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**Corneal toxicity secondary to inadvertent use of benzalkonium chloride preserved viscoelastic material in cataract surgery**
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Aims: To study the long term toxic effects of intraocular benzalkonium chloride (BAC).

Methods: 19 patients exposed to intraocular BAC preserved viscoelastic during cataract surgery in February 1999 developed severe striae keratopathy immediately postoperatively. 16 patients, including two who underwent penetrating keratoplasty, were studied in the period April to June 2000. Ocular symptoms, visual acuity, biomicroscopy, intraocular pressure, dilated funduscopy, specular endothelial microscopy, and corneal pachymetry findings were recorded. The corneal and iris specimens of the two patients who underwent keratoplasty were studied by light, transmission, and scanning electron microscopy.

Results: Six males and 10 females, aged 64–98 years, were studied 14–16 months postoperatively. All patients were symptomatic. 12 patients had best corrected visual acuity of 6/12 or better and four patients of between 6/18 and 6/60. Five patients had corneal epithelial oedema and 11 had Descemet’s membrane folds. The central corneal thickness, 620 (SD 71) µm, in affected eyes was significantly higher (p<0.005, two tailed paired t test) than that of the contralateral eyes, 563 (SD 48) µm. The endothelial cell density was significantly lower (p<0.0001, two tailed paired t test) in affected eyes: 830 (SD 280) cells/mm² v 2017 (SD 446) cells/mm². The mean average cell area was significantly higher in the BAC treated eyes: 1317 (SD 385) µm² v 521 (SD 132) µm². There was no significant difference in the coefficient of variation of cell size between the two eyes (p=0.3, two tailed paired t test). Two corneal specimens displayed morphological features of bullous keratopathy and other non-specific abnormalities. Extracellular melanosomes were present in a portion of the iris of one case.

Conclusion: BAC is toxic to the corneal endothelium when used intraocularly, leading to severe striae keratopathy. This cleared in most cases but left varying degrees of residual stromal thickening in all eyes. If penetrating keratoplasty is required the results are excellent.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Clinical examination

Nineteen patients inadvertently received hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) 2% preserved with BAC 0.01% during phacoemulsification performed at another institution in February 1999, which resulted in severe postoperative corneal oedema. The BAC preserved HPMC was manufactured by the pharmacy department of Torbay Hospital, and was meant for external use only. The patients were reviewed regularly postoperatively, but they were formally studied during the period from April to June 2000. One patient died 1 month after the operation from an unrelated cause. One patient was unable to attend owing to poor health and one refused participation in the study. Therefore, 16 out of the original cohort of 19 patients were formally studied. Two patients (cases 14 and 15) underwent penetrating keratoplasty 4 months following their cataract surgery because of severe ocular pain secondary to bullous keratopathy.
Ocular symptoms were sought with special attention paid to reduction and diurnal fluctuation of vision, pain, photophobia, and glare. Best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was tested with a Snellen chart. Slit lamp biomicroscopy was performed with special consideration to corneal and iris changes. Intraocular pressure measurement and dilated fundoscopy, after instillation of unpreserved eye drops of tropicamide 1% and phenylephrine 2.5%, were performed in all cases.

Morphometric analysis
Specular endothelial microscopy of the central cornea was performed with a non-contact specular microscope (Topcon, model SP-2000P) and quantitative corneal endothelial cell analysis was done with a variable frame analysis method. Several microphotographs of the corneal endothelium were taken from the central area of each cornea and the one with best clarity was used for analysis. The maximum possible number of well defined endothelial cells (range 10–26 cells) was analysed. The number of the endothelial cells studied on each cornea varied owing to variations in cell size and the presence of Descemet’s membrane folds obscuring clarity of the cell borders. The endothelial cell density (number of cells/mm²), the average cell area (µm²), and the coefficient of variation in cell size, an index of polymegathism, were obtained from both eyes and compared using the two tailed paired Student’s t test. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. One patient (case 10) was unavailable for specular microscopy and pachymetry. In one eye (case 16) specular microscopy was impossible in the study eye owing to severe corneal oedema. Although specular microscopy and pachymetry studies of the corneal grafts were performed on the two grafted patients their results have not been included in the statistical analysis.

