Case of acute zonal occult outer retinopathy with altitudinal hemianopsia

Since the clinical entity of acute zonal occult outer retinopathy (AZOOR) was initially proposed, it has been noted that the visual loss may be misattributed to lesions in the optic nerve or central nervous system. Even with a likely visual field defect for these diseases—an afferent pupillary defect and reduced subjective central flicker fusion threshold—clinicians should be always aware of the possibility of AZOOR.

Case report

A 32 year old woman noticed a large scotoma in her right eye. She was examined by an ophthalmologist who found her corrected visual acuity to be 0.4 in the right eye and 1.0 in the left eye. She also had an afferent pupillary defect in the right eye. Goldmann perimetry showed a superior altitudinal hemianopic defect in the right eye (fig 1). Subjective central flicker fusion threshold was reduced in the right eye (18 Hz) and normal in the left eye (35 Hz). Ophthalmoscopy, examination, fluorescein angiography, blood screening, and computed tomography were normal. Suspecting ischaemic optic neuropathy (ION), a 5 day course of intravenous succinyl hydrocoristone, 100 mg/day, was used but this treatment was not effective. The patient was then referred to us for further examination. Full field rod and cone electroretinograms (ERGs) were reduced in her right eye (about 50% of those in the left eye). Multifocal ERGs (mfERGs) recorded with the VERIS Science 4.0 system (Electro-Diagnostic Imaging, San Mateo, CA, USA) revealed reduced responses in areas corresponding to the visual field defect (fig 2). These findings led us to presume the diagnosis to be AZOOR. While we have followed her for approximately a year, no retinal finding has been observed and the visual defect has not changed.

Comment

Although altitudinal hemianopsia is found in many optic nerve disorders—for example, as meningioma, optic neuritis, ION, sinususes or intracranial artery disorders, and congenital abnormalities of the optic nerve head, this type of field defect had been rarely reported in AZOOR. The visual field abnormality in AZOOR varies from case to case, but central or paracentral scotoma is most common. When the clinical entity of AZOOR was initially proposed, the visual loss was attributed to retrobulbar neuritis, a pituitary adenoma, or other intracranial lesions during the early stages of this disease. Normal fundus and fluorescein angiographic findings with dense scotoma led ophthalmologists to suspect optic nerve or intracranial diseases. In addition, the afferent pupillary defect and disc swelling in AZOOR were very misleading. Our patient had a superior altitudinal hemianopic defect, an afferent pupillary defect, the reduced subjective central flicker fusion threshold and no disc swelling, and thus had to be differentiated from ION or posterior ION (PION) without optic disc involvement. PION is an unusual subset of ION and frequently related to arteritis. ERG observations may be critical for the differential diagnosis of cases with visual field defect without observable retinal lesions that are usually attributed to optic nerve or intracranial diseases. While the full field ERG may be sufficient to make the diagnosis,9 in many of the cases, focal ERG10,11 or mfERG12 can show the correspondence of the retinal dysfunction to the visual field defect which strongly reinforce the diagnosis of AZOOR.
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Congenital optic nerve head pit associated with reduced retinal nerve fibre thickness at the papillomacular bundle

Congenital pits of the optic nerve head result from an imperfect closure of the superior edge of the embryonic fissure. An unequal growth on both sides causes a delayed closure of the fissure at approximately 5 weeks of gestation. Optic pits appear as crater-like
Histological sections of optic pits define defects in the lamina cribrosa associated with rudimentary retinal tissue, resembling pigmented tissue and aberrant nerve fibres. These anomalous papillomacular nerve fibre bundles may be less resistant, predisposing this sector to spontaneous schisis-like retinal detachments during later life.

We present a young patient with a unilateral optic pit and a clinically significant temporal nerve fibre loss. In vivo measurements by optical coherence tomography (OCT) determined the thickness of RNFL at the side of the pit and the corresponding papillomacular bundle.

Case report
A 27 year old white woman presented with a 9 month history of blurred vision; her best corrected visual acuity was 20/20 right eye and 20/25 left eye. On Goldmann perimetry in both eyes, there were no visual field defects, accurate or paracentral scotomas. On slit lamp examination the anterior segment appeared normal in both eyes. Fundus biomicroscopy of the left eye revealed a large optic nerve head with a grey oval pit at the temporal margin and a brownish rim at the temporal side. The papillomacular bundle appeared to be darker, extending from the edge of the optic nerve to the macula. In the superior and inferior quadrant the main nerve fibres are visible. The blue arrows indicate the location and direction of the corresponding linear and circular OCT scans.

Indentations of the surface of the optic nerve head usually with a steep temporal wall.

Anatomically the most anterior component of the optic nerve head contains the retinal nerve fibre layer (RNFL), composed of approximately 1.2 million unmyelinised retinal ganglion cell axons extending from all regions of the retina. The outgrowth of axons from certain ganglion cells may be incomplete so that the primitive epithelial papilla is built up with aberrant nerve fibres.

