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Abstract

**Aims**—To study the relation between optic nerve head topography (Heidelberg retina tomograph, HRT) and disc area, visual field indices, and intraocular pressure (IOP), and to see whether change in HRT parameters is associated with change in MD in a prospective follow up.

**Methods**—80 consecutive patients (69 patients with exfoliation glaucoma and 11 with ocular hypertension combined with exfoliation syndrome) were examined before IOP reducing intervention and prospectively followed every 6 months for 2 years.

**Results**—At the entry point, multiple regression analysis showed significant linear association between MD and all HRT parameters, when controlling for disc area. Disc area showed significant association with cup area, cup/disc area ratio, rim area, cup volume, and mean RNFL thickness. Six months after intervention IOP had decreased significantly. Reversible changes in cup area, cup/disc area ratio, rim area, cup volume, rim volume, mean cup depth, and maximum cup depth were associated with decrease in IOP. During the follow up period from 6 month to 2 years, IOP did not change significantly, and MD was used as a measure of progression of glaucoma. During this period, only cup shape measure among HRT parameters showed significant association with subsequent change in MD.

**Conclusion**—Disc area should be taken into account when using HRT to compare patients. Any effect of change in IOP should be also taken into account when using HRT in follow up. Cup shape measure is a promising indicator of progression of glaucomatous damage.

Evaluation of optic nerve head (ONH) topography is an essential part of glaucoma diagnosis. Nowadays, many new objective methods are available to aid in detection of ONH damage. Scanning laser ophthalmoscopy (SLO) allows acquisition of reproducible and reliable images of the ONH. Many studies have examined the capability of SLO to differentiate between healthy eyes and eyes with ocular hypertension (OHT) and glaucoma. Although the characteristics of glaucomatous damage of the ONH have been well documented, the ONH topography is highly individual making classification between healthy and glaucomatous eyes difficult. The most advantageous application of SLO would be its use in follow up of patients to detect change or progression in ONH topography.

In this prospective study, patients with exfoliation glaucoma (ExG) or OHT with exfoliation syndrome (ExOHT) were followed for 2 years. The aim was to examine whether the Heidelberg retina tomograph (HRT, Heidelberg Engineering GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany) parameters depend on disc size and intraocular pressure (IOP), how the HRT parameters correlate with the visual field (VF) indices, and to see whether changes in the HRT parameters correlate with changes in VF indices.

**Patients and methods**

All consecutive patients who were referred to the Helsinki University Eye Hospital between May 1995 and May 1997 because of ExOHT or uncontrolled ExG were included in the study. Further treatment of each patient was decided by a senior ophthalmologist who examined the patients and chose one of the following interventions: medical treatment, argon laser trabeculoplasty (ALT), or trabeculectomy. Patients with previous ALT were accepted in the study only if the senior ophthalmologist chose trabeculectomy as the further treatment. Otherwise patients who had undergone ALT, trabeculectomy, or cyclodestruction were excluded from the study. Only eyes with refraction errors from −5.0 to +5.0 dioptres were accepted in the study. Eyes with dense cataract making SLO imaging impossible were excluded.

A total of 80 patients, 31 men and 49 women (p < 0.05, χ² test), met the criteria. Mean age was 68 (SD 7) years, range 50–83 (Table 1). Only one eye per patient was included in the study. The eye with OHT or uncontrolled glaucoma was chosen. In bilateral cases, the right eye was chosen. Mean IOP was calculated from diurnal measurements of IOP at 7.30, 11.30, and 14.30. Glaucoma was graded in seven stages according to a system modified from that of Thorburn (Table 2). The intervention was a change in the topical glaucoma medication in 13 eyes, ALT in 42 eyes, and trabeculectomy in 25. Of the 25 eyes in the trabeculectomy group, 11 had previously been treated with ALT. One eye had recovered from a venous occlusion (no VF defect at study...
Table 1  Demographic data for patients at the start of the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>68.0 (7.0)</td>
<td>50–83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean diurnal IOP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>24.2 (6.1)</td>
<td>12.3–50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP after HRT (mm Hg)</td>
<td>28.3 (9.1)</td>
<td>12.0–55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean refractive error (dioptres)</td>
<td>0.70 (1.90)</td>
<td>-4.75–4.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tests</th>
<th>Eyes</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visual acuity, Snellen lines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥0.8</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>66.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4–0.7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤0.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staging of glaucoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 = OHT</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other eye disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senile macular degeneration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigment epithelium atrophy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspicion of macular hole</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macular drusen</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venous occlusion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2  Staging of glaucoma. Modified from Thorburn

