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Abstract

Aims—To substantiate the claim that low vision aids reduce the degree of disability associated with visual impairment.

Methods—An observational study of vision, ocular pathology, age, sex, and reading ability in new referrals to a low vision clinic. Reading ability was assessed both with the patients’ own spectacles and with an appropriate low vision aid.

Results—The reading performance and biographical characteristics of new referrals to a low vision clinic were recorded. Data were collected for 168 people over a 6 month period. Upon arrival at the clinic the mean functional visual acuity equated to 6/36 and 77% of patients were unable to read newsprint (N8). After a low vision assessment and provision of a suitable low vision aid 88% of new patients were able to read N8 or smaller text.

Conclusions—The degree of visual impairment observed in new referrals to a low vision clinic is sufficient to prevent the majority from performing many daily tasks. Low vision aids are an effective means of providing visual rehabilitation, helping almost nine out of 10 patients with impaired vision to read.

(Br J Ophthalmol 2000;84:919–921)

The number of people with impaired sight that cannot be improved with the use of spectacles or other treatments is growing. Current estimates suggest that there are about one million people in the UK who could be registered blind or partially sighted. This estimate is supported by community based surveys which have shown that around 20% of those aged 75 and over have visual acuity less than 6/12.1 2 Demographic trends suggest that the numbers of people with impaired vision are likely to increase at least until 2021 because the main causes of low vision are age related.3

Medical intervention is unlikely to reduce significantly the numbers of people with impaired vision in the foreseeable future because there is currently no treatment for the primary cause of visual impairment, age related macular degeneration (ARMD).4 5 Furthermore, although advances in the management of conditions such as glaucoma and diabetes are likely to reduce the number of people presenting with visual loss attributable to these conditions the overall numbers of people presenting with impaired sight is likely to grow. This is because all the major causes of blindness are age related and there is a general trend towards increased life expectancy.

Given that it will not be possible to cure visual impairment the emphasis must be on providing an effective rehabilitative “low vision service”. Unfortunately, evidence shows that low vision service provision in the UK is a lottery.6 Some areas have a comprehensive service and others none at all. Furthermore, it appears that up to two thirds of people who would benefit from this service do not have access to it.7 Recently, the Low Vision Services Consensus Group made a number of recommendations about future low vision service delivery in the UK.8 These recommendations centre on the need for a comprehensive multidisciplinary approach to low vision and are likely to play an important part in shaping low vision service delivery in the UK. Although such an approach is likely to be successful there is little quantitative evidence about the effectiveness of such a service. This lack of evidence may be one of the main reasons why the low vision service is poorly developed in the UK.

One aspect of a comprehensive low vision service is the provision of suitable low vision aids. These devices help people to read and are likely to be beneficial because the inability to read is the chief complaint of patients with impaired vision.9 However, even the benefits of low vision aids are poorly recognised.10 This is not surprising, as there have been relatively few studies of the effectiveness of low vision aids. Those that have been conducted have used survey techniques to evaluate the performance of low vision aids, and they have not objectively quantified the reading performance before and after low vision aid provision.10

The purpose of this study was to quantify the improvement in reading performance associated with the use of low vision aids.

Subjects and methods

Data were collected over a 6 month period from October 1997 to March 1998 from all new referrals to the Cardiff University low vision clinic. This clinic provides low vision services in South Wales to patients referred by the University of Wales College of Medicine.

Each patient had a low vision assessment. This involved the taking of history and symptoms, detailed questioning about the patients’ visual requirements, assessment of their visual performance, refraction and an evaluation of the effect of magnification on their reading vision. This information was used to help to identify a suitable low vision aid.

Visual performance was evaluated using the Bailey-Lovie logMAR chart. This chart has a number of advantages over the conventional
All new referrals were accompanied by a letter from the referring ophthalmologist stating the diagnosis. Information about the patients’ ocular condition along with their age, sex, visual acuity before and after refraction, and their reading performance with reading spectacles both with and without an appropriate low vision aid was recorded. The data were analysed on computer using a software package (SPSS Ver 7.5).