Figure 1
Fundus image of the left large optic nerve head with a horizontal diameter of 2.28 mm, vertical diameter of 2.09 mm, and area of 3.42 mm². The optic disc has a grey oval pit at the inferotemporal side and is surrounded by a hyperpigmented margin. The papillomacular bundle appeared to be darker extending from the edge of the optic nerve to the macula. In the superior and inferior quadrant the main nerve fibres are visible. The blue arrows indicate the location and direction of the corresponding linear and circular OCT scans.

Figure 2
(A) Horizontal OCT scan of the temporal optic disc and the papillomacular region of the left eye. Fibroglial tissue membrane appears to overlie the vitreoretinal surface at the upper edge of the pit. The thick hyper-reflective band which is white to reddish in colour, corresponds to the retinal nerve fibre layer (RNFL). The reflectivity is elevated and the thickness is wider than normal. The standardised measurement of the RNFL determines a thickness of ≥200 µm at the edge of the optic nerve and 80 µm at 1 DD distance. (B) Horizontal OCT scan of the papillomacular region left eye. A less reflective and thinned hyper-reflective band in red and orange colours extends from the edge of the optic nerve to the fovea. The standardised measurement of the RNFL determines a thickness of 70 µm at the edge of the optic nerve and 5 µm at 1 DD distance. (C) Circular OCT scan of 3.4 mm diameter centred on the optic disc left eye. The cylindrical section is unfolded and displayed as flat cross sectional, two dimensional false colour image. The scan started nasally and measured clockwise perpendicular around the optic nerve with a diameter of 2.0 nerve head. Each b-scan consists of 100 individual A-scans (one thickness value for each 3.6°). The RNFL measurement determined a mean thickness of 152 µm in the superior quadrant, 90 µm in the temporal quadrant, 125 µm in the inferior quadrant, 64 µm in the nasal quadrant. There is a marked reduction in the RNFL to 48 µm at the 4 o’clock position consistent with the location of the optic pit.
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Ocular ischaemic syndrome in thyroid eye disease, confirmed using magnetic resonance angiography

Ocular ischaemic syndrome (OIS) is most commonly caused by severe ipsilateral carotid artery stenosis. Occasionally it is caused by ophthalmic artery stenosis. Features commonly observed are iris neovascularisation, angle neovascularisation, rubecic glaucoma, and iritis. In the posterior segment common signs are narrowing of the retinal arterioles, mid-peripheral retinal haemorrhages, optic disc pallor or neovascularisation and, rarely, retinal neovascularisation. Fluorescein angiography characteristically demonstrates delayed filling of the retinal circulation and occasionally patchy filling of the choroidal circulation is also observed. To the best of our knowledge OIS has not previously been described in thyroid eye disease. We report a case of OIS in thyroid eye disease confirmed by magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) and treated by orbital decompression.

Case report

A 48 year old woman with known thyroid eye disease presented with a 4 week history of pain, redness, and reduced visual acuity in her right eye. Eight years previously she had undergone bilateral three wall orbital decompression for severe corneal exposure. On examination visual acuity was counting fingers in the right eye and 6/9 in the left. There was bilateral lid retraction and mild generalised restriction of eye movements. There was bilateral proptosis measuring 24 mm in the right eye and 23 mm in the left. (Keler exophthalmometer). A right relative afferent pupillary defect was present. Intraocular pressures were 50 mm Hg in the right eye and 20 mm Hg in the left. There was right corneal oedema, rubecic iridis (Fig 1), and moderate anterior chamber activity. Gonioscopy showed an open, grade 2 angle (Shaffer’s classification) with rubecic vessels present in the angle. Fundal examination was limited by the corneal oedema but no specific abnormality was identified. Examination of the left eye was normal.

Fluorescein angiography showed delayed filling of the retinal vasculature in the right eye relative to the left. Computed tomography scans of the orbits showed previous bilateral three wall orbital decompression and diffuse enlargement of extraocular muscles. Carotid duplex ultrasound examination was normal. An MRA of the orbits demonstrated that blood flow in the right ophthalmic artery was reduced. Blood flow in the left ophthalmic artery was normal (Fig 2).

The patient was admitted and treated with intravenous mannitol and acetazolamide and topical apraclonidine 0.5% and betaxolol 0.5% but intraocular pressure remained elevated at 29 mm Hg. A further right orbital decompression was performed (where the lateral orbital wall was removed as far posteriorly as the anterior wall of the middle cranial fossa and superiorly to the floor of the anterior cranial fossa). Postoperatively the right proporsosi measured 21 mm, the relative afferent pupillary defect resolved and the intraocular pressure was controlled (<20 mm Hg) with oral acetazolamide and topical apraclonidine 0.5% and betaxolol 0.5%. The corneal oedema resolved and the visual acuity gradually improved to 6/9. At the 3 month follow up postoperatively the rubecic iris vessels had regressed. An MRA performed 4 months postoperatively demonstrated normal blood flow in both ophthalmic arteries (Fig 3).

