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Abstract

Aim—To describe a surgical technique for autologous limbal stem cell transplantation and the outcome of a series of patients with unilateral stem cell deficiency.

Methods—A report of six consecutive patients who underwent autologous limbal stem cell transplantation is presented. The primary diagnosis included alkali burn (n=3), conjunctival intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) (n=1), recurrent pterygium (n=1), and contact lens induced keratopathy (n=1). The autologous transplanted tissue consisted of peripheral cornea, limbus, and conjunctiva obtained from the contralateral eye. Three of the above patients underwent penetrating keratoplasty in association with autolimbal transplantation. A significant modification to established techniques was the close monitoring of conjunctival epithelial migration in the immediate postoperative period. If conjunctival epithelium threatened to migrate on to the corneal surface, it was mechanically removed at the slit lamp and prevented from crossing the limbus. This was required in three patients.

Results—The mean follow up was 18.8 months. The outcome was satisfactory in all cases: a stable corneal surface was re-epithelialised exclusively from epithelial cells derived from the transplanted limbal tissue. This approach should improve the success of this procedure.

Conclusion—Autologous limbal transplantation with corneal, limbal, and conjunctival carriers was found to be useful for ocular surface reconstruction, over a mid-term follow up, in patients with unilateral stem cell deficiency. Close monitoring of the migration of conjunctival epithelium in the immediate postoperative period, and preventing it from crossing the limbus, ensured that the corneal surface was re-epithelialised exclusively from epithelial cells derived from the transplanted limbal tissue. This approach should improve the success of this procedure.

Clinical and laboratory evidence indicates that corneal stem cells are located at the limbus. Limbal deficiency, or loss of corneal stem cells, is associated with conjunctivalisation of the corneal surface, recurrent and persistent epithelial defects, chronic inflammation, scarring, and ulceration of the cornea. The management of these ocular surface disorders continues to pose a challenge. Early methods of reconstruction of the ocular surface included conjunctival transplantation and keratoepithelioplasty. Conjunctival transplantation was based on the theory of transdifferentiation of conjunctival epithelium into cornea-like epithelium. However, we now know that conjunctival epithelial transdifferentiation (that is, a morphological, biochemical, and physiological transformation of conjunctival epithelium into corneal epithelium) does not occur, and conjunctival transplantation to reconstruct the corneal surface in patients with corneal stem cell deficiency has been abandoned. Keratoepithelioplasty was proposed by Thoft as another alternative to reconstruct the ocular surface in patients with corneal stem cell deficiency. In this technique lenticles of peripheral corneal epithelium with superficial stroma were grafted. Subsequently, the same author modified the technique to include limbal tissue, acknowledging the importance of stem cell transplantation in these conditions, for a successful long term outcome. The use of cultured limbal epithelium is, at present, still under investigation. Limbal transplantation, as proposed by Kenyon and Tseng, is probably the best current option for ocular surface reconstruction in patients with total corneal stem cell deficiency.

This report describes a modified surgical technique for autologous limbal transplantation and the outcome in a series of patients with unilateral corneal stem cell deficiency.

Methods

Six consecutive patients with unilateral corneal stem cell deficiency who underwent autologous limbal transplantation were included. Demographics and clinical characteristics are summarised in Table 1. Five patients had long standing symptoms and signs characteristic of chronic and diffuse corneal stem cell deficiency including decreased vision, pain, photophobia, discomfort, corneal vascularisation and scarring, chronic redness, and recurrent episodes of epithelial defects. One patient (case 6) had a recurrent pterygium with restriction of ocular motility. The pterygium had been excised four times over a period of 6 years. A conjunctival autotransplant was used to cover
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the sclera at the time of the fourth excision, performed a year before presentation. Despite this the pterygium had recurred and encroached on the visual axis causing reduced vision and diplopia.