Pachymetry
Central corneal thickness was measured (in µm) in both eyes with an ultrasonic pachymeter (Quantel Medical Biovision International, France, Model Pocket) after instillation of Minims Benoxinate 0.4% (oxybuprocaine, Chauvin). Five measurements from the central cornea were obtained and the average value was calculated. The corneal pachymetry measurements of the two eyes were compared using the two tailed paired Student’s t test.

Tissue studies
Corneal tissue obtained at penetrating keratoplasty and a portion of iris obtained at an iridectomy were processed for light and electron microscopy from cases 14 and 15. In both instances half of the corneal button was fixed in formalin and then processed for light microscopy. The remaining corneal tissue was fixed in glutaraldehyde and prepared for both scanning and transmission electron microscopy. The iris tissue was processed for transmission electron microscopy after thin sections were examined by light microscopy.

RESULTS
Demographic Characteristics (Table 1)
The 16 studied patients (six male and 10 female) underwent phacoemulsification with polymethylmethacrylate intraocular lens (Storz, P359UV) implantation in February 1999. The operation was uneventful in all cases apart from two. One operation (case 6) was complicated by posterior capsular rupture with no vitreous loss and an intraocular lens was inserted in the ciliary sulcus. Another patient (case 11) developed perioperative miosis before capsulorhexis was attempted and the operation was abandoned and completed the following day. Seven patients had surgery in their left eye and nine patients in their right eye. All the patients except two (cases 8 and 10) had previously undergone uneventful phacoemulsification and intraocular lens implantation in the fellow eye. The mean age of the patients at the time of surgery was 79.9 (SD 8.3) years. The mean follow up period for the non-grafted patients was 14.9 (SD 0.7) months, whereas the two grafted patients (cases 14 and 15) were followed up at 12 months following corneal transplantation.

Symptoms
All 16 patients were still experiencing symptoms of variable severity in the BAC exposed eyes. The symptoms included blurring (n=8), pain (n=5), photophobia (n=9), glare (n=6), and diurnal fluctuation of vision (n=1). The two corneal grafted patients were also symptomatic, with case 14 complaining of photophobia and case 15 of pain, although their symptoms had improved considerably following penetrating keratoplasty. Seven patients had various symptoms in contralateral eyes including blurring (n=3), photophobia (n=7), and glare (n=4). Four patients were asymptomatic in the fellow eyes and five patients were not asked.

Visual Results (Table 1)
The preoperative BCVA ranged from 6/9 to counting fingers (CF). At the first postoperative visit (range 1–14 days after the operation) all patients were recorded as having significant visual acuity.

Table 1: Demographic characteristics and visual results of patients in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Eye</th>
<th>Follow up (months)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Preop VA</th>
<th>Postop VA</th>
<th>VA at study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>HM</td>
<td>6/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/60</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>6/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/12–1</td>
<td>HM</td>
<td>6/9–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/18+1</td>
<td>HM</td>
<td>6/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/9</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/9–3</td>
<td>HM</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/6–3</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/60</td>
<td>HM</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/9</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>6/9+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>Not available for morphometric studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/9+3</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>6/6+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/18–1</td>
<td>6/36</td>
<td>6/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/24</td>
<td>HM</td>
<td>6/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>PK</td>
<td>6/18</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>6/9 Pre-PK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>PK</td>
<td>6/12–1</td>
<td>HM</td>
<td>3/60 Pre-PK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/9</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>6/60+1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preop VA = preoperative BCVA; Postop VA = first visit BCVA; VA at study = BCVA at the time of study; PK = penetrating keratoplasty; HM = hand movements; CF = counting fingers.
striate keratopathy and their BCVA was recorded as hand movement (HM) in seven patients, CF in eight patients, and 6/36 in one patient. At the time of the study visit, 12 patients had BCVA 6/12 or better and four patients between 6/18 and 6/60 (Table 1).

The two patients who had undergone penetrating keratoplasty achieved BCVA of 6/9 and 6/12.