Comment

Imaging methods available for evaluating the ophthalmic artery include duplex ultrasonography and cerebral angiography. Duplex ultrasonography is a non-invasive technique that gives quantitative information about flow; however, it requires an experienced operator and it is not always possible to positively identify the ophthalmic artery. Cerebral angiography is an invasive technique with the inherent risk of embolisation and stroke. MRA is a relatively new technique and has not previously been used to investigate disturbances of blood flow in the ophthalmic artery. It is non-invasive and does not require the level of technical experience required for Doppler studies. MRA detects blood flow at a defined velocity. In this case 25 mm/s was chosen as it has been shown in many studies using Doppler ultrasound to be the mean ophthalmic artery blood flow. The absence of signal from the right ophthalmic artery in the preoperative MRA demonstrates that at no stage during the cardiac cycle was blood flowing at this velocity in the artery. The images shown in Figures 2 and 3 are composites of all slices taken through the orbits. Hence it is not possible that one of the ophthalmic arteries could have been missed as a result of the orientation of any one particular slice.

Various abnormalities of the orbital circulation have been reported in thyroid ophthalmopathy. Blood flow in the superior ophthalmic vein has been shown to be reduced, or even reversed in some patients. Increased central retinal artery, ophthalmic artery, and retinal blood flow have also been demonstrated. Ischaemia of the optic nerve head has been postulated to have a role in the development of optic neuropathy in some patients with thyroid ophthalmopathy. However, to the best of our knowledge ophthalmic artery obstruction as a result of thyroid eye disease has not previously been described.

In summary, this case demonstrates for the first time, the ocular ischaemic syndrome as a result of ophthalmic artery obstruction in thyroid eye disease. Furthermore, it demonstrates the usefulness of MR imaging in evaluation of the ophthalmic artery.
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Silicone oil in diabetic vitrectomy

Castellarin and colleagues’ recount their recent experience of infusing silicone oil in a small series of patients with advanced diabetic eye disease, either during primary vitrectomy (12 eyes) or after earlier surgery had failed (11 eyes). They compare their results with previous reports and conclude that silicone oil remains a useful adjunct in diabetic vitrectomy. However, their conclusions and historical comparisons are open to question.

Silicone oil was first used in primary diabetic vitrectomy in an era (1979–84) before the introduction of endolaser and the Landers’ double concave lens for phakic fluid:air exchange.3,4 Dealing with large or multiple posteriorly located breaks (whether pre-existing or iatrogenic) was problematic, and direct fluid:silicone oil exchange (by virtue of the optical advantages of oil over air in the phakic eye) provided a surgical escape route, obviating the need for secon- dary interventions. Furthermore, the clarity of the media immediately postoperatively facilitated the slit lamp delivery of focal laser in order to seal retinal breaks that had been closed by the internal tamponade and, in addition, the application of scatter laser to retattached, untreatd, ischaemic retina that had undergone deturgescence, in part through the “waterproofing” effect of silicone oil.4,5 All being well, the silicone oil could then be removed shortly thereafter, and some eyes that would undoubtedly have been lost were saved by the intervention of silicone oil in this way. Often, however, there were considerable postoperative problems not least the rapid development of reparative epiretinal fibrosis whereby the retina retattached under tangential traction and/or from reopening of retinal breaks.6–8 Sometimes huge areas of retinal disintegration eventually developed.9,10 The fibroglial retinal proliferation appeared (both clinically and pathologically) to be particularly induced by clotted blood trapped between the silicone oil and the retinal surface or, ironically, by fibrin released as a result of the extensive scatter laser that was often needed to prevent highly vascularised membranes from prolifereing behind the silicone oil.11–13

It was hoped that the so-called “compartmentalisation” of the eye by silicone oil (to which the retro-silicone oil neovascularisation was attributed) might in turn result in prevention or reversal of ruberosis iridis through its putative barrier effect against anterior diffusion of angiogenic substances derived from the ischaemic retina.14 Paradoxically, eyes with successful retinal reattachment (albeit with unabated ischae mia) often underwent rapid development or progression of iris neovascularisation,15 while those capsulated by failure from post- operative rhegmatogenous recurrence of ret- inal detachment (and therefore eyes with an exaggerated angiogenic drive) had evidence of protection from retinotheresia, at least in the short term.16 Perhaps naively it was postulated that rhegmatogenous confinement of the ret detachment by intravitreal silicone oil (and the consequent 100% oil filling of the shrunken vitreous cavity) might allow an effective obstruction to anterior molecular diffusion to be established in these failed cases.17 Others had planned from the outset to employ silicone oil in their surgical protocol, not least for those diabetic eyes wherein earlier vitrectomy had been unsuccessful as a consequence of retinal reattachment18–21 or recurrent vitreous cav- ity haemorrhages.18 However, whether used during primary diabetic vitrectomy or secondar- ily, whether unpremeditated or planned, and whether infused by direct fluid:oil exchange or sequential fluid:air and air:oil exchanges, the possibility of silicone oil limiting ruberosis iridis and causing macular detachment despite peripheral retinal rede- tachment was always welcome, even if surgical “success” (that is, retinal attachment through 360 degrees) had strictly been denied.18–20

