as gonioscopy and ultrasonic biomicroscopy present difficulties in evaluating dimensions of the anterior chamber and their use can lead to an incorrect diagnosis. High resolution ultrasound biomicroscopy provides precise measurements and produces images that cannot be obtained by conventional methods.

The following patient who underwent cataract surgery and posterior chamber IOL implantation was initially seen with elevated intraocular pressure, an extremely shallow anterior chamber, peripheral iridocyclitis, posterior movement of IOL, findings from ultrasound biomicroscopy and subsequent neodymium:YAG (Nd:YAG) laser treatment indicated that a Soemmering’s ring and lens capsule induced pupillary block with aqueous accumulation behind the posterior capsule and collapse of the posterior chamber. This is the first time that a Soemmering’s ring has been demonstrated as a possible cause of pseudophakic pupillary block.

Patient and methods

ULTRASOUND BIOMICROSCOPIC MEASUREMENTS

An ultrasonic biomicroscope model 840 (Zeiss-Humphrey, San Leandro, CA, USA) with a 50 Hz transducer was employed. An eye cup was used to separate the eyelids after a drop of 0.5% proxymetacaine (proparacaine) had been applied. The eye cup was filled with 2.5% methylcellulose as a coupling medium. Scanning was performed in eight directions, placing the probe close to the area of interest. We repeated each measurement five times to confirm reproducibility and eliminate artefacts.

Anterior chamber depth was measured as an axial distance from the internal corneal surface to the lens surface. Ultrasound biomicroscopic
cross sectional views through the angle region were obtained for most of the measurements, which were made at 12:00, 1:30, 3:00, 4:30, 6:00, 7:30, 9:00, and 10:30 o’clock positions; views were as vertical as possible, as determined from the screen image. The following variables were measured: anterior chamber depth, trabecular iris angle, angle opening distance at 250 and 500 µm from the scleral spur (AOD250 and AOD500), iris thickness, trabecular-ciliary distance, iris-ciliary process distance, iris-zonule distance, scleral-iris angle, and scleral-ciliary process angle, as described by Pavlin et al.10–12

Before Nd:YAG laser photodisruption of the capsule and Soemmering’s ring, the mean and standard deviation was calculated from the values at all measured positions. After the treatment, the mean and standard deviation was calculated from the values at all measured positions except the 4:30 position, where the anterior synchiae was found.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Values are expressed as the mean (SD) and as a range. Unless otherwise specified, data were analysed by paired, unilateral t tests. A level of p <0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

Results

CASE REPORT

A 82 year old Japanese woman presented with a visual loss in the right eye in association with marked visual field defect and high intraocular pressure. She underwent uncomplicated cata-
ract phacoemulsification and intraocular lens (MZ60BD, Alcon, Fort Worth, TX, USA) implantation of both eyes 3 years ago, and Nd:YAG (Nd:YAG) laser posterior capsulotomy of the right eye a year ago.

The best corrected visual acuity was 0.05 right eye and 1.0 left eye. The Humphrey visual field test of the right eye showed a visual field defect of upper half, including the fixation. The intraocular pressure was 48 mm Hg right eye and 16 mm Hg left eye. Slit lamp biomicroscopy revealed that the anterior chamber was shallow centrally with peripheral iridocorneal apposition (Fig 1A). Several pits were found in the IOL corresponding to Nd:YAG laser capsulotomy. The posterior capsule was fibrotic with a central defect by Nd:YAG laser posterior capsulotomy. Indentation gonioscopy showed the angle to be closed 360°. B scan ultrasonography of the posterior segment was unremarkable.

Ultrasound biomicroscopy was employed to obtain cross sectional images of the anterior segment of the right eye. Pretreatment images showed peripheral iridocorneal touch with appositional angle closure (Fig 2B and C). The central view revealed that the IOL was displaced forward, shallowing the central anterior chamber (Fig 2A). The anterior hyaloid face was relatively posterior to the posterior capsule, and a distance between the IOL and the anterior hyaloid face was 613 µm. The iris was in apposition to the anterior capsule, which was in touch with the IOL optics. An ellipse whose posterior surface covered by the capsule was found from the edge of the optics to the ciliary process (Fig 1A). The ellipse was considered as a Soemmering’s ring. In the Soemmering’s ring, there were tightly packed several horizontal layers, which appeared to consist of fine fibrils. The entire Soemmering’s ring moved anteriorly. No adhesion was found between the Sommering’s ring and the iris. The ciliary processes rotated anteriorly (Fig 2B and C). Results of examination of the ciliochoroidal space did not show the presence of supraciliary or choroidal effusions.