Clinical results (Table 2)

Corneal epithelial oedema, mainly localised around the corneal section, was seen in five patients, stromal oedema in four patients, Descemet’s membrane folds in 11 patients (ranging from one to several folds) and biomicroscopically, using specular reflection, enlarged endothelial cells in 11 patients. The two corneal grafts remained clear (12 months post-keratoplasty), apart from a small Salzmann’s nodule on the graft of one patient close to the graft-host junction (case 14).

Two patients (cases 1 and 15) had fluffy iris tags near the pupillary margin (bilaterally in case 15) and three patients had small, localised iris transillumination defects (cases 2, 11, and 16). The posterior capsule was opacified in 14 eyes. Five eyes had had neodymium:YAG laser posterior capsulotomy and in the remaining nine eyes the opacification was not sufficiently significant to necessitate capsulotomy. The intraocular pressure was within normal limits in all patients and not different from the contralateral eyes. The pupil dilated well in 13 eyes while in three eyes mydriasis was poor. There was no clinical difference in the pharmacological mydriasis in the two eyes of any patient. Dilated funduscopic appearances are summarised in Table 2.

Pachymetry (Table 3)

The mean central corneal thickness of the study eyes, 620 (SD 71) µm was significantly higher (p<0.005) than the mean of the contralateral eyes, 563 (SD 48) µm. The central corneal thickness of the two corneal grafts was 503 and 536 µm for cases 14 and 15 respectively.

Specular endothelial microscopy (Table 3)

The mean endothelial cell density was significantly lower (p<0.0001) in the BAC affected eyes: 830 (SD 280) cells/mm² compared with that of the fellow eyes: 2017 (SD 446) cells/mm². The mean average cell area of the study eyes was 1317 (SD 365) µm², and it was significantly higher than that of the fellow eyes, 521 (SD 132) µm². There was no significant

---

**Table 2** Clinical findings of patients in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No</th>
<th>ECO</th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>DMF</th>
<th>ECEC</th>
<th>Optic disc</th>
<th>Macula</th>
<th>Retina</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>One MA</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Cupped c/d 0.8</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>ARM D</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Drusen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Drusen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Drusen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ECO = epithelial corneal oedema; SCO = stromal corneal oedema; DMF = Descemet’s membrane folds; ECEC = biomicroscopically visible enlargement of corneal endothelial cells; N = within normal limits; MA = retinal microaneurysm; Drusen = macular retinal drusen; ARM D = age related macular degeneration.

**Table 3** Pachymetry and specular microscopy results of patients in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>POE</th>
<th>PFE</th>
<th>ECDOE</th>
<th>ECDFE</th>
<th>ACAOE</th>
<th>ACAFE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>958</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>1896</td>
<td>1636</td>
<td>527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>2080</td>
<td>1166</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>1684</td>
<td>1315</td>
<td>593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>2324</td>
<td>1597</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>2199</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>2348</td>
<td>1402</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>2820</td>
<td>1468</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>1244</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Not available for pachymetry and specular microscopy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>1304</td>
<td>2390</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>1392</td>
<td>1360</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>1675</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>503*</td>
<td>531*</td>
<td>1563*</td>
<td>1068*</td>
<td>639*</td>
<td>936*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>536*</td>
<td>526*</td>
<td>1153*</td>
<td>2580*</td>
<td>867*</td>
<td>387*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>Specular microscopy not possible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1317</td>
<td>521</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

POE = pachymetry of the operated eye; PFE = pachymetry of the fellow eye; ECDOE = endothelial cell density of the operated eye; ECDFE = endothelial cell density of the fellow eye; ACAOE = average cell area of the operated eye; ACAFE = average cell area of the fellow eye.

*Corneal grafted patients not included in the statistical analysis.
difference in the coefficient of variation of cell size (p=0.3) between the study eyes (50%) and the contralateral eyes (46%). All these patients but one had cataract surgery in the fellow eyes.

Comparing the endothelial cell densities of the two eyes and assuming similar endothelial cell densities bilaterally and similar endothelial cell loss for eyes operated by the same surgeon and with the same technique, we estimated the endothelial cell loss that occurred as a result of the use of BAC preserved viscoelastic. The two grafted patients have been excluded from this calculation as well as case 8 who had cataract surgery in only one eye. The mean endothelial cell density of the operated eyes with BAC preserved HPMC was 844 cells/mm² whereas in the contralateral eyes was 1945 cells/mm².

