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Aim: To evaluate the diagnostic value of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) performed on aqueous humour for the detection of viral DNA in patients with necrotising herpetic retinitis.

Methods: The clinical features and laboratory results of 22 patients (29 eyes) presenting with necrotising herpetic retinitis between March 1999 and June 2001 were reviewed retrospectively. Aqueous humour was obtained after anterior chamber paracentesis and PCR was performed in all cases.

Results: Viral DNA was detected in the aqueous humour of 19 patients (86.4%). Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) seroconversion was evidenced in one additional patient. In the acute retinal necrosis (ARN) group (n = 19), varicella zoster virus (VZV) DNA was identified in six patients, herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1) DNA in two patients, herpes simplex virus 2 (HSV-2) DNA in four patients, and cytomegalovirus (CMV) genome in four patients. In the progressive outer retinal necrosis (PORN) group (n = 3), VZV DNA was detected in all patients. No sample was positive for more than one virus.

Conclusions: PCR analysis of aqueous humour in patients with clinical features of necrotising viral retinitis can provide specific aetiological orientation and the method appears to be safe and highly sensitive.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Twenty two patients (29 eyes) with a diagnosis of ARN or PORN syndrome were treated at the department of ophthalmology (Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris, France) between March 1999 and June 2001. The clinical diagnosis of ARN syndrome was based on characteristic clinical criteria described by the executive committee of the American Uveitis Society: focal, well demarcated areas of retinal necrosis located in the peripheral retina; rapid, circumferential progression of necrosis; evidence of retinal vasculitis and a prominent inflammatory reaction in the vitreous and anterior chamber. The PORN cases were characterised by rapidly progressive, multifocal, deep retinal opacifications involving both the peripheral retina and the posterior pole. All cases showed mild intraocular inflammation. The ocular findings were virtually identical to those reported by Forster et al. Atypical retinal necrosis, which did not respond to antiviral therapy (intravenous foscarnet), with aqueous humour PCR positive for Toxoplasmula gondii and negative for herpesviruses were excluded.

Anterior chamber tap was performed on the day of admission. Aqueous humour, 100–150 µl, was aspirated, using a 30 gauge needle passing through the limbus. Informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Aqueous samples from 22 eyes of 22 patients with ARN or PORN syndrome were analysed for the presence of herpesviruses by PCR. As controls, 10 aqueous samples from patients with clinical features of an active uveitis were analysed. This group included toxoplasma uveitis (n = 6), primary intraocular lymphoma (n = 2), syphils retinitis (n = 1), and sarcoidosis (n = 1).

PCR

Aqueous humour, 10–20 µl, were used for each PCR reaction. DNA was either phenol chloroform extracted using classic procedures or simply treated by boiling and ethanol precipitating the samples. A single PCR for HSV-1, HSV-2, and EBV was performed as previously described. Amplified products were visualised on ethidium stained agarose gels, then characterised with reference to their specific migration pattern before and after digestion with restriction enzymes SmaI and BamHI. The sensitivity of this technique was evaluated at 5 × 10^3 genome equivalents (GEq)/ml for HSV-1, and 2 × 10^3 GEq/ml for HSV-2 by a European quality control HSV test panel. Specific CMV and VZV PCR assays were performed using slightly modified published procedures while preserving the described sensitivities. Using the same technique, 2–20 copies of EBV
DNA could be detected, as previously described. The sensitivity of the published CMV and VZV PCR tests was evaluated by serial dilution of infected cell culture supernatants, and reached 10⁻⁶ for VZV and 10⁻⁷ for CMV. Amplification products were detected on agarose gels, and their specificity was confirmed by hybridisation with digoxigenin labelled specific oligonucleotide probes.

RESULTS
An ARN syndrome was confirmed in 19 patients (23 eyes) according to criteria of the American Uveitis Society (Table 1). Mean age was 44.1 years. Ten patients were men and nine were women. One patient was HIV positive with a CD4+ count of 170 cells × µl⁻¹ (Fig 1, top left). One patient had a history of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma and was in remission following chemotherapy. Two patients had chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Two patients were treated with immunosuppressive drugs and corticosteroids for kidney transplant and pulmonary fibrosis. Three patients had a previous history of ARN syndrome and were referred either for involvement of the fellow eye or for relapse of retinitis in the same eye. A previous history of herpes zoster was noted in three patients and of neonatal herpes simplex infection in one patient. The interval between onset of disease and admission to the department of ophthalmology was between 1 day and 1 week in six patients, between 1 week and 1 month in eight patients and was more than 1 month in five patients. Four patients had received antiviral therapy before the anterior chamber tap.