Nowadays, posterior retinal breaks and retinectomies can generally be managed successfully by employing wide angle viewing systems, heavy liquids, endolaser, and long acting gases. However, silicone oil continues to be infused during diabetic vitrectomy despite the attendant posterior segment and anterior segment complications that have been only partially mitigated by the improved quality of tissue grade silicone oil. The important question that thus arises is: what is the appropriate use of silicone oil in the diabetic eye in the modern era? Where retinal breaks might be closed just as readily using gas tamponade, or where ruberosis iridis might be reversed or prevented by retinal reattachment and/or a sufficiency of scatter laser photo- coagulation, the use of silicone oil might be described fairly as “gratuitous.” Exceptions might include patients with persistent posturing difficul- ties or the need for early visual rehabilitation in one eyed patients.18 However, recent reports documenting the use of silicone oil in diabetic vitrectomy have failed to provide clear criteria or explanations for this case selection.11–13 Only seven of the 23 eyes in Castellarin’s series were followed for only 1 or 2 months was thus a further serious limitation of their study.10

Infusion of silicone oil can be a most beguiling option during the closed microsur- gical management of the stricken diabetic eye but, as mentioned, complications are prone to accumulate with time. Distinguishing the gratuitous from the virtuous use of silicone oil can be problematic, and equally it may be difficult to define the line between a sur- geon’s infusing silicone oil in anticipation of eventual surgical failure and such infusion representing his/her understandable admission that surgical failure has occurred already. All these issues need to be borne in mind when making historical comparisons between case series and in defining the place in history for silicone oil in diabetic vitrectomy.
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We thank Professor McLeod for his interest in our paper and value the opportunity to address some of the issues he has raised.

McLeod notes that surgical success can be judged only if the retina has remained attached for a minimum of 6 months from the last retinal procedure. He notes that 10 (43%) of 23 eyes in our report had a follow up of only 1 or 2 months. Tables 1–5 list the results in 17 (74%) eyes on which we have follow up of at least 6 months (mean 9.3 months). Of the six patients on whom we do not have at least 6 months’ follow up, two have left the United States, and the whereabouts of four are unknown. The follow up of these six patients ranged from 1 to 4 months after surgery.

The composition of the subgroup with at least 6 months’ follow up strongly resembles the composition of the entire group of 23 eyes.1 For example, the indications for surgery are almost identical in their representation (Table 1). The average complexity score in this subgroup was 4.5, which is the same as that of the entire cohort. Additional details regarding this cohort of 17 eyes are as follows. In eight (47%) eyes, silicone oil infusion (SOI) was part of the initial operation (Table 2). The average complexity score in this cohort was 4.8, which is also close to the final score of the entire cohort of 23 eyes. As noted in our initial report, only one eye developed de novo neovascular iridis.1 Among the 17 eyes with at least 6 months’ follow up, six (35%) had intraocular pressure ranging from 6–48 mm Hg, with four patients taking antiglaucoma medications.

Intraoperative complications were not different among eyes with at least 6 months’ follow up versus the entire cohort of 23 eyes (Table 5). Postoperative complications differed in that there was an increased prevalence of cataract, hypotony, and silicone oil tamponade. Among patients with traction retinal detachment in the fellow eye, two underwent fellow eye surgery and one patient refused surgery (Table 6). Six (29%) of 21 fellow eyes were pseudophakic. Among the six fellow eyes with visual acuity <20/400, two had no light perception. Among the 21 patients we reported, the severity of disease in the fellow eye was such that two patients underwent PPV+SOI bilaterally, and results from both pairs of eyes were not as good as we reported initially (Table 3).