One could therefore assume that the difference, approximately 57%, in the endothelial cell densities represents the cell loss due to endothelial toxicity of the BAC. In case 12, however, an almost identical endothelial cell density bilaterally may be caused by excessive intraoperative endothelial cell loss in the fellow eye rather than lack of BAC toxicity in the affected eye.

Endothelial cell density of the corneal grafts was 1563 and 1153 cells/mm² for cases 14 and 15 respectively; whereas the average cell area was 639 and 867 µm² and the coefficient of variation was 32% and 36%, respectively.

Tissue studies

The corneal epithelium of both specimens varied in thickness (two to five cells thick) with parts being thinner than normal. Some basal epithelial cells in case 15, but not case 14, were less eosinophilic than normal and several of these cells had a vacuolated cytoplasm. Subepithelial bullae separated portions of the epithelium from the underlying epithelial basal lamina. The peripheral cornea on one side of the specimen from case 14 contained a subepithelial focal accumulation of erythrocytes, but this abnormality was absent in the corneal tissue from the other patient. Bowman's layer, the corneal stroma,
and Descemet’s membrane were all unremarkable by light microscopy in both specimens. Particularly noteworthy was the absence of an inflammatory cell infiltrate or vascularisation of the corneal stroma. Although the keratocytes appeared unremarkable by light microscopy, some of them were strikingly abnormal when viewed with the transmission electron microscope (Fig 1A and B), because of the presence of numerous electron lucent areas within their cytoplasm. Many keratocytes were in a quiescent stage without morphological evidence of synthetic or phagocytic activity. Transmission electron microscopy also disclosed some broad banded collagen within the corneal stroma.

The corneal endothelial cells were sparse in both specimens and iris tissue was not adherent to the cornea. In case 15 many of the endothelial cells manifest a spindle shape rather than the usual morphological features of the corneal endothelium. Some of these cells contained small brown intracytoplasmic granules, suggestive of melanosomes. Surprisingly, scanning electron microscopy performed on a representative part of the corneal endothelium in both cases disclosed the presence of numerous endothelial cells. The cells, however, varied markedly in size and shape and were not arranged in the usual regular hexagonal pattern that typifies the normal corneal endothelium (Fig 1C and D). In some regions endothelial cells were absent and the bare posterior surface of Descemet’s membrane could be visualised. This was also noted in transmission electron micrographs from both corneas.

Particularly in case 15 Descemet’s membrane was clearly demarcated. The endothelial cells were sparse and the posterior portion of Descemet’s membrane was covered by a thin posterior collagenous layer within which broad banded collagen could be identified (Fig 2A and B).

By light microscopy the sampled portions of iris were essentially unremarkable, but in case 14 some melanocytes within the iris stroma lacked an intact cell membrane with the result that scattered extracellular melanosomes could be identified within an otherwise unremarkable corneal stroma (Fig 2C).

DISCUSSION
BAC corneal toxicity has been demonstrated with many in vivo and in vitro models. It was also reported to inhibit the growth of cultured human trabecular meshwork cells.

Figure 2 Transmission electron micrographs of the posterior cornea of case 14 (A) and case 15 (B) showing abnormal Descemet’s membranes. Part of the Descemet’s membrane in case 14 had an uneven thickness and ragged posterior surface that lacked endothelial cells (A) (arrows). The posterior surface of regions of Descemet’s membrane in case 15 was covered with a collagenous layer that contained prominent loci of broad banded collagen (arrow). (A) ×7000; (B) ×16 000. (C) Transmission electron micrograph of iris from case 14 showing a cluster of melanosomes within the iris stroma. In contrast with the normal iris these granules are not apparent within the cytoplasm of a melanocyte (arrow) (×5100).
and be toxic to retina and choroid of pigmented rabbits. Variations of corneal endothelial toxicity have been published when BAC preserved medication has been used either on the corneal surface or intraocularly.