The surgical technique was similar in all cases. The donor tissue consisted of corneal-limbal-conjunctival explant(s) that were harvested from the contralateral normal eye, except in case 6, where it was harvested from the superior limbus of the same eye. In cases 1–4, two explants, corresponding to 2 clock hours (11–1 o’clock and 5–7 o’clock) and consisting of 2 mm of peripheral cornea, limbus, and 3 mm of bulbar conjunctiva, were harvested. In case 5, only one explant from the superior limbus of the contralateral eye and in case 6 only one explant, corresponding in circumferential length to 3 clock hours, were harvested. A front running double edged calibrated diamond knife was set to 150 µm. The knife was used to make a circumferential corneal incision, parallel to the limbus, and two radial incisions, extending from either end of the circumferential incision to the limbus. An angled bevelled blade was used to (lamellar) dissect the 150 µm of corneal tissue and the dissection was extended to include the limbal tissue and emerge beyond the limbus, under the conjunctiva. Three millimetres of conjunctiva, attached to the corneal and limbal explant along the limbal border, were then excised.

The recipient eye was prepared by incising the conjunctiva, at the limbus, adjacent to the area with the most severe corneal surface abnormalities, or at the superior and inferior limbus. The abnormal corneal epithelium and the superficial fibrovascular scar tissue were stripped off by blunt dissection. The calibrated diamond knife was set to 100 µm (an allowance of 50 µm was made for the lack of epithelium) and a bed, corresponding in dimensions to the donor explants, was fashioned at the recipient site(s). The donor tissue was then sutured onto the recipient eye with two interrupted 10-0 nylon sutures at the corneal margin and two along the scleral edge of the explant (Fig 1a).

The conjunctiva of the recipient eye was then approximated to the donor conjunctiva with interrupted 8-0 Vicryl sutures, taking a bite into episclera. When a penetrating keratoplasty was also required, this was performed after the limbal explants were first sutured into place (Fig 1b).

Two patients (cases 3 and 5) underwent penetrating keratoplasty (PK) at the time of limbal transplantation, and case 4 underwent PK 4 months after the original procedure. In case 6, mitomycin C (0.04%, 1 minute) was applied intraoperatively with a Weck-cel sponge to the subconjunctival tissue after excision of the recurrent pterygium and fibrous tissue. This was irrigated thoroughly before suturing the limbal explant.

Postoperative treatment consisted of preservative-free topical chloramphenicol and prednisolone 0.5% eye drops, four times daily for the first 2 weeks. Steroids were then tapered rapidly. Autologous serum drops were used in the immediate postoperative period, as previously described.34 Frequent preservative-free artificial tears were also used.

### Table 1: Demographics and clinical data of patients undergoing autologous limbal graft

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No, age (years), sex</th>
<th>Eye</th>
<th>Primary diagnosis</th>
<th>Previous surgeries</th>
<th>VA before ALT</th>
<th>VA after ALT</th>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Success</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1, 39, F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>CIN</td>
<td>Excisional biopsy (alcohol, cryotherapy)</td>
<td>6/36</td>
<td>6/9</td>
<td>Recurrence of CIN (controlled with MMC)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 30, M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Chemical burn</td>
<td>FS, ECCE + AV, AC-IOL, PK (×2)</td>
<td>1/60</td>
<td>31/636</td>
<td>Glaucoma, PK failure*</td>
<td>No*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, 79, M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Aphakia, CL wear multiple surgeries corneal gluing</td>
<td>HM PK</td>
<td>18/636</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4, 24, M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Chemical burn</td>
<td>Mucosal graft</td>
<td>2/60</td>
<td>16/636</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5, 58, F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Chemical burn</td>
<td>PK</td>
<td>15/636</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6, 50, M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Recurrent pterygium Pterygium excision (×4)</td>
<td>Conjunctival autolimbal graft (×1)</td>
<td>14/636</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VA = visual acuity; ALT = auto-limbal transplantation; CIN = carcinoma in situ; MMC = mitomycin C; CL = contact lens; FS = filtration surgery; ECCE = extracapsular cataract extraction; AV = anterior vitrectomy; AC-IOL = anterior chamber intraocular lens implantation; PK = penetrating keratoplasty; HM = hand movements.