Laser iridotomy for the right eye was performed at the 12:00 position. The positions of the iris, IOL, and ciliary body were unchanged. Through a coloboma by laser iridotomy, Nd:YAG laser photodisruption of the capsule and Soemmering’s ring was performed. The anterior chamber immediately deepened with resolution of the iridocorneal touch (Fig 1B). A small white mass was observed to move out of the retroiridal space into the anterior chamber through the iridotomy hole. The intraocular pressure reduced to 10 mm Hg. Gonioscopic examination showed the angle to be open with peripheral anterior synechia at the 4:30 position.

Ultrasound biomicroscopic examination showed that, immediately after laser surgery, the central anterior chamber depth deepened to 2796 µm and most of the angle opened (Fig 3A). Peripheral anterior synechia was seen at

Figure 3 Immediately after laser surgery. (A) The central anterior chamber is deep. (B) The angle is open. Ultrasound biomicroscopic examination showed the resolution of the touch between the iris, anterior capsule (arrowheads) and IOL optics, and the anterior rotation of the ciliary process (small arrows). The whole Soemmering’s ring and IOL optics moved posteriorly. Several layers in the ring which were tightly packed became loose. (C) Peripheral anterior synechia at the 4:30 position.
Table 1 Dimensions (SD) of anterior segment before and after resolution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Before resolution</th>
<th>After resolution</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior chamber depth (mm)</td>
<td>1192 (25)</td>
<td>2796 (25)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>1169 to 1219</td>
<td>2769 to 2819</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trabecular-iris angle (degree)</td>
<td>0.84 (1.47)</td>
<td>43.30 (7.38)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0 to 3.44</td>
<td>32.11 to 52.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOD250 (µm)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>253 (51)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0 to 13</td>
<td>188 to 312</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOD500 (µm)</td>
<td>13 (11)</td>
<td>429 (31)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0 to 31</td>
<td>381 to 463</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trabecular-ciliary process distance (µm)</td>
<td>567 (195)</td>
<td>1430 (160)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>431 to 902</td>
<td>1144 to 1306</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iris-ciliary process distance (µm)</td>
<td>157 (33)</td>
<td>506 (156)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>94 to 203</td>
<td>381 to 762</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iris-zonule distance (µm)</td>
<td>200 (33)</td>
<td>577 (109)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>175 to 256</td>
<td>488 to 738</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scleral-iris angle (degree)</td>
<td>8.3 (10.9)</td>
<td>30.43 (4.49)</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.82 to 23.8</td>
<td>23.5 to 35.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scleral-ciliary process angle (degree)</td>
<td>4.05 (9.45)</td>
<td>40.30 (12.02)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>−8.19 to 13.71</td>
<td>27.18 to 55.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 4:30 position and the capsule was adherent to the iris (Fig 3C). The IOL optics and the whole Soemmering’s ring moved posteriorly. In the Soemmering’s ring, the layers which were tightly packed before the laser treatment became loose (Fig 3B). Ultrasound biomicroscopic images showed the resolution of the touch between the iris, anterior capsule, and IOL optics, and the anterior rotation of the ciliary processes.

Table 1 showed dimensions of anterior segment before and after the Nd:YAG laser photodisruption of the capsule and Soemmering’s ring. Anterior chamber depth increased from 1192 µm to 2796 µm, trabecular-iris angle from 0.84° to 43.3°, AOD250 from 2 µm to 253 µm, AOD500 from 13 µm to 429 µm, trabecular-ciliary process distance from 567 µm to 1430 µm, iris-zonule distance from 200 µm to 577 µm, scleral-iris angle from 8.3° to 30.43°, and scleral-ciliary process angle from 4.05° to 40.3°; a significant difference was found in all measured dimensions between before and after the treatment. For 12 months after the laser treatment, the intraocular pressure of the right eye was controlled at 18 mm Hg or lower.

Discussion

We demonstrated ultrasound images of pseudophakic pupillary block glaucoma induced by a Soemmering’s ring and lens capsule, and its resolution.