The table of anatomical results of pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) membrane peeling, and silicone oil infusion (SOI) (Table 2) shows that the rate of NVG regression was greater than that we reported initially (that is, 5/7 (43%)) because of continued regression of NVG during the longer period of follow up (Table 4). Of the three eyes with NVG, one eye underwent Baerveldt valve placement with normalisation of the postoperative intraocular pressure. Five months after surgery, however, the eye developed hypotony. Subsequently, the valve was removed, and the eye underwent additional SOI. As noted above, however, the eye became phthisical. As reported initially, the NVG regressed after surgery in the second eye, and the third eye had NVG regression but no light perception postoperatively because of ischaemia. As noted in our initial report, only one eye developed de novo neovascular iridis. Among the 17 eyes with at least 6 months’ follow up, six (35%) had intraocular pressure ≤5 mm Hg. In our initial report, five (23%) of 23 eyes had intraocular pressure ≤5 mm Hg. Three of these six eyes had persistent retinal detachment, which we presumed to be the cause of the NVG regression. Among eyes with at least 6 months’ follow up, the remaining 11 (65%) had intraocular pressure ranging from 6–48 mm Hg, with four patients taking antiglaucoma medications.

Table 2 Anatomical results of pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) membrane peeling, and silicone oil infusion (SOI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Retinal reattachment rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>9/12 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV+SOI</td>
<td>6/9 (67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOI</td>
<td>5/5 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Surgical indications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Eyes with ≥6 months’ follow up</th>
<th>All operated eyes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRD+H</td>
<td>5/9 (55%)</td>
<td>10/12 (83%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRD+F</td>
<td>2/3 (66%)</td>
<td>2/2 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRD+NVG</td>
<td>1/5 (20%)</td>
<td>1/5 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRD+H+FS</td>
<td>2/3 (66%)</td>
<td>1/2 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRD+FS+NVG</td>
<td>2/2 (100%)</td>
<td>2/2 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*TRD = traction retinal detachment; VH = vitreous haemorrhage; TRBD = traction rhegmatogenous retinal detachment; FS = fibrinoid syndrome; NVG = neovascular glaucoma.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Visual outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visual outcome</td>
<td>Eyes with &gt;6 months’ follow up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>4/17 (23.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>10/17 (58.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worse</td>
<td>3/17 (17.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>Regression of rubeosis iridis and neovascular glaucoma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eyes with &gt;6 months’ follow up</td>
<td>All eyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubeosis iridis regression</td>
<td>5/7 (71%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neovascular glaucoma regression</td>
<td>2/3 (67%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5</th>
<th>Prevalence of complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complication</td>
<td>Eyes with &gt;6 months’ follow up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraoperative retinal break</td>
<td>3/17 (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicone oil in anterior chamber</td>
<td>3/17 (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cataract</td>
<td>3/17 (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypopyon with attached retina</td>
<td>3/17 (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinoid reaction</td>
<td>1/17 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New onset rubeosis iridis</td>
<td>1/17 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratopathy</td>
<td>1/17 (6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 6</th>
<th>Condition of fellow eye</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Prevalence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferative diabetic retinopathy</td>
<td>21/21 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status post panretinal photocoagulation</td>
<td>21/21 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status post vitrectomy</td>
<td>10/21 (48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically significant macular oedema</td>
<td>3/21 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traction retinal detachment</td>
<td>3/21 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubeosis iridis</td>
<td>2/21 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neovascular glaucoma</td>
<td>2/21 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central retinal vein occlusion</td>
<td>1/21 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macular pucker</td>
<td>1/21 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary open angle glaucoma</td>
<td>1/21 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual acuity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/20-20/50</td>
<td>6/21 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/60-20/100</td>
<td>7/21 (33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/200-20/400</td>
<td>2/21 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worse than 20/400</td>
<td>6/21 (29%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

described. Thus, the majority of our patients
were visually disabled because of bilateral,
severe eye disease.

McLeod points out that only seven (30%)
of 23 eyes in our series had retinal breaks
(either pre-existing or intraoperative), and he
asks what the rationale for silicone oil use
was in the remaining 16 eyes. It is not our
habit to use silicone oil routinely for re-
operation of eyes with proliferative diabetic
retinopathy. We usually manage such cases
with membrane dissection, extensive laser
photocoagulation, and gas tamponade, when
indicated. The average case complexity score
in a series of more than 150 eyes with severe
diabetic retinopathy on which we operated
using modern surgical techniques was 3.7. In
this series, the average complexity score
was 4.5, which was significantly greater. We felt
that silicone oil was needed in all these cases
owing to the severe nature of the proliferative
diabetic retinopathy as well as specific
features of the case.

Specifically, rubeosis iridis was present in
seven (30%) eyes, despite previous applica-
tion of substantial panretinal photocoagula-
tion, and silicone oil was used to com-
partmentalise the eye and inhibit pro-
gression of rubeosis iridis (Table 4). Among
six (26%) eyes with retinal breaks, silicone oil
tamponade was used because of the extensive
nature of the retinal breaks. Normally, we use
intraocular gas for this purpose. Five (22%)
eyes had traction retinal detachment and
anterior hyaloidal fibrovascular proliferation,
and silicone oil was used to help maintain a
more normal intraocular pressure and fore-
stall the development of phthisis. In two (9%)
eyes with the fibrinoid syndrome, silicone oil
was used to prevent the development of trans-
vitreal fibrous sheets, which we feared
might foster recurrent retinal detachment
(Tables 1 and 2). Two eyes (9%) had no light
perception in the fellow eye, and silicone oil
was used to provide more rapid visual
rehabilitation (Table 6). One eye (4%) had
recent vitreous haemorrhage, and silicone oil
was used to help maintain media clarity
postoperatively.