We have tested the toxicity of the BAC preserved HPMC in our cohort using cultured bovine endothelial cells. All cells died within 30 minutes while no damage was observed on treatment of control monolayers or those treated with unpreserved ophthalmic HPMC (unpublished data). In a similar experimental study cultured bovine endothelial cells were killed within 5 minutes by a solution of timolol maleate containing BAC 0.01%. But a dilution of 1:100 did not have any significant effect. The threshold for physiological and ultrastructural alteration of the rabbit corneal endothelium was reported as approximately 0.0001% for BAC by Green et al and 6.5 × 10−6% by Hull.

In contrast with the laboratory findings of immediate cell death and despite the initial severity of the striae keratopathy, the corneal oedema of all our study patients, apart from the two patients who underwent penetrating keratoplasty and case 16, has recovered significantly since their operations. Although there is some degree of residual stromal thickening in all these eyes the corneas remain clear. It seems that sufficient remaining endothelial cells can function adequately and restore at least partially the endothelial barrier and the corneal clarity. Another possible explanation for the recovery of the corneal oedema of our cohort is that there is some mitotic activity of the human corneal endothelium. Mitosis of the endothelial cells, although limited, has been described in vivo and in vitro. Unfortunately, morphometric data from the immediate postoperative period are not available for comparison. Use of confocal endothelial microscopy would have been required in the immediate postoperative period to study the endothelial morphology, as specular microscopic analysis necessitates relative clarity of the cornea.

Similarly, Nuys et al observed a clinical improvement in a cohort of 10 patients who developed “toxic endothelial cell destruction syndrome” as a result of detergent residue containing non-ionic ethoxylated fatty alcohol in a cannula. They noted in their patients an increase in the endothelial cell density, which they attributed to the reorganisation of the endothelial cells in the cornea and possibly to mitosis.

Although viscoelastics seem not to adsorb drugs when mixed together, it is unknown what happens when the drugs are already mixed with the viscoelastics in terms of toxicity in the ocular tissues, but one can assume enhanced toxicity, as shown by Ogihuro in an animal model, as a result of prolonged contact especially for dispersive viscoelastics or sustained release of the drug from the viscoelastic.

The fluffy iris tags observed in two patients are not considered to be related to BAC, as they were bilateral in case 15 and very localised. These may represent a very mild type of iridodema all the patients still remain symptomatic. Although we do not believe that those represent signs of toxicity to BAC.

None the less, despite the significant recovery of the corneal oedema all the patients still remain symptomatic. Although symptoms vary considerably in severity, they are very mild in all the patients who can cope very well without the need for any additional treatment. A possible explanation is the toxic effect of BAC to the corneal and anterior uveal innervation. However, this cannot explain the symptoms in the contralateral eyes.

The corneal grafts of the two patients who underwent penetrating keratoplasty are clear. Nevertheless, despite the visual improvement of these patients they are still symptomatic although no apparent reason can be found.

As the corneal oedema in the majority of our patients recovered spontaneously, although in several cases it took several months, it seems reasonable to suggest that penetrating keratoplasty should be deferred as long as possible anticipating recovery. However, if there is severe and symptomatic bullous keratopathy and penetrating keratoplasty is considered necessary the results are good, although this may not alleviate the symptoms completely.

The abnormalities found in the tissue studies in the corneas of the two patients who underwent penetrating keratoplasty contained abnormalities in the corneal stroma, Descemet’s membrane, and the corneal endothelium. Although these findings were non-specific they did provide evidence of cell damage to the corneal stroma, Descemet’s membrane, and the corneal endothelium. While the cause of the damage could not be determined from the nature of the abnormalities the findings were consistent with previous chemical injury caused by BAC exposure as was known to have occurred. A noteworthy finding was the presence of at least some intact corneal endothelial cells, suggesting that some recovered at least partially and were able to cover parts of Descemet’s membrane. The covering, however, was characterised by cells that varied considerably in size and shape. The presence of extracellular melanosomes within the iris presumably followed a disruption of the cell membrane of melanocytes following BAC exposure.

Despite the clarity of the corneas of almost all our patients after 1 year, we are concerned about the development of corneal decompensation in the future owing to the additional age related endothelial cell loss and we continue to monitor this cohort at regular intervals.
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