The ultrasound biomicroscopy showed an oval structure which spread out from the edge of IOL optics to the ciliary processes and which was covered by the posterior capsule. The oval structure could not be observed directly because we were unable to dilate the pupil; however, it was considered as a Soemmering’s ring, because a white mass moving into the anterior chamber after laser capsulotomy appeared part of secondary cataract. The Soemmering’s ring, posterior capsule covering the IOL optics, and IOL optics might prevent aqueous humour from escaping from the posterior chamber into the anterior chamber. Before laser treatment, accumulation of aqueous humour behind the Soemmering’s ring and posterior capsule caused the entire Soemmering’s ring and IOL optics to move forward and several layers in the ring to become packed tightly. Nd:YAG laser photodisruption of the lens capsule allowed aqueous humour to pass from the posterior chamber into the anterior chamber, leading to the resolution of the pupillary block.

The differential diagnosis includes malignant glaucoma (posterior aqueous misdirection glaucoma), anterior pupillary block glaucoma (pupillary block), posterior pupillary block glaucoma (anterior aqueous misdirection glaucoma), choroidal haemorrhage, and ciliochoroidal effusion with anterior rotation of the ciliary body. In pupillary block, iris bombé is typically present and the IOL is in a relatively normal position. A formed posterior chamber and no anterior rotation of the ciliary body are found. After laser iridotomy, the iris contour flattens with minimal change in IOL position. In this patient, the posterior segment was normal. The configuration of the anterior segment in our patient was entirely different from that in pseudophakic pupillary block, and laser iridotomy did not relieve the pupillary block.

Clinical diagnosis and differentiation among Soemmering’s ring induced pupillary block, posterior pupillary block glaucoma, and malignant glaucoma are difficult. In all, the pathophysiology of aqueous misdirection lies in the altered relation and dysfunctional aqueous dynamics occurring behind the iris plane. This results in aqueous humour misdirection that eventually accumulates in the vitreous or retrocapsular space. This causes anterior rotation of the ciliary processes and shallowing of the anterior chamber. In malignant glaucoma, the volume and pressure within vitreous increases significantly; the anterior hyaloid membrane moves forward. In the posterior pupillary block glaucoma, adhesion among the iris, IOL, and capsule prevents aqueous humour from passing into the anterior chamber. In this patient, the anterior hyaloid becomes displaced backwards and the canal of Petit becomes an exaggerated space. Ultrasound microscopy showed a massive Soemmering’s ring extending to the ciliary processes and no adhesion between the iris and capsule, which was found in the posterior pupillary block glaucoma. Nd:YAG laser capsulotomy through the iridotomised coloboma relieved the pupillary block, whereas laser iridotomy was not effective. Therefore, the massive, broad Soemmering’s ring and capsule might hinder escape of aqueous humour into the anterior chamber.

Tomey et al reported that Nd:YAG laser posterior capsulotomy is an effective alternative to laser iridotomy for managing selected pupillary block in pseudophakic eyes. With an IOL in place, capsulotomy is reported to be effective outside of the lens optic or through one of the positioning holes. Capsulotomy behind the lens may be ineffective, since the lens itself may prevent the aqueous humour from gaining access from the retrocapsular space to the anterior chamber. This patient already underwent Nd:YAG laser capsulotomy, and laser iridotomy did not relieve...
the pupillary block. Capsulotomy was performed through the iridotomy hole, because inability of dilating the pupil prevented us from observing capsule outside of the lens optic or the positioning holes. Immediately after photo-
disruption of the capsule and Soemmering’s ring the anterior chamber deepened and the iridocorneal touch resolved with part of a Soemmering’s ring moving into the anterior chamber through the iridotomy hole.

In the patient with pseudophakic pupillary block glaucoma induced by a Soemmering’s ring, ultrasound biomicroscopy provided cross sectional images of the iris, anterior and posterior capsules, IOL, Soemmering’s ring and ciliary body, and their relative positions before and after laser treatment. Ultrasound biomicroscopy provides images which show the pathophysiology of pupillary block glaucoma induced by a Soemmering’s ring and is potentially useful as a non-invasive diagnostic technique for clinical diagnosis and differentiation among a Soemmering’s ring induced pupillary block glaucoma and other forms of pseudophakic pupillary block glaucoma.

Ultrasound biomicroscopic analysis of pseudophakic pupillary block glaucoma induced by Soemmering's ring
Hiroshi Kobayashi, Mio Hirose and Kaori Kobayashi

Br J Ophthalmol 2000 84: 1142-1146
doi: 10.1136/bjo.84.10.1142

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://bjo.bmj.com/content/84/10/1142

These include:

References
This article cites 23 articles, 3 of which you can access for free at:
http://bjo.bmj.com/content/84/10/1142#BIBL

Email alerting service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections
Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

Angle (1006)
Glaucoma (988)
Intraocular pressure (1002)
Eye (globe) (708)
Lens and zonules (807)

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/