McLeod observes that silicone oil continues
to be used during diabetic vitrectomy despite
the attendant anterior and posterior segment
complications. He suggests that wide angle
viewing systems, heavy liquids, endolaser,
and long acting gases enable one to manage
posterior retinal breaks and retinectomies.
McLeod asks, ‘‘What is the appropriate use of
silicone oil in the diabetic eye in the modern
era?’’ He suggests that in cases where breaks
can be managed with gas tamponade and
rubeosis can be reversed with retinal re-
tachment and laser photocoagulation, the use
of silicone might be ‘‘gratuitous.’’ McLeod
suggests that appropriate uses of silicone
might include patients with posturing diffi-
culties or patients in whom there is a need for
early visual rehabilitation.

We recognise that the conclusions of our
study are limited because it is a non-
randomised retrospective study without a
control group. Thus, we cannot identify the
‘‘virtuous’’ indications for the use of silicone
oil in the setting of severe proliferative
diabetic retinopathy based on these data.
Without the use of silicone oil, for example,
rubeosis iridis might have regressed, and the
retina might have remained attached in eyes
exhibiting the fibrinoid syndrome. None the
less, the data from our study are consistent
with the notion that silicone oil is an
acceptable and useful tool in the manage-
ment of eyes with severe complications of
proliferative diabetic retinopathy. Our experi-
ence suggests, but does not prove, that
silicone oil tamponade improves the prog-
nosis in some otherwise unsalvageable cases.
Among 11 (48%) of 23 eyes in this series,
silicone oil was used initially at the time of
repeat vitreous surgery. Short term retinal
reattachment was achieved in eight (73%) of
these eyes. Among these 11 eyes, nine had
follow up of at least 6 months, and retinal
reattachment was maintained in five (56%)
of these nine with a single operation. Overall,
10 eyes failed initial PPV with or without SOI,
underwent repeat PPV and SOI, and had at
least 6 months’ follow up. Retinal reattach-
ment without phthisis was achieved in seven
(70%) of these eyes. Since the initial vitrec-
tomies employed modern surgical techni-
ques, these results indicate that even in the
modern surgical era, use of silicone oil can
improve anatomical (and functional) out-
come in selected cases.

M A Zarbin, R Grigorian
Institute of Ophthalmology and Visual Science, Rm 6156 006, 90 Bergen Street, Newark, NJ 07103, USA
Correspondence to: Marco A Zarbin, Institute of Ophthalmology and Visual Science, Rm 6156 006, 90 Bergen Street, Newark, NJ 07103, USA; zarbin@umdnj.edu
Accepted for publication 22 May 2003

References
1 Castellarin A, Grigorian R, Bhagat N, et al. Vitrectomy with silicone oil infusion in severe
Primary scleral buckle placement during repair of posterior segment open globe injuries

We read with interest the recent article by Arroyo and associates.1 They are to be commended on a very interesting study to compare the visual and anatomical outcomes of patients who underwent primary scleral buckle placement during posterior segment open globe repair with matched control patients who did not undergo primary scleral buckle placement.

Prophylactic scleral buckle of posterior segment open globe injuries has been a controversial topic in ophthalmology. The value of scleral buckling to support peripheral and especially inferior breaks is rarely disputed. However, the utility of using an encircling buckle in the absence of retinal breaks remains controversial.

The benefits of primary scleral buckle placement are that it is technically easy and there is no scarring between the wound and overlying Tenon capsule and conjunctiva. However, there are some important considerations against primary scleral buckle such as the perforating injury subsequent rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (RD) is often not directly related to the site of the posterior exit wound but develops secondary to a new retinal break in the vitreous base region within 2 clock hours of the scleral wound.2 In addition, it is usually difficult to place a buckle over the exit wound and involves potentially high morbidity (especially in the hands of an inexperienced doctor who usually receives the patient in the emergency room (at least in Venezuela)). To counter subsequent traction at the vitreous base, a vitrectomy may be just as effective as a prophylactic scleral buckle, avoiding the associated morbidity.3 If retinal incarceration occurs through the wound, secondary reconstruction must almost always be performed anyway, typically involving a scleral buckle and vitrectomy 10–14 days after the injury (when inflammation is under control, and the intraocular anatomical status has been assessed adequately).4

We believe that the results of the study by Arroyo and associates contribute to the understanding of the role of prophylactic primary scleral buckle in the treatment of posterior segment open globe injuries. Their impressive results suggest that the benefits of placing a prophylactic primary scleral buckle may outweigh the risks involved. A multicentre randomised clinical trial is desirable to confirm their results.