PORTN was diagnosed in three male patients (six eyes). Mean age was 39.6 years. Two patients were HIV positive and one was HIV negative. The CD4+ counts ranged from 4–13 cells/µl in HIV positive patients. In this group of patients, aqueous humour samples were obtained within 1 week after onset of symptoms.

PCR for detection of viral DNA from aqueous samples was performed in all 22 patients (aqueous humour samples, n = 24) (Table 2). The reaction was positive in 19/22 patients (86.4%). Two patients (Nos 6 and 21) underwent two successive anterior chamber paracentesis in the same eye because of a negative result following the first procedure. PCR performed on the second sample, which was taken 1 week later, proved positive (while these patients were taking intravenous foscarnet). No complication from anterior chamber paracentesis was noted. In patient 17, PCR was negative but anti-EBV IgM were detected in the serum and IgG titres significantly increased in serum obtained 4 weeks later. In this patient, anti-EBNA IgG were absent, suggesting recent EBV infection. Aqueous specimens were negative in two patients (Nos 12 and 13) who had received 2 and 10 days, respectively, of intravenous aciclovir therapy before the anterior chamber tap.

In the ARN syndrome group (n = 19), PCR detected HSV-1 in two cases, HSV-2 in four cases, VZV in six cases, CMV in four cases, and no viral DNA was found in three cases. In the PRN syndrome group (n = 3), VZV was detected in all cases. No viral DNA was detected in any aqueous sample from the control group patients.

DISCUSSION
The viral cause of ARN syndrome has been determined by previous studies using a variety of diagnostic techniques: electron microscopy, immunocytochemistry, viral culture from
intraocular specimens,\textsuperscript{11,13} serological analysis of serum and/or intraocular fluid,\textsuperscript{13–21} and, more recently, by PCR.\textsuperscript{6,20–25} These studies showed that most cases of ARN syndrome are caused by VZV, HSV-1, and HSV-2, and rarely by CMV. The PORN syndrome was first reported by Forster in 1990.\textsuperscript{2} A member of the herpesvirus family was first suspected as a causative agent by electron microscopy.\textsuperscript{2} Since then, VZV has been detected by PCR in most cases,\textsuperscript{26,27} although HSV-1 has recently been reported as a cause.\textsuperscript{28} In a study by Ganatra \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{6} who used PCR from vitreous and aqueous samples to detect viral DNA in 28 patients with ARN syndrome, VZV was detected in 13 cases (46%), HSV-1 in seven cases (25%), HSV-2 in six cases (21.4%), and CMV in one case (3.6%). Mean age was 57.2 years in the VZV group, 44.2 in the HSV-1 group, 26.8 in the HSV-2 group. In Japan, Ichikawa \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{23} determined the viral cause of Kirisawa uveitis in a series of 44 patients (50 eyes) using IgG titres and/or PCR. They found that VZV was the pathogenic organism in 37 eyes (70%) of 31 patients and HSV in 13 eyes (30%) of 13 patients. Mean age was 47.9 years in the VZV group and 34.4 in the HSV group. HSV-2 seems also to have a high prevalence in ARN syndrome associated with HSV in Japan.\textsuperscript{24} In our study of 19 patients with ARN, VZV was found in six cases (31.6%), HSV-1 in two cases (10.5%), HSV-2 in four cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients No</th>
<th>Anterior chamber tap following the onset of symptoms</th>
<th>Antiviral use before sampling</th>
<th>PCR HSV-1</th>
<th>PCR HSV-2</th>
<th>PCR VZV</th>
<th>PCR CMV</th>
<th>PCR EBV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>IV foscarnet for 1 week</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4 days</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>Valaciclovir for 3 weeks</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>IV aciclovir for 2 days</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>IV aciclovir for 10 days</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>IV foscarnet for 1 week</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CMV = cytomegalovirus; HSV = herpes simplex virus; VZV = varicella zoster virus; + = positive reaction; − = negative reaction; IV = intravenous.

\textsuperscript{*}IgM positive in the sera.