*VA loss and failure after retinal detachment.
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All patients were followed daily or twice daily until corneal epithelialisation was complete. If conjunctival epithelium was seen to progress towards the limbus or encroach on to the cornea, it was mechanically debrided under topical anaesthesia, at the slit lamp as previously described, to ensure that corneal re-epithelialisation occurred with corneal epithelial cells derived from the transplanted explants. This was required in three patients (Fig 2a–f).

Results
In this series of patients median follow up time was 18.8 months (Table 1). No intraoperative complications occurred. Postoperatively, the limbal grafts started epithelial outgrowths within the first 2 days (Fig 2a, b) and the whole corneal surface was completely epithelialised within 2 weeks, in all cases. There was no infection, limbal graft failure, or slippage of tissue. The epithelium was stable, without recurrence of epithelial defects, transparent, and smooth. There was no corneal neovascularisation. Improvement of vision and symptoms after surgery was substantial in all cases (see Table 1 and Fig 3a–e). Case 3 had primary failure of the corneal allograft and glaucoma. Four weeks later he underwent repeat PK and diode laser cyclophotocoagulation was applied to the areas not covered by the limbal tissue. The outcome was satisfactory until 6 months later, when a retinal detachment was noted. Although the corneal surface remained healthy during follow up, his visual function deteriorated to hand movements.

In the donor eyes there were no intraoperative complications, refractive changes, chronic inflammation, persistent epithelial defects, or corneal neovascularisation. One of the patients (case 3) developed filamentary keratitis in the donor eye, which was controlled with intense topical lubrication.

Case 1, who had conjunctival intraepithelial neoplasia, had a recurrence of the lesion.

Figure 2. Fluorescein stained ocular surface photographs of recipient eye that had undergone auto-limbal transplantation. On day 2 following surgery, donor epithelial cells can be seen migrating on to the recipient surface from the explants placed at the 6 o’clock (a) and 12 o’clock (b) positions. Arrows indicate the anterior edge of the explants. On day 4 following surgery, host conjunctival epithelial cells can be seen migrating from the edge (arrows) of the recessed conjunctiva on both the temporal (c) and nasal (d) sides. The advancing conjunctival epithelial sheets were mechanically debrided with a surgical blade (e), leaving behind the limbal explant derived expanding corneal epithelial cells (arrows). (f) The circumferentially migrating limbal explant derived corneal epithelial cells have met temporally and nasally. The large corneal epithelial defect healed with corneal cells and the small nasal conjunctival defect healed with conjunctival cells. Healing was complete by day 8.
following auto-limbal transplantation. This had extended on to the cornea for approximately 4 mm at the time it was first seen. She was treated with mitomycin C drops and the abnormal epithelium disappeared, leaving the eye symptom free with a visual acuity of 6/9. Topical mitomycin C therapy did not affect the viability of the limbal grafts during the 6 month follow up period after completion of treatment.

Histological examination (available for two cases) of the fibrovascular membrane with overlying epithelium, excised from the corneal surface at the time of surgery, showed numerous intraepithelial goblet cells and basal intraepithelial lymphocytes. Preoperative impression cytology was performed for only one patient and this too showed multiple goblet cells. Pathological examination thus provided further evidence of the conjunctival origin of the “corneal epithelium”.

Discussion
A variety of techniques of limbal transplantation have been reported. All these procedures provide a new source of epithelium for a diseased ocular surface and the removal of the host’s altered corneal epithelium and pannus. From the donor tissue, transient amplifying cells are generated which migrate onto the denuded corneal surface of the host. The donor tissue can be obtained from the fellow eye (autograft)\(^{13} 15-17\) in cases of unilateral disease. Cadaveric whole globe or corneoscleral rim or a living relative (allograft)\(^ {14} 18-22\) can be used when both eyes are affected. Limbal transplantation procedures also vary depending on the carrier tissue used for the transfer of the limbal stem cells. Carrier tissue is needed in limbal transplantation because it is not possible to transfer limbal stem cells alone. Either conjunctiva (conjunctival limbal graft)\(^ {13}\) or corneal/limbal stroma (keratolimbal graft) have been used as carrier tissue for limbal stem cells.