Author’s reply

We read with interest the comments made by Fernandez and colleagues regarding our article.1 We certainly agree that new breaks may develop in the vitreous base region within 2 clock hours of the scleral wound. Because of this, we advocate the use of encircling scleral buckles (3.5–5 mm wide) as opposed to segmental scleral buckles in patients undergoing primary open globe injury repair.

We agree that placing an encircling scleral buckle to support the posterior edge of the vitreous base does require more skill than simply closing an open globe wound. However, we have found that with adequate training, encircling scleral buckles can usually be placed after open globe injury repair in 15–30 minutes.

The timing of vitrectomy in cases of ocular trauma is controversial. We also try to wait at least 1–2 weeks before performing a vitrectomy, if necessary, in order to minimise the risks of very early (potential bleeding, inflammation, and poor visualisation) and very late (cellular proliferation) complications.

We agree that a prospective randomised clinical trial is needed to better delineate the role of primary encircling scleral buckle placement at the time of open globe injury repair.

J Arroyo
Correspondence to: Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary, 243 Charles Street, Boston, MA 02114, USA;
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NOTICES

Helping the blind and vision impaired

The latest issue of Community Eye Health (No 45) discusses help for the blind, with an editorial by Sir John Wall of the Royal National Institute for the Blind on the rights of blind people. For further information please contact: Journal of Community Eye Health, International Resource Centre, International Centre for Eye Health, Department of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, UK (tel: +44 (0)20 7612 796; email: Anita.Shah@khtm.ac.uk; website: www.jech.co.uk). Annual subscription (4 issues) UK£28/US$45. Free to developing country applicants.

Second Sight

Second Sight, a UK based charity whose aims are to eliminate the backlog of cataract blind in India by the year 2020 and to establish strong links between Indian and British ophthalmologists, is regularly sending volunteers to India. Details can be obtained at the charity’s website (www.secondsight.org.uk) or by contacting Dr Lucy Mathen (lucymathen@yahoo.com).

Specific Eye Conditions (SPECS)

Specific Eye Conditions (SPECS) is a not for profit organisation which acts as an umbrella organisation for support groups of any conditions or syndrome with an integral eye disorder. SPECS represents over 50 different organisations related to eye disorders ranging from conditions that are relatively common to very rare syndromes. The website acts as a portal giving direct access to support groups’ own websites. The SPECS website is a valuable resource for professionals and may also be of interest to people with a visual impairment or who are blind. For further details about SPECS contact: Kay Parkinson, SPECS Development Officer (tel: +44 (0)1180 532438; email: k@eyeconditions.org.uk; website: www.eyeconditions.org.uk).

The British Retinitis Pigmentosa Society

The British Retinitis Pigmentosa Society (BRPS) was formed in 1975 to bring together people with retinitis pigmentosa and their families. The principal aim of the society is to raise funds to support the programmes of medical research into an eventual cure for this hereditary disease, and through the BRPS welfare service, help members and their families cope with the everyday concerns caused by retinitis pigmentosa. Part of the welfare service is the telephone help line (+44 (0)1280 860 363) for any queries relating to retinitis pigmentosa, especially for those recently diagnosed with retinitis pigmentosa (tel: +44 (0)1280 821 354; email: lynda@brps.demon.co.uk; website: www.brps.demon.co.uk).

Surgical Eye Expeditions International

Volunteer ophthalmologists in active surgical practice are needed to participate in short term, sight restoring eye surgery clinics around the world. Contact: Harry S Brown, Surgical Eye Expeditions International, 27 East De La Guerra, C-2, Santa Barbara, CA 93101-9858, USA (tel: +805 963 3303; fax: +805 963 3564; email: hsbrown.md@cox.net or seeintl@seeintl.org; website: www.seeintl.org).

Rise in organ transplant numbers

According to UK Transplant, the UK has seen the highest number of organ transplants in six years. Last year (1 April 2002 to 31 March 2003) 2777 patients had their lives saved or dramatically improved through the generosity of 1064 donors. This equated to a 6% increase compared to the previous 12 months (1 April 2001 to 31 March 2002). Furthermore during 2002–3, the highest number of people benefited from a cornea transplant for five years (1997–98) and 240 more people had their sight restored than the previous year. For further information see

References
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We read with interest the comments made by Fernandez and colleagues regarding our article.1 We certainly agree that new breaks may develop in the vitreous base region within 2 clock hours of the scleral wound. Because of this, we advocate the use of encircling scleral buckles (3.5–5 mm wide) as opposed to segmental scleral buckles in patients undergoing primary open globe injury repair.