Stage 1: OHT = elevated IOP exceeding 24 mm Hg on at least two occasions, or one single measurement of 30 mm Hg or more with a normal visual field and without glaucomatous cupping of the optic disc.

Stage 2: Glaucomatous disc cupping with normal visual field.

Stage 3: Glaucomatous disc cupping and one scotoma within 30°, and/or a nasal step or sector shaped defect in the periphery.

Stage 4: As in stage 3, but with the addition of a new scotoma within 30° in the opposite half of the visual field, or the creation of a breakthrough to the periphery.

Stage 5: As in stage 4, with the addition of a breakthrough to the periphery both upwards and downwards from the paracentral scotoma.

Stage 6: A small remnant of the central visual field plus a temporal remnant.

Stage 7: A temporal remnant, loss of central visual field (visual acuity 0.1 or less).
ASSOCIATIONS BETWEEN HRT PARAMETERS AND VISUAL FIELD INDICES AT BASELINE

Associations between HRT parameters, MD, and disc area were studied by multiple regression analyses with the enter procedure. Analysis was repeated separately for every HRT parameter with disc area and MD as explanatory variables (Table 4). The R square shows how much of the variation in the dependent variable could be explained by the model including the two explanatory variables. Column B shows partial regression coefficients. According to the analyses, MD together with disc area could explain 65% of the variation in cup area. The analysis also shows that one unit increase in MD (dB) was associated with 0.030 units (mm²) increase in cup area (p <0.001) when the optic disc area was taken into account. Subsequently, in eyes with one unit (mm²) larger discs, cup area tends to be 0.787 units (mm²) larger (p <0.001) when the effect of glaucomatous damage (measured with MD) was taken into account. Similar statistically significant linear associations existed between MD and the other HRT parameters, as well. The optic disc area showed significant association with cup area, cup/disc area ratio, rim area, cup volume, and mean retinal nerve fibre layer (RNFL) thickness. Associations with borderline significance were found between disc area and height variation contour and cup shape measure. Rim volume, mean cup depth, maximum cup depth, and RNFL cross section area appeared to be unaffected by the area of the ONH.

REVERSAL CHANGES IN HRT

Associations between change in IOP and changes in the HRT parameters were similarly studied with multiple linear regression analyses. The period from the pre-intervention visit to the first follow up visit at 6 months was chosen, since the greatest change in IOP occurred during that time. Changes were calculated as “post-treatment value minus pretreatment value”. A negative value indicates decrease in the variable and vice versa. Age, disc area, change in IOP (values measured after imaging with dilated pupils), and stage of glaucoma served as explanatory variables of the procedure. Each of the HRT parameters served separately as a dependent variable (Table 5). Column B shows the partial regression coefficients, with a positive prefix indicating that changes in dependent and the explanatory variables were in the same direction. Decrease in IOP was associated with a significant decrease in cup area, cup/disc area ratio, cup volume, mean cup depth, and maximum cup depth, and a significant increase in rim area and rim volume, when effects of age, stage of glaucoma, and disc area were taken into account. No association appeared between change in IOP and height variation contour, cup shape measure, mean RNFL thickness, or RNFL cross section area. Age had a significant association with changes in cup volume, mean cup depth, and maximum cup depth; in older patients, values for these variables decreased more than in younger patients. Stage of glaucoma had a significant association only with changes in cup volume and mean cup depth; these variables decreased more in eyes with advanced glaucoma than in eyes with early glaucoma. Disc area had a significant association only with change in cup volume and height variation contour; in larger discs, cup volume decreased and height variation contour increased more than in smaller discs.