This study describes the outcome of six patients who underwent a modified surgical technique of autologous limbal transplantation for a variety of ocular surface diseases. Superficial corneal stroma, perilimbal sclera, and conjunctiva were used as carriers for limbal cells and providers of an adequate microenvironment for their survival and replication. All cases achieved rapid surface healing with restoration of a smooth, stable, and optically improved surface, resulting in improved visual acuity. A key factor contributing to the success of this study is the early recognition of conjunctival epithelial migration towards the limbus and the prevention of such migration onto the cornea. To achieve this end it is imperative to monitor closely all patients following transplantation, until corneal re-
epithelisation, or at least limbal re-
epithelisation, with the auto-limbal transplant
derived corneal epithelial cells, is complete.
Failure to recognise this would result in a
mosaic of corneal and conjunctival cells
populating the corneal surface and apparent
failure of the procedure. The underlying
principles of this approach have been elaborated
on in previous publications.23 24 This is the
first study where this approach has been
successfully applied to the management of
patients undergoing auto-limbal transplanta-
tion.

The donor eyes healed rapidly without com-
pliation with the exception of one donor eye,
which developed filamentary keratitis, and was
controlled with topical lubricants. Jenkins et al25 observed that one of five donor eyes de-
veloped epitheliopathy after autologous limbal transplantation for ocular surface disease from
chronic contact lens wear. It is likely that the
donor eye that developed epitheliopathy was
not normal, as it was also exposed to chronic
contact lens wear. To avoid such complications
it is important to obtain limbal tissue from
donor eyes with healthy epithelial surface.
Microperforation of the donor eye has also
been reported.26 With our technique (superfi-
cial stromal dissection of the donor tissue,
facilitated by a calibrated diamond knife)
microperforation of the donor eye is unlikely to
occur. We did not observe this complication in
any of our patients.

The most frequent diagnosis was chemical
burn (n=3). These patients had long standing
poor vision and were not manageable with
keratoplasly alone as lamellar or penetrating
keratoplasty provides only a temporary re-
placement of the host’s corneal epithelium
and does not permanently reconstitute the limbal
function. One patient had retinal detachment
and poor visual outcome. It is unlikely, though,
that this complication was associated with lim-
bal transplantation.

Resection of squamous cell carcinoma with
extensive limbal and corneal involvement was
associated with alcohol application to the
epithelium and, after resection, with double freeze thaw cryotherapy to the affected area to
prevent recurrences. With this treatment ocu-
lar surface morbidity resulting from stem cell
destruction is very likely to occur. Autologous
limbal transplantation to restore the ocular
surface after conjunctival squamous cell carci-
noma resection has been reported by Copeland
and Char26 (n = 2) and by Tan et al27 (one
case). In the latter case a mild recurrence of
abnormal epithelium was noted 10 months
after surgery. In this study there was a
reurrence of the epithelial carcinoma, which
was successfully treated with mitomycin C eye
drops without compromising the normal cor-
neal epithelium.

Localised corneal stem cell dysfunction as
loss of limbal barrier against conjunctival
invasion has been proposed as a pathogenic
factor in pterygium growth and recurrence.
Multiple surgical approaches have been used
to treat recurrent pterygia as simple excision
results in severe fibrous tissue regrowth. To
treat this disorder both suppression of
subconjunctival fibrosis and reconstruction of
the limbal barrier are important. Intra-
operative or postoperative anti-fibrotic agents
have been used to reduce the probability of
recurrence of pterygium.28 29 Autologous
limbal transplantation30 and amniotic
membrane transplantation (AMT)31 have been
proposed as a valid option in recurrent cases.
In this study, pterygium excision supple-
mented with auto-limbal transplantation
(without AMT) and mitomycin C application
appeared to be successful after mid-term
follow up.