\textbf{Table 2} Results of PCR analysis performed on aqueous humour
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\caption{[Top left] Fundus photograph of patient 15. Vasculitis, retinal necrosis, and haemorrhages due to CMV in a HAART (highly active antiretroviral therapy), responder patient. [Top right] Peripheral retinal necrosis in patient 19. [Bottom left] Papillitis and diffuse arteritis due to HSV-1 in patient 1. [Bottom right] ARN syndrome with multiple necrotic satellite foci associated with haemorrhages.}
\end{figure}
(21%), CMV in four cases (21%), and EBV was highly suspected in one case (5.2%). Mean age was 47.3 years in the VZV group and 36.6 years in the HSV group, and 52.8 years in the CMV group. The high prevalence of HSV in the ARN syndrome was thus more like that reported by Ganatra et al, than that reported by Ichikawa et al. There were also more cases of CMV in this group. In addition, patients were older and all immunodeficient: three were treated with immunosuppressive drugs and one was HIV positive. However, our series requires more cases to determine a possible association between the patient's age and virus type.

Patient 17 was a 13 year old girl presenting with a mild form of ARN syndrome concomitant with EBV primary infection. Recently, one case of ARN has been reported in an HIV negative homosexual man with increased titres of anti-EBV-1 IgG, anti-EBV nuclear and early antigens who suffered from EBV infection and pericarditis 15 years earlier. In this case, however, PCR of intracocular fluid was not performed. EBV should thus be considered as a potential cause of ARN syndrome and sought by serological and/or PCR analysis.

In our patients with PORN syndrome, VZV was detected in all cases. This result was similar to others studies. Patients 18 and 19 (Fig 1, top right) had received aciclovir therapy before anterior chamber tap, which may explain negative PCR results. Indeed, antiviral therapy may decrease viral replication below the threshold of PCR sensitivity. Although no viral DNA was detected, the final diagnosis of herpesvirus retinitis was most likely, since the disease resolved after foscarnet treatment with good final visual acuity. In contrast, for patients 6 and 21, PCR performed on the first aqueous sample became positive but too late for useful sample obtained 1 week later, although these patients were both treated with intravenous foscarnet. These results suggest that antiviral therapy for a week does not prevent detection of viral DNA by PCR in aqueous humour. Previous studies showed that in spite of antiviral treatment, PCR from ocular specimens of AIDS patients with CMV retinitis were positive. Interestingly, similar findings were observed in cerebrospinal fluid of patients with herpes simplex encephalitis. In this group of patients, HSV-DNA was detected in half of cases during the second week of the disease.

Previous studies that have analysed the viral cause of necrotising herpetic retinitis by PCR used only vitreous samples, and/or vitreous samples, aqueous, and/or vitreous samples, to our knowledge, this study is the largest monocentric case series of necrotising herpetic retinitis in Europe with a viral cause as determined by PCR of aqueous humour within a short (3 year) period.

Although the diagnosis of necrotising retinitis is based on clinical findings, the presentation is in some cases not clear enough and early viral DNA detection by PCR may be helpful in such cases. The results of this study are encouraging, since they indicate that amplification of DNA in aqueous humour is sensitive enough to become an appropriate method for detecting the causative agent of necrotising herpetic retinitis. Anterior chamber paracentesis is preferable to pars plana vitrectomy in many cases, since it is easier and more convenient to perform in an emergency. Side effects of anterior chamber taps are rare (hyphaema, cataract secondary to perforation of the lens capsule), and no complication was observed after paracentesis in this study or in previous reports.

Advances in DNA extraction procedures and PCR techniques have contributed to reducing the time waiting for results, which can be available within 24–48 hours. The benefit of a reliable result leading to a rapid diagnosis and accurate treatment outweighs theoretical risks. However, aqueous samples may contain less viral DNA than vitreous for PCR amplification. An initial negative result should lead to repeated paracentesis, especially in patients who are on antiviral therapy. This results in a presumptive diagnosis of viral retinitis.

Although clinical features observed in ARN patients may be highly suggestive of a herpesvirus aetiology, they do not allow differentiating HSV from VZV caused cases. Ichikawa et al reported 44 cases of Kirisawa-type uveitis (KU) and found that the VZV group included a significantly greater number of severe and serious cases than the HSV group. Only 32% of VZV-KU and 67% of HSV-KU eyes had a final visual acuity of greater than 0.5. This report suggests that VZV induced ARN syndrome may be more severe than HSV induced ARN syndrome and may require intensive antiviral therapy.

In summary, our results indicate that reliable identification of the pathogenic agent was possible by PCR analysis of aqueous samples in 86.4% of cases with necrotising retinitis. Moreover, early use of such highly sensitive and specific PCR based assays may not only contribute to the diagnosis, but also to the management of patients with necrotising herpetic retinitis.
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