FOLLOW UP FROM 6 MONTHS TO 2 YEARS

As shown above, decrease in IOP affected most of the HRT parameters. To reduce this effect of IOP on HRT parameters during the follow up period, a separate analysis of changes from 6 months to 2 years was performed, during which period the IOP was more stable. IOP, measured after imaging, increased from 16.8 (SD 3.8) mm Hg at 6 months to 19.0 (4.5) mm Hg at 2 years (p = 0.052, Wilcoxon signed rank test). MD was selected as an indicator of progression of glaucoma, and its change served

Table 4 The results of multiple linear regression analysis showing the associations between HRT parameters and the MD and disc area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
<th>R square</th>
<th>Anova p value</th>
<th>Explanatory variable</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cup area</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cup/disc area ratio</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rim area</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.006†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height variation contour</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>-0.030</td>
<td>0.018†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cup volume</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.011†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rim volume</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
<td>0.861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean cup depth</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum cup depth</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.002†</td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cup shape measure</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean RNFL thickness</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNFL cross section area</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>-0.021</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Significant at 0.05 level. *Borderline significance.
as the dependent variable in the analyses. Reliable VF’s taken with Octopus G1 at 6 months and at 2 years were both available for only 56 eyes. Although the Wilcoxon signed rank test showed that the mean change in MD was non-significant (mean 6.9 (SD 4.9) dB at 6 months, 6.7 (5.2) dB at 2 years, p = 0.487), a total of 31 eyes showed improvement in MD (range 0.10–5.20 dB) and 25 eyes impairment in MD (range 0.1–4.10 dB). Multiple linear regression analysis was performed to examine whether any of the HRT parameters were associated with change in MD. Mean IOP at 2 years (diurnal IOP), change in IOP from 6 months to 2 years (measured after imaging), age of patient at the first visit, and disc area were put into the model as a block with the entry procedure since they were known, according to previous analyses, to affect HRT parameters. Then a second block which included all HRT parameters (changes from 6 months to 2 years) was added to the model with the stepwise procedure. The only HRT parameter which was picked up with the stepwise procedure was change in cup shape measure. The final analysis with cup shape measure and the other factors (mean IOP, change in IOP, age, and disc area) was performed with the procedure, and resulted in a R square of 0.26 (p = 0.026) for change in IOP, 9.13 (p = 0.009) for age, 0.989 (p = 0.051) for disc area, and 17.21 (p = 0.013) for cup shape measure. However, when examining the residual plots, three possible outliers were noted. The charts of these three patients were examined and they were the ones with the greatest decrease (5.2 dB) and the two with greatest increase (4.10 and 4.0 dB) in MD during the follow up. The procedure was repeated with these three patients excluded, the results of which are shown in Table 6. The model explained 25% of the change in MD from 6 months to 2 years. Increase in MD was associated with increase in cup shape measure (p = 0.046) and increase in IOP with borderline significance (p = 0.056). Greater age affected MD in the same direction (p = 0.033). In larger discs, MD increased more (p = 0.010). IOP level at 2 years failed to show any significant effect on MD (p = 0.997). The partial regression plot for change in cup shape measure and change in MD is shown in Figure 1. The HRT parameters correlated with each other. These multiple correlations (multi-collinearities) can result in inaccuracies in estimating the effects of HRT parameters; for example a HRT parameter can be taken into the model by chance. Therefore, the analysis was repeated with the enter procedure by taking each of the HRT parameters at one table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable (change in)</th>
<th>R square</th>
<th>Anova p value</th>
<th>Explanatory variable</th>
<th>B × 10⁻¹</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cup area</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
<td>IOP change</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>−5.77</td>
<td>0.814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stage of glaucoma</td>
<td>−4.52</td>
<td>0.678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cup/disc area ratio</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
<td>IOP change</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stage of glaucoma</td>
<td>−2.82</td>
<td>0.573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rim area</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
<td>IOP change</td>
<td>−4.50</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>0.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>−2.54</td>
<td>0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height variation contour</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.002†</td>
<td>IOP change</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>0.861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>−1.02</td>
<td>0.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>29.58</td>
<td>0.022†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stage of glaucoma</td>
<td>−1.54</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>0.184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cup volume</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
<td>IOP change</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>0.003†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>−42.87</td>
<td>0.046†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>0.002†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stage of glaucoma</td>
<td>−22.62</td>
<td>0.018†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rim volume</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.016†</td>
<td>IOP change</td>
<td>−1.38</td>
<td>0.006†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>11.78</td>
<td>0.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>−0.42</td>
<td>0.547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean cup depth</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
<td>IOP change</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>0.479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.007†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stage of glaucoma</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum cup depth</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.001†</td>
<td>IOP change</td>
<td>−13.94</td>
<td>0.002†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>0.008†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>−9.01</td>
<td>0.629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stage of glaucoma</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>0.045†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cup shape measure</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.332</td>
<td>IOP change</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>10.54</td>
<td>0.319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean RNFL thickness</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>IOP change</td>
<td>−7.41</td>
<td>0.117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>−0.51</td>
<td>0.154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNFL cross section area</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>IOP change</td>
<td>13.31</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>−0.44</td>
<td>0.376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>−1.42</td>
<td>0.675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stage of glaucoma</td>
<td>−2.66</td>
<td>0.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>65.51</td>
<td>0.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>−2.551</td>
<td>0.319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stage of glaucoma</td>
<td>−7.70</td>
<td>0.655</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Significant at the 0.05 level.
### Table 6 Results of multiple linear regression analysis for changes from 6 months to 2 years, with associations between change in MD and explanatory variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
<th>R square</th>
<th>Anova p value</th>
<th>Explanatory variable</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change in MD</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.015†</td>
<td>Mean IOP at 2 years</td>
<td>-2.49 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>0.58†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Change in IOP</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.056*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>6.69 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>0.03†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disc area</td>
<td>1.185</td>
<td>0.010†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Change in cup shape</td>
<td>6.305</td>
<td>0.040†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Significant at the 0.05 level.
*Borderline significance.