**Results**

In total data were collected from all 168 new referrals to the low vision clinic over the 6 month period of this investigation. The biographical characteristics of the patients are summarised in Table 1.

Although the low vision clinic saw patients from almost all age groups (range 12–103 years) the vast majority (83%) were over retirement age (65 years). The mean age of low vision patients was 76 years.

For ease of interpretation data about visual performance recorded using the Bailey-Lovie logMAR chart were converted to the equivalent Snellen fraction and are also presented in Table 1. Patients attending the low vision clinic for the first time had a mean binocular visual acuity of only 6/36. Refraction improved binocular VA by one line or more in only 59 subjects. For this group the mean improvement in VA equated to an improvement from approximately 6/36 to 6/18.

Figure 1 shows that only 23% of new referrals to our low vision clinic could read standard newprint sized text (N8) on arrival at the clinic, indeed 40% were unable to read large print (N14). After provision of suitable low vision aids there was a marked increase in the number of people who could read. Almost nine out of 10 people with impaired vision were able to read standard sized newprint (N8 or better) with the appropriate low vision aid. Low vision aids significantly improved reading ability (Wilcoxon signed rank test, two tails, p<0.0001).

Of those people whose vision improved to N8 or better 58% had ARM, 6% had glaucoma, 6% diabetic retinopathy, and 5% myopic degeneration. This distribution is almost identical to the original sample. The mean presenting visual acuity and reading acuity of this group was 6/48 (range 1/60 to 6/9) and N17 (range N48 to N9) respectively.

Table 2 describes the types and powers of the magnifying devices used to bring about the improvement in reading ability. All devices were supplied on a loan basis free of charge in accordance with guidelines on best practice.

**Discussion**

The results of this study clearly show that low vision aids are an effective means of improving reading ability in people with impaired vision. The improved reading performance addresses the primary complaint of the majority of patients with ARM and it is perhaps for this reason that previous studies examining the effectiveness of low vision aid provision have...
reported that more than eight out of 10 patients reported a benefit from attending a low vision clinic.\textsuperscript{12}

The observation that the pathology profile of the group whose vision was improved to N8 or better, was virtually identical to the original sample suggests that the benefit of low vision aid provision is not dependent on underlying pathology.

In common with many eye clinics, this study only evaluated reading performance in terms of ability to read N type print rather than reading speed or duration (reading fluency was noted descriptively but such data are not readily amenable to analysis—for example, “N10 with ease”). However, even if text of a particular size cannot be read fluently for long periods thus allowing reading for pleasure, it still provides access to essential material—for example, bills and bank statements which equate to about N8.

The vast majority of people who attend a low vision clinic for the first time have a significant degree of visual impairment; almost eight out of 10 are unable to read normal print and almost seven out of 10 have a binocular visual acuity below 6/18. This finding is of some concern because people with such poor vision are likely to experience considerable difficulty in performing many daily tasks. Furthermore, these difficulties are likely to have been experienced for some time because many of the causes of visual impairment progress slowly and insidiously. Undoubtedly, there are many people in the community whose visual loss goes unrecorded.\textsuperscript{15,16} There may be several reasons why people with impaired vision do not attend the low vision clinic at an earlier stage, the patient may fail to recognise the degree of visual impairment,\textsuperscript{17} fear of treatment,\textsuperscript{18} the stigma of blindness,\textsuperscript{19} and differences in ophthalmological referral criteria.\textsuperscript{20} An additional reason might be that, because there is no treatment for conditions such as age related maculopathy, it is perceived that little can be done to help people with impaired vision. This study clearly shows that this is not the case and that low vision aids help people with impaired vision to read.

The author would like to thank JC Greatrex and R Earlam who helped to collect the data.

Helping blind and partially sighted people to read: the effectiveness of low vision aids

Tom H Margrain

Br J Ophthalmol 2000 84: 919-921
doi: 10.1136/bjo.84.8.919

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://bjo.bmj.com/content/84/8/919

These include:

References
This article cites 11 articles, 4 of which you can access for free at:
http://bjo.bmj.com/content/84/8/919#BIBL

Email alerting service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections
Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

Neurology (1355)
Vision (827)

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/