In conclusion, the use of autologous limbal transplantation in patients with unilateral stem
cell deficiency. The importance of recognising
and preventing the migration of conjunctival
epithelium on to the corneal surface, as
highlighted by this study, will significantly
improve the outcome of auto-limbal transplanta-
tion.

Dr Azuara-Blanco was the Vision Express fellow in cornea and
contact lenses (July 1997–December 1998).

We are grateful to Miss April Powell-Richards for her techni-
cal assistance with the manuscript, especially with the colour
photographs. Azurea-Azuara-Blanco was supported by Vision
Express UK Limited.

1 Kinoshita S, Kiorpes T, Friend J, et al. Limbal epithelium in
ocular surface wound healing. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci
2 Chen JY, Tseng SCG. Corneal epithelial wound healing in
partial limbal deficiency. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1990;31:
1301–14.
3 Chen JY, Tseng SCG. Abnormal corneal epithelial wound
healing in partial thickness removal of limbal epithelium.
4 Kruse FE. Stem cells and corneal epithelial regeneration.
5 Huang AJW, Tseng SCG. Corneal epithelial wound healing
in the absence of limbal epithelium. Invest Ophthalmol Vis
6 Thoft RA. Conjunctival transplantation. Arch Ophthalmol
7 Vostine DW, Stewart WB, Schwab IR. Reconstruction of the
pericocular mucous membrane by autologus conjunctival
8 Herman WK, Doughman DJ, Lindstrom RL. Conjunctival
autograft transplantation for unilateral ocular surface
9 Harris TM, Berry ER, Pukarz AS, et al. Biochemical trans-
formation of bulbar conjunctiva into corneal epithelium: an
10 Dua HS. The conjunctiva in corneal epithelial wound heal-
11 Thoft RA. Keratoepithioplasty. Am J Ophthalmol 1984;97:
1–6.
12 Lindberg K, Brown ME, Chaves HV, et al. In vitro propaga-
tion of human ocular surface epithelial cells for transplan-
13 Kenyon RK, Tseng SCG. Limbal autograft transplantation
23.
14 Dua HS, Azuara-Blanco A. Allo-limbal transplantation in
patients with corneal stem-cell deficiency. Br J Ophthalmol
15 Clinch TE, Goins KM, Cobo LM. Treatment of contact
lens-related ocular surface disorders with autologous
8.
transplantations for chronic ocular surface failures. Jpn J
the management of chronic contact-lens associated epithelio-
18 Turgon PW, Neuhime RC, Roat MI, et al. Indications for
19 Pfister R. Corneal stem cell disease: concepts, categoriza-
tion, and treatment by auto- and homotransplantation of
20 Tsai RJF, Tseng SCG. Human allograft limbal transplantation
for corneal surface reconstruction. Cornea 1994;13:
389–400.
21 Kenyon KR, Raposa PA. Limbal allograft transplantation
for ocular surface disorders. Ophthalmology 1995;102
(suppl):101–2.


Autologous limbal transplantation in patients with unilateral corneal stem cell deficiency

Harminder S Dua and Augusto Azuara-Blanco

Br J Ophthalmol 2000 84: 273-278
doi: 10.1136/bjo.84.3.273

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://bjo.bmj.com/content/84/3/273

References
This article cites 28 articles, 10 of which you can access for free at:
http://bjo.bmj.com/content/84/3/273#BIBL

Email alerting service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections
Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

Ophthalmologic surgical procedures (1223)
Cornea (524)
Ocular surface (618)
Angle (1006)
Conjunctiva (216)
Glaucoma (988)
Intraocular pressure (1002)
Retina (1608)

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/