Interestingly, rim volume, mean cup depth, maximum cup depth, and RNFL cross section area appeared to be unaffected by disc area, a fact supported by the findings of others concerning HRT in healthy eyes.²⁻³ Previouslry, the optic disc area has been shown to correlate with neuroretinal rim area, cup area, and cup/ disc ratio when measured from fundus photographs⁻¹⁰⁻²² as well as with cup volume when measured by a different kind of computerised image analysis system (the Rodenstock analyser).²¹ Our result supports the importance of adjusting for disc area when HRT values are compared between different groups of patients.

After adjustment for optic disc area in multivariate analyses, MD was found to be associated with all HRT parameters. Correlations between VF indices and HRT parameters have also been reported, but those studies made no adjustment for optic disc area.²⁶⁻²⁸ Our findings suggest that all HRT parameters reflect glaucomatous damage when the effect of disc size is controlled for.

Experimental studies with enucleated human and primate eyes have shown that increase in IOP causes backward bowing of the lamina cribrosa.²⁸⁻³⁰ In our study, reduction in IOP was associated with reversal changes in cup area, cup/disc area ratio, rim area, cup volume, rim volume, mean cup depth, and maximum cup depth. Previously, reversal of ONH topography after reduction in IOP has been documented in experimental and clinical studies with humans and primates using stereophotography or computerised image analysis.³⁵⁻³⁷ At least four other studies have recently reported reversible changes documented with the HRT after reduction in IOP.³⁸⁻⁻⁴⁰ Our findings accord best with those of Lesk et al, who, when they correlated changes in HRT with percentage changes in IOP, found reversible changes 6 months after glaucoma surgery in the same parameters that we did. Frak et al evaluated percentage changes and mean changes in IOP, finding that percentage changes in IOP correlated also with changes in cup shape measure and RNFL cross section area, but not with changes in maximum cup depth; but no correlations existed between mean change in IOP and cup volume or rim volume. Topouzis et al compared HRT parameters before and after trabeculectomy, but did not correlate the changes with IOP. Two weeks after treatment they reported reversible changes in some HRT parameters, but after 4 months only in cup shape measure, and at 8 months in no parameters. They suggest that lack of reversible changes might have been due to the advanced glaucoma of their patients. We included glaucoma stage in our analyses, and found it to be associated only with reversibility of cup volume and mean cup depth. In fact, reversible changes in these parameters were greater in the eyes with more advanced glaucoma. Reversible changes in advanced glaucoma has also been reported previously.