We agree that placing an encircling scleral buckle to support the posterior edge of the vitreous base does require more skill than simply closing an open globe wound. However, we have found that with adequate training, encircling scleral buckles can usually be placed after open globe injury repair in 15–30 minutes.

The timing of vitrectomy in cases of ocular trauma is controversial. We also try to wait at least 1–2 weeks before performing a vitrectomy, if necessary, in order to minimise the risks of very early (potential bleeding, inflammation, and poor visualisation) and very late (cellular proliferation) complications.
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Elimination of avoidable blindness

The 56th World Health Assembly (WHA) considered the report on the elimination of avoidable blindness (doc A56/26) and urged Member States to: (1) Commit themselves to supporting the Global Initiative for the Elimination of Avoidable Blindness by setting up a national Vision 2020 plan by 2005; (2) Establish a national coordinating committee for Vision 2020, or a national blindness prevention committee to help implement the plan; (3) Implement the plan by 2007; (4) Include effective monitoring and evaluation of the plan with the aim of showing a reduction in the magnitude of avoidable blindness by 2010; (5) To support the mobilisation of resources for eliminating avoidable blindness. The WHA also urged the Director-General to maintain and strengthen WHO’s collaboration with Member States and the partners of the Global Initiative for the Elimination of Avoidable Blindness as well as aid in the coordination and support of national capability.

Ophthalmic Anesthesia Society (OAS)—17th Scientific Meeting

The 17th Scientific Meeting of the Ophthalmic Anesthesia Society (OAS) will be held 3–5 October 2003 at the Westin Michigan Avenue Chicago, Chicago, USA. Programme co-chairs: Marc Allen Feldman MD MHS and Steven T Charles MD. The CME joint sponsor is the Cleveland Clinic Foundation; CME hours are pending. Fees for OAS members are $300; non-members $475; students $50. Further details: OAS, 793-A Foothill Blvd, PMB 119, San Luis Obispo, CA 93405 USA (tel: +1 805 534 0300; fax: +1 805 534 9030; email: info@eyeanesthesia.org; website: www.eyeanesthesia.org).

Glaucoma Society 24th Annual Meeting and Dinner

The Glaucoma Society 24th Annual Meeting and Dinner will take place on 20 November 2003, from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm at The Royal College of Physicians, London, UK. Further details: Ms Janet Flowers (email: glausoc@ ukeire.freeserve.co.uk).

Detachment Course with international faculty on: Retinal and Vitreous Surgery with Case Presentations preceding the Annual Meeting of Iranian Society of Ophthalmology

The detachment course with international faculty on: Retinal and Vitreous Surgery with Case Presentations preceding Annual Meeting of Iranian Society of Ophthalmology will be held on 29-30 November 2003 and 1-4 December 2003 respectively, at the Razi Conference Center, Hemmat Hyw, Tehran, Iran. Further details: Scientific programme: Prof Ingrid Kreissig, University of Tuebingen, Schleicherstr. 12, Breuningerbau, 72076 Tuebingen, Germany (tel: +49 7071 295209; email: ingrid.kreissig@med.uni-tuebingen.de). Local organisation: Dr Arman Masheyekhi, Dr Siamak Moradian, Dept of Ophthalmology, Labbanfinejad Medical Center, Pasdaran Ave, Boostaar 9, Tehran, 16666, Iran (fax: +98 21 254 9039; email: labbafi@hotmail.com).

5th International Symposium on Ocular Pharmacology and Therapeutics (ISOPT)

The 5th International Symposium on Ocular Pharmacology and Therapeutics (ISOPT) will take place 11–14 March 2004, in Monte Carlo, Monaco. Please visit our website for details of the scientific programme, registration, and accommodation. To receive a copy of the Call for Abstracts and registration brochure please submit your full mailing details to http://www.kenes.com/isopt/interest.htm. Further details: ISOPT Secretariat (website: www.kenes.com/isopt).

XVth Meeting of the International Neuro-Ophthalmology Society


4th International Congress on Autoimmunity

The 4th International Congress on Autoimmunity will take place 3–7 November 2004 in Budapest, Hungary. The deadline for the receipt of abstracts is 20 June 2004. Further details: Kenes International Global Congress Organisers and Association Management Services, 17 Rue du Cendrier, PO Box 1726, CH-1211 Geneva 1, Switzerland (tel: +41 22 908 0488; fax: +41 22 732 2850; email: autoin04@kenes.com; website: www.kenes.com/autoin2004).
Congenital optic nerve head pit associated with reduced retinal nerve fibre thickness at the papillomacular bundle
C H Meyer, E B Rodrigues and J C Schmidt

Br J Ophthalomol 2003 87: 1300-1301
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Updated information and services can be found at:
http://bjo.bmj.com/content/87/10/1300.2

These include:
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