Age was associated with reversibility of cup volume, mean cup depth, and maximum cup depth. Older patients had greater reversible time together with the other variables (mean IOP, change in IOP, age, and disc area) as explanatory variables. MD served as the dependent variable. However, only cup shape measure reached significance at the 0.05 level.

#### Discussion

In this prospective study, 80 eyes of 80 consecutive patients with exfoliation glaucoma or ocular hypertension in an eye with exfoliation syndrome were followed for 2 years with 6 month intervals after IOP reducing intervention with increased topical medication, ALT, or trabeculectomy. Most HRT parameters were shown to be affected by disc area, and also showed reversal of changes when IOP was lowered. During the follow up, a statistically significant association with changes in MD was found for cup shape measure.

In the Helsinki region, patients with ExG are usually managed on an outpatient basis by private ophthalmologists. Since patients were referred to the hospital because of uncontrolled IOP or progression of glaucoma, they may therefore have represented a more progressive form of ExG or OHT than would the average glaucoma population. Of the 80 eyes, 11 had OHT without glaucomatous defects in the ONH or VF but were included in the study because they represented a high risk for glaucomatous damage due to exfoliation syndrome and high IOP.⁴¹

That IOP decreased significantly after intervention may have had a beneficial effect on the VF, since MD values showed improvement after treatment. This is in accord with previous studies showing reversibility of the glaucomatous VF defect after reduction in IOP.⁴²⁻⁴⁵ Another explanation might be a learning effect,⁴⁶ but all these patients were experienced with perimetry.

Study of disc area versus HRT parameters showed an association for most parameters.

![Image](https://via.placeholder.com/150)
changes than younger ones, in accord with
the findings of Lesk et al., though their findings
concerned only a subpopulation of patients with
IOP reduction of 40% or more.

To examine whether progression of glauco-
ma could be detected with HRT, we
examined changes from 6 months to 2 years, a
period selected because IOP remained stable,
and most HRT parameters had been found to
be affected by change in IOP. VF index MD
was selected as the indicator of progression.
It is not the ideal measure for progression, since it
has been shown to be sensitive to cataract for-
mation, long term fluctuation, and other physi-
onical factors; however, it allows us to study
any linear associations with indices of topogra-
phy. Although only slight changes in the MD
were noted during this period, change in cup
shape measure in a positive direction, indicat-
ing “more glaucomatous”, was associated with
change in MD to higher values, and vice versa.
No significant associations occurred with the
other HRT parameters. This suggests that cup
shape measure may be a sensitive indicator of
progression in follow up, a theory supported by
previous studies finding cup shape measure to
be one of the best parameters to differenti-
ate healthy eyes, eyes with OHT, and eyes with
glaucoma.11,12 and to detect early glaucomatous
VF loss.13 Cup shape measure has also been
shown to correlate with VF indices.13 Recently,
Kamal et al.14 reported on changes in HRT
parameters in 13 OHT patients who subse-
quently developed glaucoma. From the global
HRT parameters, they found significant
changes in cup area, cup/disc area ratio, and
rim area, but not in cup shape measure (nor in
cup volume or rim volume). However, they did
not evaluate change in IOP during the follow up
period, which might have had an effect on
results.

We suggest that when using HRT to
c ompare different groups of patients, the disc
area should be taken into account, and in
follow up of patients, any effect of change in
IOP should also be taken into account.

According to our results, cup shape measure is
a promising indicator of progression of glauco-
omatous damage in the ONH.
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